





ROOM



San Francisco Public Library

BUSINESS, SCIENCE & TECHNOLOGY CENTER

REFERENCE BOOK

Not to be taken from the Library



Publications of the

Carnegie Endowment for International Peace

Division of Economics and History

John Bates Clark, Director

JAPANESE MONOGRAPHS

BARON Y. SAKATANI, D.C.L.

Formerly Minister of Finance of Japan

Conscription System in Japan, by Gotaro Ogawa. Expenditures of the Russo-Japanese War, by Gotaro Ogawa. Military Industries of Japan, by Ushisaburo Kobayashi. War and Armament Loans of Japan, by Ushisaburo Kobayashi. War and Armament Taxes of Japan, by Ushisaburo Kobayashi. Expenditures of the Sino-Japanese War, by Giichi Ono. War and Armament Expenditures of Japan, by Giichi Ono.

WAR AND ARMAMENT TAXES OF JAPAN

BY

USHISABURO KOBAYASHI, D. C. L.

NEW YORK

OXFORD UNIVERSITY PRESS

AMERICAN BRANCH: 35 West 32nd Street London, toronto, melbourne, and bombay 1923

COPYRIGHT 1923

BY THE

CARNEGIE ENDOWMENT FOR INTERNATIONAL PEACE

REF 336.52 K96

Kushida, Tamizão, 1885-1934. War and armament taxes of Japan, 1923.

PRINTED IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA AT THE RUMFORD PRESS, CONCORD, N. H.

NOTE BY THE DIRECTOR

The plans of the Division of Economics and History of the Carnegie Endowment for International Peace have been transformed by the World War. Problems now calling for study transcend in importance those with which this Division has been dealing and material for research and record so far transcends any that was formerly available that it will demand almost exclusive attention for some years to come. A new world has evolved suddenly out of the world which we knew and the transformation extends to the foundations of government and of economic life.

The process of warfare itself is now so unlike that of former days that many military rules of the past have gone into the scrap basket. The late war ended when its deadliest tools had barely been brought into action. The peoples have fought as they had worked, by machinery, mechanical and chemical engines of destruction have decided the result and will decide in like manner the result of all wars of the future. Machine shops and chemical laboratories will so largely determine what armies shall win that fighting strength will be as much a matter of available capital and of science in applying it as of numbers of troops and strategy in directing them. It is safe to say that the death dealing arts and instruments will far surpass in destructiveness those which made the late war so deadly, and to a soldier of the future the order to march into a cloud of poisonous gas and a whirlwind of missiles will resemble an order to plunge into the rapids of Niagara. This is one central and obvious fact which the war has taught us and it has many corollaries, some of which have to do with the increased costs of war and the importance of the particular resources that make a nation powerful for offense and defense; but there are less conspicuous economic facts which are more fundamental, since they may determine where and when, if at all, wars shall hereafter occur.

Causes of warfare are always partly economic and those which incited the recent one were mainly so. The business plans of a powerful state reached to the ends of the earth and so crossed and interlaced the claims of other states that some writers, then and afterwards, pronounced the war inevitable. If we assume a settled purpose on the part of such a state to encroach on the rights of others, we may say that it doubtless was inevitable. The victory of the defending countries has saved them from an immediate and intolerable domination, but it can not be taken as an assured fact that similar attempts will never again be made. The economic inducement continues and the means may at some time be forthcoming.

Within the several states war has democratized industry, giving to labor an increase of control—a change that if continued will entail momentous consequences; but still greater effects have been produced on the relations of states to each other. The world as a whole has changed more than its component parts and the new relation of the parts to one another is the critical element in the situation. The great increase in the economic functions of governments is one cause of this condition. Within the great international community in which the several states are units extensive economic functions have gravitated into the hands of governments and caused them to face each other as business rivals and to deal with each other in a multitude of ways in which the merely self-seeking policy of private business is intoler-Power to invoke principles of justice and international law as interpreted by a competent court has become an indispensable means of allaying strife and this fact exalts to supreme importance the high court of nations which has just been established. It magnifies also the importance of the economic facts and principles with which the law itself will have to deal. It is not merely individual men or private corporations who now meet each other in the rough and tumble of a world-wide mart but states themselves, each representing its own population and seeking to foster its interests as a zealous and faithful agent. The chances of friction that are inherent in ordinary commerce inhere today in vast international transactions and will increase in the measure in which the intercourse grows. All this means a great increase in incentives to warfare, on the one hand, and in the motives for preventing it, on the other. Private commerce unites more than it separates those who participate in it, and it remains to be seen whether international commerce will act in the same way; but, in view of what modern war means, the human race will deserve to perish, and much of it will probably do so, if the forces of strife are allowed to get the upper hand. Whether they will or not—whether the recent economic changes will tend to reduce warfare or to increase it—depends on the ability of nations to create and maintain the instrumentalities that in the new state of the world are necessary.

Certain it is that the feeling which prevails today, the world over, is not one of security. The dread of further war is greater than it was before 1914. In some areas war still prevails, in others peace is held by a precarious tenure and in all it can be firmly established only by conscious and intelligent action by the states themselves. Mere exhaustion holds war dogs temporarily in leash, but it will take more than that to tame them as they must be tamed if peace is to endure.

We here confront a wide difference between the several states in comparative desire for peace and disposition to maintain it. One portentous fact is the grim determination of Russian communists to extend their system by crude force from state to state. Bolshevism is government by the few and largely the bad masquerading as government for and by the people. In its mother country, Russia, the economic measure by which it began its career was confiscation of private wealth—in itself an ultra-democratic measure. If this had brought in a true communism, it would have been a ruthless and unjust measure for creating a peace-loving state. A just and orderly democratizing of industry in the several states would give new strength to the forces of peace, and it would be highly improbable that any state so influenced would try to extend its system over foreign countries by military invasion. Democracy, socialism, communism and bolshevism all appear in the aftermath of the war. The first of

them makes for future peace and so does even the conservative element in the second, while all else in the series means certainty of civil strife and danger of international war.

The fact that during the war governments had to take on innumerable functions that were formerly in private hands has lent an impetus to socialism and to the perverted growths that have accompanied it, and it has created a new international system the meaning of which is profoundly significant, though he who runs can not so easily read it. There are dangerous features in the system which the war evoked and, happily for mankind, there are available safeguards which were evoked with them and need to be retained if human effort can do it.

By a compulsion that there was no resisting, the war forced the nations of the Entente into economic cooperation with each other. Commissions centering finally in the Supreme Economic Council adjusted in a harmonious way questions that would otherwise have led to rivalry and conflicting action in purchasing war materials, securing ships, apportioning food, controlling railroads, financing the war and doing a multitude of other things with the one common purpose of victory. The special compulsion of the struggle is over, but it has left an aftermath of issues grave enough to make peace insecure unless something equivalent to the Supreme Economic Council survives in full efficiency. The agency that did so much to win the war can do so much to prevent another one, but to that end it will have to be guided by economic principles and it is a saving fact that these still The war has not abolished the law of demand and supply, though governments may forget it. In the coming era they must build better than they now know. Economic knowledge must either go in advance of action and prevent disaster or follow action and be learned from disaster. yond computation is the importance of attaining the knowledge and using it when evil impends and prevention is possible.

JOHN BATES CLARK,

Director.

New York,
September 27, 1920.

PREFACE

For the actual compilation of this treatise we are indebted to Mr. Tamizô Kushida, a well-known student of economic subjects. The task involved a vast amount of investigation, facilitated in the main by the works of reference cited in the accompanying bibliography, and frequently complemented by material specially prepared and made accessible by the Finance Department.

The principal theme of the present work relates to the history of the Japanese war taxes. However, the work contains also an exposition of statistical analyses and their economic effects. The importance of affording European and American readers a brief knowledge of the history of Japanese taxation in general led the author to a continuous description of the facts and statistics relating thereto during those eventful years between 1868 and 1913, thus making the connection as clear as possible. In dealing with these facts and figures the writer must have met with serious difficulty, the distinction between war taxes and non-war taxes being very frequently vague. Similar difficulty presented itself in distinguishing the facts which stand in close touch with war taxes from those independent thereof.

The writer's endeavors resulted in bringing to light the following facts: Japanese war imposts have shown heavy increases from one period to another, until at present their proceeds represent nearly 65 per cent of the total revenue from taxation; before the war with China direct taxes had prevailed, whereas since that war indirect taxation has much increased, and at the present moment we see that direct taxes constitute 34 per cent and indirect taxes the remaining 66 per cent; as regards the distribution of public burden and the ensuing diversity in the enjoyment of political rights, we notice that in the beginning such direct taxes as those on land were dominant, and naturally the superior strength of the landowners and peasantry had to be reckoned with, but when subsequently such direct levies as those on trade and industry (the business tax, etc.) were introduced, the capital-owning

classes, commercial and industrial, began to hold up their heads beside the peasantry, and thenceforth these opposing classes have contended for economic supremacy. Increased imposts thereafter consisted in greater part of indirect taxes, which are partial to the direct interests of neither of the contending rivals. As the Japanese laborers are not yet organized into a social or political class, their burdens have consequently increased in rapid succession. Lastly, the author depicts the noteworthy fact that, economically speaking, national production has not been affected by the war taxation to any extraordinary extent, though in point of distribution the livelihood of smaller wage earners, especially the peasantry and laborers, has been appreciably impaired, for they bear a far heavier burden than is suited to them.

The characteristic feature of the present work lies in the social-political point of view, to which the author devoted his best efforts in the consideration of the consequences of war taxation, as well as in the collection of minute and highly instructive statistics regarding the conditions of income and livelihood of each specific social class.

The author's patient scholarship and great diligence seem to me to be deserving of the highest praise.

USHISABURO KOBAYASHI.

Токуо, Japan, *April*, 1916.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

A Brief History of the Meiji Era (Meiji-Shiyo).

AIBARA, SHIGEMASA, Statistics of Production in Japan (Honpō seisan Tokei), 1914.

DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE:

Annual Report of the Revenue Bureau (Shuzeikyoku Nenpō).

Extract from the Report of Tax-law Inquiry (Zeihō Shinsakai Yōroku), 1908.

Extract from the Proceedings of the Committee on Inquiry into the Project Tax-law Adjustment (Zeihō Seirian Shinsakai Shinsa Yōroku), 1908.

Reference Book for Monetary Circulation (Kinyū Jijō Sankōsho), 1893–1907.

Report on the Financial Conditions of the Sino-Japanese War (Nisshin eki Zaisei Shimatsu Hōkoku), 1900.

Report on the Financial Conditions of the Russo-Japanese War (Meiji Sanjū shichi hachinen Senji Zaisei Shimatsu Hōkoku), 1909.

Report on the Post-Bellum Financial Measures of the Russo-Japanese war (Meiji Sanjū shichi hachinen Sengo Zaisei Shimatsu Hōkoku), 1911.

Report on War Expenses of the Russo-Japanese War.

Report on War Finance of the Russo-Japanese War.

Settled-Accounts Report for Eight Fiscal Periods (Hachikikan Kessan Hōkoku), 1882.

Extract from the Proceedings of the House of Representatives (Shūgiin Kijitekiyō).

History of Annual Account of the National Treasury (Teikoku Saikei Enkakushi), 1st ed., 1915.

History of Imperial Diet (Teikoku Gikai-Shi).

Horié, Kiichi, Science of Public Finance (Zaisei-Gaku), 4th ed., 1912.

Journal of National Economy (Kokumin Keizai Zasshi), December, 1916.

Journal of Statistics (Tōkei shushi).

KAMBE, MASAO:

Financial Essay, 2d ed., 1915.

Outlines of Public Finance (Zaisei Gairon), 1st ed., 1912.

Kobayashi, Ushisaburo:

History of State Finance (Kokka Zaiseishi), 1910.

Taxation System as seen from the Standpoint of Social Policy, 1916. Publication No. 9 of the Society for Social Policy.

Treatise on Local Finance (Chiho Zaiseigaku), 1911.

Past and Present of the National Finance (Zaisei no Kako oyobi Genzai), 1st ed., 1902.

War and Armament Loans of Japan, 1922.

Financial Adjustment (Zaisei Seiri Ron), 1912.

MATSUZAKI, KURANOSUKE:

Tax-law Adjustment (Zeiho Seiri Ron), 1st ed., 1908.

Social Morality and Economy (Sedō to Keizai), 1st ed., 1914.

Science of Public Finance (Zaishin Zaisei-Gaku), 6th revised ed., 1915.

Noritake, Kötarō:

Economic Essays (Keizai Ron), 1st ed., 1912.

Public Finance, 1st ed., 1912.

OKUMA, SHIGENOBU, Kessan-hakokusho for Eight Periods.

Oriental Economic Journal (Toyo keizai Shinpo):

Russo-Japanese War and Post-Bellum Economic Conditions (Sanjū Shichi Hachinen Sen eki oyobi Sengo no Keizai), 1906.

Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax laws (Zaihō Shinsa Iinkai Shinsa-Hōkoku), 1907.

SAKATANI, BARON Y. (Representing the authors), Financial History of Meiji Period (Meiji Zaiseishi), 1904–5.

Shiojima, Jinkichi, Public Finance and National Economy after the Sino-Japanese War (Meiji Niju-shichi-hachinen Sen eki go no Zaisei oyobi Keizai), 1st ed., 1903.

SOCIETY FOR SOCIAL POLITICS:

Publications of the Society for Social Politics (Shakai Seisaku Gakkai Ronso).

Supplement of the New Laws (Shin-Hōrei), 1904-16.

STATISTICS BUREAU:

Statistical Annals of the Japanese Empire (Teikoku Tōkei nen-kan), 1882-1912.

Tajiri, Viscount Inajirō, Economic and Financial Essays (Zai-kai Jiu), 1915.

TAKIZAWA, NAOSHICHI, History of Monetary Circulation (Kinyū shiron), 1912.

Tanaka, Hozumi, Tax system Adjustment (Zaisei Seiri Ron), 1st ed., 1910.

Thirty-sixth Annual Statistical Report of the Bureau of Taxation. Tokyo Economic Journal (*Tōyō Keizai Shinpō*), 1904–8.

Utsunomiya, Kanaé, Science of Public Finance (Zaisei Gaku), 1st ed., 1913.

Yamazaki, Meishichi, Ten Years of National Finance (Zaisei $J\bar{u}nen$), 1st ed., 1914.

EXPLANATORY TABLES

VALUE OF JAPANESE CURRENCIES

Japan adopted the gold standard system in 1871, but the inconvertible paper money became principal currency a few years later. In 1886 the paper money became convertible into silver and after that date the Japanese currency system was the silver standard de facto, until on October 1, 1897, the gold standard system was legally adopted. The figures necessary to ascertain the value of Japanese currencies are given below:

- (1) I gold yen (according to Coinage Law of 1871) contains 1.5 gramme pure gold.
- (2) I gold yen (according to Coinage Law of 1897) contains 0.75 gramme pure gold.
- (3) I silver yen contains 24.261 gramme pure silver.
- (4) The value of 1 silver *yen* in the English currency (according to the demand rate of exchange on London in the average of the year) is as follows:

	s. d.				s. d.
1874	4.02.0	1882	3.08.8	1890	3.04.5
1875	4.00.8	1883	3.07.9	1891	2.02.6
1876	3.11.2	1884	3.07.9	1892	2.10.5
1877	3.11.7	1885	3.06.0	1893	2.06.7
1878	3.09.4	1886	3.03.2	1894	2.01.2
1879	3.08.0	1887	3.02.0	1895	2.01.3
1880	3.08.9	1888	3.01.0	1896	2.02.0
1881	3.08.4	1889	3.01.1	1897	2.00.4

(5) The value of 1 yen of paper money in the English currency (calculated on the basis of the above mentioned exchange rate and the quotations of the silver price in the Tokyo Exchange in the average of the year) is as follows:

	s. d.		s. d.		s. d.
1877	3.10.I	1880	2.06.4	1883	2.10.7
1878		1881	2.02.I	1884	3.04.3
1879		1882	2.04.5	1885	3.03.7

(6) I ryō (unit of value of the old currency system) was declared in the Coinage Law of 1871 to be equal to 1 yen.

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE

		-060 A	D	TA //	~ #+1h	T992	A. D.
Meiji	Ist	1868 A		Meiji			
46	2d	1869	44	46	16th	1883	4.6
66	3d	1870	66	41	17th	1884	**
66	4th	1871	4.6	44	18th	1885	4.6
64	5th	1872	66	4.6	19th	1886	6.6
66	Still	1873	46	44	20th	1887	4.6
44	6th		44	66	2Ist	1888	44
	7th	1874	46	66		1889	6.6
4.6	8th	1875			22d		66
4.6	9th	1876	66	66	23d	1890	
46	Ioth	1877	46	46	24th	1891	66
6.6	11th	1878	66	66	25th	1892	44
66	12th	1879	44	46	26th	1893	4.6
46		1880	66	44	27th	1894	144
	13th		66	66		1895	44
4.6	14th	1881			28th	1095	
	,						xiii

CHRONOLOGICAL TABLE—(Continued)

Meiji 29th " 30th " 31st	1896 A. D. 1897 " 1898 "	Meiji 41st " 42d " 43d	1908 A. D. 1909 " 1910 "
" 32d	1899 "	" 44th	1911 "
" 33d " 34th	1900 "	" 45th } Taisho 1st }	1912 "
" 35th	1902 "	" 2d	1913 "
" 36th	1903 "	" 3d	1914 "
" 37th	1904 "	" 4th	1915 "
" 38th	1905 "	" 5th	1916 "
" 39th	1906 "	" 6th	1917 "
" 4oth	1907 "		

Weights, Measures and Money

With English, American, French and German Equivalents

Japan	Great Britain	U. S. of America	France	Germany
Ri=36 Chō =2,160 Ken =12,960 Shaku	2.44030 Miles	2.44029 Miles	3.92727 Kiloms.	3.92727 Kiloms.
Ri (Marine)	(1.15152 (Miles	1.15151 Miles	1.85318 Kiloms.	1.85318 Kiloms.
Square Ri	5.95505 Sq. Miles	5.95501 Sq. Miles	15.42347 Km. Carrés	15.42347 Quadrat Km.
$Cho = 10 \ Tan$ $= 100 \ Se$ $= 3,000 \ Bu \dots$	2 . 45064 Acres	2 . 45062 Acres	99.17355 Ares	99.17355 Ar.
$Tsubo = 10 G\bar{o} $ $= 100 Shaku $	3.95369 Sq. Yards	3.95367 Sq. Yards	3.30579 Centiares.	3.30579 Quadratm.
$Koku = 10 \ To$ = 100 $Sh\bar{o}$ = 1,000 $G\bar{o}$ = 10,000 $Shaku$	4.96005 Bushels	47.65389 Gallons (Liq.) 5.11902 Bushels (Dry)	1.80391 Hectolitres	1.80391 Hectolitres
Koku (Capacity of ship)		I/IO of one Ton	1/10 de Tonne	1/10 Tonne
Kwan = 1,000 Momme = 10,000 Fun = 100,000 Rin	lbs. (Avoir.)	lbs. (Avoir.)	3.75000 Kilogs,	3.75000 Kilogs.
Kin=160 Momme	I .32277 lbs. (Avoir.) I .60754 lbs. (Troy)	1.32277 lbs. (Avoir.) 1.60754 lbs. (Troy)	o.60000 Kilogs,	o.60000 Kilogs,
Yen = 100 Sen $= 1,000 Rin$ $= 10,000 Moodle$	2.0.582 s. d.	0.4984 Dollar	2.583 Francs	2.0924 Marks

CONTENTS

PART	I—HISTORICAL	SURVEY	OF	Taxes	FOR	WAR	AND
		ARMAME	ENT				

CHAPTER	PAGE
I Introduction	
II From the Restoration to the Satsuma Rebellion	
III From the Satsuma Rebellion to the Sino-Japane War	ese
IV From the Sino-Japanese War to the Russo-Japanese War	a-
V From the Russo-Japanese War to the Present Tir	ne 29
VI Conclusion	42
PART II—Economic Effects of Taxes for WA	AR AND
I Introduction	47
II An Analytical Study of the Economic Effects Taxes	
III A Synthetic Study of the Economic Effects of W Taxes	
IV Effects of War Taxation on Finance	133
V Conclusion	147
APPENDIX A.—Statistical Tables	
APPENDIX B.—Recent Problems relating to Indirectors, especially Excises	
INDEX	251



PART I HISTORICAL SURVEY OF TAXES FOR WAR AND ARMAMENT

Note.—In the tables throughout this volume, fractions of yen greater than one half have been counted as a whole yen and the other fractions have been disregarded.

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

With the demise of Emperor Mutsuhito in 1912 ended the forty-five years of the Meiji Era, and a new period dawned with the glorious name of Taisho (Great Justice). During those forty-five years, or practically half a century, the Japanese nation passed through five civil strifes, one expedition, and three international wars: the War of Restoration from 1868 to 1871, Saga disturbances and the Formosan Expedition of 1874, rebellions of 1876 in Hagi and Kumanoto, known as the Jimpūren trouble, Satsuma Rebellion or Seinan War of 1877. war with China in 1894–95, Boxer Uprising of 1901 in Northern China, and the Russo-Japanese War of 1904-5. Nearly ten years in all were spent in warlike activities, and if we take into account half a year, respectively, both preceding and following each war, which for practical purposes can reasonably be called war time, some twenty years, or nearly half of the whole period of Meiji, were spent in a state of war. If born in the first year of Meiji (1868), one must have passed half his life amid scenes of terrible bloodshed.

If we turn our attention to the financial and economic history of Japan, we shall invariably find that every cessation of war, be it civil or international, is followed by readjustments of war loans, as well as by the expansion of armaments. Strange to say, notwithstanding the above-mentioned fact, her economic development after each war was remarkable. It was no less the case with the War of the Restoration and the Rebellion of Satsuma than with the Sino-Japanese and the Russo-Japanese Wars. It was chiefly as a result of the Seinan War that the Empire was unified in complete subjection to the central government, and it was the war with China that did most to enable Japan to attain a stage of national economy. War and economic development go hand in hand, just as the

shadow follows the substance. It is needless to dwell upon the fact that war and armaments have had no inconsiderable effect upon the economic and social conditions of our nation at large.

My subject-matter as regards the financial and economic effects of war and armaments during the Meiji period has to do with the establishment of new and the extension of old taxes as means to meet the expenses of war and military preparations. This is what I mean by "historical and statistical investigation of Japanese war taxes and their economic effects."

By way of introduction, I shall say a few words upon the definition of war taxes and the method of my investigation. By a "war tax" is meant a tax pertaining to war and armaments, or, to be more exact, a tax collected in order to raise war funds and to meet military expenses. War taxes in this sense can be classified from various points of view. They can be either direct or indirect war taxes, according to the direct or indirect relation in which the taxes stand to the war and armaments. They can be either simple or complex war taxes. according to the object of taxation, which may aim at simply raising war funds or, as is frequently the case, may be intended to serve more purposes than a simple defrayment of war expenditure. A tax may be a war tax in the narrower sense when it is collected to meet the actual expenditure of warfare, or it may be a war tax in the wider sense when raised in order to keep up armaments, that is, naval and military forces. There can be made other classifications from different points of view; but what I have to consider in this treatise is war tax plain and simple, directly connected with war, or, in other words, taxes levied simply to cover war expenses on the one hand and naval and military expenditure on the other, whether it be ordinary or extraordinary. Taxes imposed to maintain a reserve fund for military purposes or to pay pensions and reward merits to soldiers or to repay the principal and interest of war loans for armaments are sometimes called war taxes, though only indirectly connected with war; but in the present research we shall leave them out of our consideration.

The origin of the Japanese war taxes may be traced as far back as the remote age of samurai ascendency (1185) before the Tokugawa Shogunate, when they were exacted as contribution to military provisions, chiefly in the form of rice. Only those taxes newly levied or increased during the Meiji Era (1869–1914) come within the scope of the present investigation, the classical taxes of remote ages being left out of account. We shall for convenience divide our study into two parts, the first dealing with the historical and statistical facts of the war taxes in the whole system of taxation, and the second with their effects upon our national economy and finance.

Our second part consists of three main features of observation: first, an analytical study of each individual tax and its effect on the object of taxation; secondly, a synthetic study of war taxes as viewed from their effect on our national economy as a whole; and, lastly, an observation on this effect in the light of central and local finance. An economic effect of a tax is viewed from two main points, namely, quantity and efficiency of production and distribution of wealth. The effect of a war tax on public finance is considered from the point of view of whether it conforms with what is scientifically called the finance-political principle, that is to say, whether it could raise enough receipts to defray the estimated war cost and military expenses, and whether the amount levied could meet with the elastic needs of financial circumstances.

Of all the Japanese national expenditures since the opening of the Meiji Era, every item of which has shown an ever-increasing tendency, whether they be military expenses, national loans, or expenditures for general administration, military and war expenses take the foremost place. In the beginning of Meiji the sum of 1,605,734 yen was all that was spent for military and naval forces, while in 1912 the expenditure amounted to a total of 214,641,579 yen, or 130 times the original sum, the ratio of the military expenses to the whole annual expenditure increasing during the period from 5.3 per cent to 36 per cent. Thus, it is clearly shown that in rapidity of increase these

expenses again hold the foremost position. War expenses up to 1875, inclusive of the outlays for chastising the Ou rebels, for quelling the Hagi and Saga Rebellions, and outlays for Korean affairs and the Formosan Expedition are as follows:

	Yen
First period (Dec., 1867–Dec., 1868)	4,621,633
Second " (Jan., 1869–Sept., 1869)	2,625,644
Third " (Sept., 1869–Sept., 1870)	1,546,984
Fourth " (Oct., 1870–Sept., 1871)	1,140,072
Fifth " (Oct., 1871–Dec., 1872)	3,638
Sixth " (Jan., 1873–Dec., 1873)	82,404
Seventh " (Jan., 1874–Dec., 1874)	3,229,879
Eighth " (Jan., 1875–June, 1875)	1,474,057
Eighth fiscal year (1875)	489,552
Total	15,213,863

The war cost of the Seinan Expedition (1877) amounted to 41.567,727 *yen*, and that of the Sino-Japanese War (1894–95), including both general and special accounts, to 232,609,771 ven, to which must be added the sum of 800,000 ven for general expenses in connection with the war. The Boxer War (1901) cost Japan 43,602,243 yen for military and naval expenses, together with incidental expenditures, while on the Russo-Japanese War Japan spent about 1,700,000,000 yen. The grand total of the war expenses during the Meiji Era thus comes to something like 2,000,000,000 yen. If we add to these the expenditures for the completion of military replenishment, we shall probably obtain hundreds of thousands of yen more. The methods of defraying these immense war expenditures varied with the times. Before the war with China, the funds were mainly furnished through public loans, especially compulsory loans, contributions, etc.; since that war the government has had recourse to voluntary loans and taxation at the same time. The method of covering the war cost was again different from that of defraying military expenditure; for the former, public loans (voluntary and compulsory) being relied upon, and for the latter, war taxes.

Just as the percentage of military expenses to the total expenditure of the nation has increased from year to year, the taxes levied for warfare and armaments surpass in magnitude

other taxes imposed for general administration and government enterprises, the rapidity of increase being especially remarkable since the Sino-Japanese War. The annual revenue of the government has increased no less considerably than the annual expenditure. The total of the ordinary and extraordinary revenues for the first fiscal period was 33,000,000 yen, which increased in the year just preceding the Seinan War (1876) to 52,000,000 yen, and in the year preceding the Sino-Japanese War (1893) to 89,000,000 yen, while in 1912 it reached the enormous sum of 580,000,000 yen. This sudden increase is mainly ascribed to a corresponding increase of public loans in the extraordinary revenue and to another increase of taxation in the ordinary revenue. Putting public loans aside for the present, the revenue from taxation, which was only 30,000,000 yen in the first fiscal period, and which increased in 1876 to 50,000,000 yen and in 1893 to 70,000,000 yen, now roughly amounts to 456,000,000 ven. This shows the fiscal development of the last twenty years since the Sino-Japanese War, so that we can not deny the fact that this rapid increase of tax revenues has some direct or indirect connection with war. It is true that revenues intended to cover the expenses of general administration and public enterprises made during this period show equally significant progress, but the increase of revenue is especially notable as regards the taxes imposed for war and armaments. This is why the war taxes, as contrasted with other taxes, have increased in larger proportion in their percentage to the total revenue, just as the annual expenditures for arms and armaments have occupied year after year the foremost place among the total national outlays of this country. The historical facts relating to each of the above-mentioned civil strifes and wars, and the sum of the war taxes imposed for warlike purposes during these tumultuous years, as well as the position of these war taxes in the total revenue from taxation and the kinds and rates of these levies, will be given presently.

CHAPTER II

FROM THE RESTORATION TO THE SATSUMA REBELLION

The government system of the Tokugawa Shogunate, in the first half of the nineteenth century, began to weaken in its social and economic foundations, though still maintained by sheer force of lingering custom and tradition. It was Commodore Perry's advent at Uraga in 1853 that gave a final stroke to this decaying organization with its gradually declining influence. Indeed, an American sailor was destined to effect a new departure in the history of the Empire. Great confusion prevailed all over the country, until in October, 1867, Keiki Tokugawa, the last of the Shoguns, finally restored to the Emperor the reins of the national government. The ancient régime of the Imperial sovereignty was thus revived after a long period of two hundred and sixty-five years from the inauguration of the Tokugawa Shogunate by Iyeyasu and six hundred and eighty-two years from the foundation of samurai government by Yoritomo. Hence the name "Restoration of the Imperial government." Those clansmen in the northeastern and central parts of the land who felt discontented with this change threw off their allegiance and revolted in January, 1868 (fourth year of Keio, i.e., first year of Meiji), under the Shogun Keiki in the vicinity of Kyoto. In the war which ensued, victory attended the Imperial arms throughout. Another flag of revolt was hoisted by the combined forces of twenty-four clans of Oû and the northeastern districts, only to be soon subdued by the Imperial army. With the fall of Hakodate in May, 1896, where the government forces had besieged the insurgents, the country was at length united under the Imperial government of Meiji. Thus the War of the Restoration was brought to an end.

The war cost amounted to a total of 8,908,333 yen, and this

was defrayed in a singular way. Although the reins of government were restored to the throne, the real power of finance still remained with each clan, and the principal sources of state revenue, including, of course, land taxes and other imposts. came far short of the requirements, owing to the political disorder throughout the country. Such being the circumstances at the time of the war, to increase old or to introduce new levies on the people at large was out of the question. The government, therefore, had to rely upon wealthy men in large cities, such as Tokyo, Yokohama, Osaka, Kobe, Otsu, etc., for their contributions and loans, as well as upon the issue of government notes (Dajokwansatsu).1 Between 1874 and 1877 the nation encountered three civil uprisings, i.e., the Saga Rebellion, the Hagi Insurrection, and the Jimpūren troubles at Kumamoto, while it dispatched abroad expeditions to Formosa and Korea. The suppression of these internal disturbances cost Japan in all 1,172,364 yen, whereas for the Formosan Expedition she spent 3,618,059 yen, and for the Korean Expedition 489,552 yen. Needless to say, these extraordinary outlays had no small influence upon the balance between expenditure and revenue, especially at a time when our financial condition was so feeble. But the amount required for these purposes was altogether too small to necessitate any special introduction of new taxes or increase of old taxes, ordinary revenue being quite sufficient to make both ends meet.

We must conclude, in view of these facts, that in the period between the War of the Restoration and the Seinan War no war taxes were newly levied nor were any old ones extended for warlike purposes.

The military organization during the period consisted of two different sorts of land forces. Those troops who fought under the Imperial flag in the war of the Restoration still belonged to the jurisdiction of each separate clan, whereas only a small number of soldiers called out of the Satsuma, Chôshu, and Tosa clans formed what may really be regarded as the government army. The expenditure on military and naval

¹ Cf. our investigation on the war cost in War and Armament Loans of Japan.

forces was consequently very small, being 1,575,736 yen for ordinary and extraordinary expenditure on the army and 30,000 yen for extraordinary expenditure on the navy, making a total of 1,605,736 yen for the first period, namely, only 5.3 per cent of the entire state expenditure. Unity of military power was attained in 1871, when the troops of the three clans above mentioned were called to Tokyo, forming a more systematic army, then termed Goshinpei (Bodyguard), while the troops of each clan were dissolved and four garrisons established at Tokyo, Sendai, Osaka, and Kumamoto. Military expenditure thenceforth began to increase, the total expenditure for the army, inclusive of both ordinary and extraordinary accounts, amounting to 3,252,767 yen for the fourth period, or 16 per cent of the total national outlay.

In 1872 the control of national defense, which had hitherto come under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of War (Hyobusho), was divided between the newly-established departments for military and naval affairs. The samurai class was now deprived of its military character and a system of general conscription provided, and a Naval Act was passed to enable the construction of warships to be started. This led to a complete readjustment of the military and naval organization of this country. In 1876, namely, one year before the Seinan War, the total expenditure for the army, inclusive of both ordinary and extraordinary accounts, stood at 6,904,829 yen and that for the navy at 3,424,998 yen, thus making a total outlay of 10,329,827 yen, or 17 per cent of the entire annual expenditure.

In such a state of affairs, the annual revenue of the nation must of course meet the ever-increasing expenditure on armaments, as otherwise its financial standing might be impaired. Taxation was twice employed for that purpose. The first taxes of 1868, levied with a view to complete the organization of the army at the time of the Restoration, were in the form of provincial assessments on such feudal lords as had renewed allegiance to the Emperor. With these, the military taxes of the Meiji Era made a start. *Meiji-Shiyo* ¹ records the fact that

¹ A Brief History of the Meiji Era, compiled by the Dajokwan, vol. 1, p. 78.

on each clan was imposed the obligation to supply, for every 10,000 koku of income, 10 men (3 men for the time being) intended for the defense of the capital, besides a reserve corps of 50 men for the defense of the respective districts, and an assessment of 3,000,000 ven as war funds. On each clan with an income of less than 10,000 koku a corresponding amount was assessed as war funds, but no military service was demanded. The second taxes, which were levied in 1873 in order to provide for military and naval expenses, were those imposed on the hereditary incomes of the nobles and members of the samurai class. These originated in the taxes of 1869 (kanrokuzei) on salaries of all officials under the central government and prefectures, which were abolished in December, 1869, for a time in consequence of the reform carried out in the stipend system. The above-mentioned taxes on the stipend (kanrokuzei), originally imposed by a government decree of December, 1873, excepting the prize stipend, were intended to apply after 1874 to the nobles and the shizoku, or samurai. The decree classified the taxable hereditary stipend in rice into 335 grades, from 5 koku to 65,000 koku, while the rate of assessment was fixed upon the principle of progressive taxation, the amount ranging from 1 sho to 25,000 koku. To maintain equilibrium of burden, kanrokuzei (taxes on salaries) were reintroduced by the decree of April, 1874. Another decree of May, 1875, extended the liability to pay taxes to heimin (common people) who might possess hereditary stipends. Still another, of December of the same year, imposed taxes on the hitherto exempt prize stipend. In August, 1876, an Act relating to the issue of hereditary pension bonds became effective, and since 1877 every form of hereditary and prize stipend has been abolished and pension bonds granted instead; naturally the taxes in question were made invalid in absence of the taxable objects. Among the taxes on stipend, those levied on the nobles and samurai caste alone pertain to war and armaments; nevertheless, as they can not be severed from the two remaining stipend taxes, the entire revenue may conjointly be mentioned. From the eighth period to the ninth fiscal year, it amounted to a total of 7,155,144 yen, which consisted mainly of taxes on the nobles' and samurais' stipend, the other two sorts obviously bringing forth quite a small sum.¹ The military taxes during the period preceding the Seinan War are thus limited to provincial assessments and to taxes on hereditary incomes of the nobles and samurai caste, both intended to cover the cost of national defense.

¹ Cf. Shigenobu Okuma, Kessan-hokokusho for Eight Periods; and Financial History of Meiji Period, vol. vi.

CHAPTER III

FROM THE SATSUMA REBELLION TO THE SINO-JAPANESE WAR

In the preceding pages we have considered the taxes exacted for warfare and armaments from the Restoration to the ninth year of Meiji (1876). We have noted that during that period, which was one of incessant civil strife, perhaps reasonably to be expected under a newly established government, there was no introduction of new or increase of old taxes to meet the war cost; military taxes were only twice levied in order to complete military and naval outlays. The Satsuma Rebellion or the Seinan (Southwestern) War, which broke out on February 5. 1877, at Kagoshima, was on a much larger scale than the preceding insurrections of the opening years of Meiji. rebellion was, properly speaking, an internal strife among the supporters of the new government of Meiji. It lasted for nine months, extending over a large area. Participating in the engagements were 62,000 soldiers, 2,104 sailors (some 64,000 men in all), and 14 warships, besides 23,500,000 employees and coolies, and a total expenditure of 41,567,726 yen was incurred. How to defray the expenses was a hard problem for the government, for the introduction of new or the increase of old levies might impair popular sentiment, as the prestige of the new polity was not as yet universally recognized throughout the land. There was no prospect of raising national loans from the public. At length, a loan of 15,000,000 yen at the low interest of 5 per cent per year was contracted with the National Bank, the inauguration of which, by virtue of the hereditary pension loans invested as its capital, had at that time been in contemplation by Tomomi Iwakura, who agreed to the government loan in exchange for the privileges granted by the authorities, thus facilitating the establishment of the bank. But this was, so to speak, a mere makeshift. The amount

borrowed did not come up to the fiscal exigencies of the war. The course of the hostile activities called for an urgent supply of additional war funds, and the government was forced to issue new paper money to the amount of 27,000,000 yen, to which was added a contribution of 10,000 yen from Sakai Prefecture. In this manner, although not without immense difficulty, there was raised a total war cost of 42,010,000 yen. It may thus be noted that in the Satsuma Rebellion no war taxes were levied nor were the rates increased.

In 1880 the rate of the *sake* manufacture tax was doubled to redeem the inconvertible paper currency hitherto issued to defray the war cost. At the end of the year, the redemption fund had to be increased and a revision introduced regarding the items of local tariffs, the expenses of constructing or repairing prefectural buildings being charged to local taxation. In 1882 a stamp duty on patent medicines and taxes on brokers in the Rice Exchange and Stock Exchange were introduced for the same purpose. These taxes, all aiming at the redemption of war loans, are in fact indirect war taxes; but as the scope of the present investigation is limited to direct war taxes, we must not take them into account.

The period between 1882 and the war with China may be marked as one of increase of armaments. The history and fiscal statistics are minutely dealt with in the first part of War and Armament Loans of Japan, a separate volume in this series, to which the reader is referred. But the main features may here for convenience be succinctly stated. In 1882 expenditure for the army stood at 9,600,000 yen, that for the navy at 3,400,000 yen, making a total of 13,000,000 yen, namely, 17.8 per cent of the total annual expenditure. In 1883 military expenses of 10,849,827 and naval expenses of 6,200,599 made a total of 17,050,426 yen, or 20.5 per cent of the total national outlays of the year. The above figures for the navy are chiefly due to the fact that 26,670,000 yen were to be expended in organizing a powerful fleet in the eight years from 1883 to 1890. Between the sixteenth (1883–84) and the twenty-first (1887–88) fiscal year, the construction of 20 warships was either commenced or completed; in the twenty-second (1888-89) fiscal year, 8 ships, including a few of minor size and torpedo boats, were added: in the twenty-third (1890-91), a total tonnage of 58,000 was reached, manned by some 5,900 seamen. In nine years conditions were absolutely changed. The naval expenses of 3,400,-000 yen in 1882-83 rapidly rose to 9,596,559 yen in 1891-92. Turning our attention to the army, we find that in 1884 a number of infantry regiments in the garrisons were reorganized into brigades, so as to form a basis for establishing army divisions; that in 1886 artillery was placed in the fortresses newly constructed in the important strategic points on the coast: that in 1888 army divisions, six in number besides the Imperial Guard Division, were organized; that in 1889 and after, fortifications and barracks were most profusely constructed and guns and cannon amply provided. This caused an extravagant increase of army expenditure; starting from 9,600,000 yen in 1882, it reached the enormous sum of 14,647,751 yen in 1891. Thus, we obtain, for the latter fiscal year, a total expenditure, both on the army and the navy, of 24,244,310 yen, that is, almost double the corresponding amount in 1882, and 31.8 per cent of the total annual expenditures.

Means for meeting this vast expenditure were sought in the general annual revenue and in the Navy Loan of 1886; but besides these sources of revenue, the government increased the sake manufacture tax by a decree of December, 1882, and reestablished the soy manufacture tax by a decree of May, 1885, besides introducing the confectionery tax. These are taxes for maintaining armaments, thus coming within the scope of our investigation.

An Imperial edict of April, 1889, introduced a system of income taxation, necessitated, on the one hand, by the reduction of taxes on Hokkaido products, and, on the other, by the urgent need of coast defense expenditure, due to the increase of armaments. This income tax should not be regarded as a mere source of revenue for armaments, as it was intended to meet the increasing expenses of general administration, as well as to introduce a reform in the system of tax legislation. Like

those taxes levied or increased, as mentioned above, for the purpose of consolidating war loans, this income tax must be passed without comment.

In view of these facts, we must conclude that no war tax, strictly speaking, was imposed during this period, and that, if military taxes levied for armaments are taken into account, we must mention the increase of the sake manufacture tax and the introduction of the sov manufacture tax and confectionery tax. The tariffs of the first of these three taxes were originally stipulated in the regulations for the sake manufacture tax enacted in 1880: Class 1, brewed sake (refined and unrefined sake and other similar brewed liquors) at the rate of 2 ven per koku of the quantity brewed; Class 2, distilled sake (shochu and other distilled spirits) at the rate of 3 yen per koku of the quantity manufactured; Class 3, remanufactured sake (superior sake, mirin, white sake, etc., manufactured by combining brewing and distilling processes, or other remanufactured liquors) at the rate of 4 yen per koku of the quantity manufactured. The rates were now raised to 4 yen for Class 1, 5 yen for Class 2, and 6 yen for Class 3. The tax on Japanese soy was assessed in the opening years of Meiji, when it went by the name of myogakin (regalia or royalty), as did the tax on sake. However, it was abolished in 1874 on the ground that it was an article of daily necessity and not of luxury. The needs of the war chest gave life to the old tax a second time, and the Treasury drew up the regulations on the soy tax based upon those on the sake tax. For purpose of assessment the sov taxes are classified into two items—license tax and manufacture tax. The rate of the former is 5 yen per annum per factory, that of the latter is I yen per koku manufactured. The confectionery tax had formerly been a part of business taxes and its yield went to local treasuries. Regulations on the confectionery tax were now inaugurated in order to supply revenue for military preparations. The taxes are assessed by dividing them into two sorts—business taxes and manufacture taxes. The former are again subdivided into three groups: (1) taxes on manufacturing business, (2) taxes on wholesale business.

and (3) taxes on retail business. The taxes on a confectionery manufacturing business are imposed according to the number of persons engaged, viz., 15 yen for 10 persons or more, 10 yen for 3 persons or more, 5 yen for 2 persons or more, 1 yen for a business with no employees. The taxes on a wholesale confectionery business are also similarly assessed, viz., 20 yen for 10 persons or more, 15 yen for 6 persons or more, 10 yen for 3 persons or more, 5 yen for 2 persons or less, 1 yen for a business with no employees. A confectioner, according to the provision of the regulations, liable for more than two classes of the confectionery taxes must pay the larger amount, the rates for each one of the three being assessed according to the number of persons employed. Manufacture taxes are levied on the manufacturer of confectionery at the rate of 5 per cent of the proceeds of his sales.¹

As set forth in this and the preceding chapter, the military taxes assessed during the twenty-six years from the Restoration to the war with China are all those for armaments and are not war taxes pure and simple. These taxes are of various descriptions: provincial assessments of the clans and the taxes on the hereditary incomes during the period between the Restoration and the Satsuma Rebellion, sake taxes, soy taxes, and confectionery taxes during the period subsequent to the Satsuma Rebellion. Assuming the increased annual revenue of sake taxes to be approximately 3,000,000 yen on the average, judging from their yields, both before and after the revision, the annual revenues of each military tax during the period are as shown on page 18.

In the following table the revenue of provincial assessments of the clans shows great fluctuations from year to year: 70,000 to 80,000 yen for the first two periods swelled to 378,000 yen for the third and to 1,460,000 yen for the fourth, an increase of from five to twenty times, wheras it diminished for the fifth to nearly half the last figure, and lastly it stood at 108,000 yen or an increase of 50 per cent of the original amount of revenue. The sudden swelling of the figures for the third

¹ Cf. Financial History of Meiji Period, vol. vi.

ANNUAL REVENUE FROM MILITARY TAXES

Total	Yen	73,377	79,137	378,093	1,461,064	693,815	108,871		2,949,839	2,075,118	2,130,187	:	:	:	:	:		3,000,000	3,000,000	4,078,072	4,733,314	4,848,459	4,988,309	4,935,111	4,873,222	4,868,298	4,865,022	4,926,600
Confectionery taxes	Yen	:	:		:	: :	:::	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		437,893	544,90I	595,738	628,323	647,033	662,647	614,192	587,011	593,807
Soy taxes	Yen	:		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	:	•	•	:	: :	:	:	•	•	•	•	•	:	:	:	640,179	1,188,413	1,252,721	1,359,986	1,288,078	1,210,575	1,254,106	1,278,011	1,332,793
Sake	Yen	:	:	•	•	•	: :	:::	:	: : : : :	:	•	:	•	•			3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000
Taxes on hereditary stipend	Yen	•		:		:	:	:	2,949,839	2,075,118	2,130,187	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	:	:		:	•	•	:::		: : :	•			•			•
Provincial assessments	Yen	73,377	79,137	378,093	1,461,064	693,815	108,871	: :	•		: :	•	•	:	•	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:	:	:	•	•
Fiscal period or year		First	Second	Third.	Fourth	Fifth	Sixth	Seventh	Eighth	1875-76	1876-77	1877-78	1878-79	1879-80.	1880-81	1881-82	1882-83	1883-84	I884-85	1885-86	I886-87	1887-88	1888–89l	I889–90	16-0681	1891–92	1892-93	1893-94

and fourth periods is due to the fact that the assessments on the clans were extended to their retainers' fiefs as well, while the dissolution of the feudal system and the establishment of prefectures in 1871 led to the abolition of the above assessments, to which must be ascribed the immense decrease of revenue for the fifth and sixth periods, the amount being the sum of the arrears subsequent to the abolition of the taxes. Taxes on hereditary income before and after the eighth period brought in annually almost a fixed sum: 2,900,000 yen for the eighth; 2,000,000 yen for the eighth fiscal year; 2,100,000 yen for the ninth. This is how the matter stood prior to the Satsuma Rebellion.

As regards the state of affairs after the Rebellion, we have to mention 3,000,000 *yen* as an increased yield of the *sake* taxes for 1883. Adding to this the taxes on soy and confectionery, the revenue amounted in 1885 to 4,078,072 *yen*, subsequently ranging from 4,900,000 to 4,800,000 *yen* until it reached 4,926,602 *yen* in 1893, the year preceding the war with China. Thus it shows an increase of 70 per cent during the period.

We have to consider further the percentage which military taxes bear to the total revenue from taxation. For the period prior to the Satsuma Rebellion, the provincial assessments of the clans with their fluctuating yields must here be omitted. Taxes on hereditary stipend ranged from 3.9 per cent for the eighth period and 3.5 per cent for the eighth fiscal year to 4.1 per cent for the ninth. The average amount of annual revenue from military taxes during the whole period is figured out at 1,243,688 yen, or 3 per cent of the total amount of average annual revenue from taxation.¹

Respecting the period subsequent to the Satsuma Rebellion, the ratio of the revenue from military taxes to the total revenue from taxation stood at 4.4 per cent for the sixteenth year (1883–84), advanced to 7.8 per cent for the eighteenth (1885–86), and then, varying between 7 per cent and 7.6 per cent, showed 7 per cent for the twenty-sixth (1893–94), or an increase of 60 per cent over what it was in the sixteenth fiscal

¹ See table no. 1 (a), Appendix A, post, p. 155.

	Ratio of military taxes to total tax revenue	Per cent	:	:	•	•	:	:	4.4	4.5	7.8	7.4	7.3	7.7	6.9	7.4	9.2	7.2	7.0	7.1	
M TAXATION	Per capita	Yen	•	:	•	•	• • • •	•	180.	080.	.108	.123	.124	.126	.123	.120	.120	811.	811.	.113	
TIO OF REVENUE FROM MILITARY TAXES TO TOTAL REVENUE FROM TAXATION	Military	Yen	:	:	•		•	* *	3,000,000	3,000,000	4,078,072	4,733,314	4,848,459	4,988,309	4,935,111	4,873,222	4,868,198	4,865,012	4,926,602	4.460.288)
RY TAXES TO TOT	Average amount of taxes per capita	Yen	I.384	1.475	I.554	I.538	1.696	1.846	I.828	1.794	I.388	1.388	1.672	J. 696	1.634	I.778	I.635	I.582	I.635	1.585	0.0.4
NUE FROM MILITA	Total revenue from taxation	Yen	47,923,182	51,485,907	55,579,685	55,262,421	61,675,927	67,738,535	67,659,762	67,203,829	52,581,262	64,371,436	66,255,345	64,727,166	71,294,260	66,114,681	64,423,353	62,167,796	70,004,763	62.420.277	110100+120
RATIO OF REVE	Population		34,628,328	34,898,540	35,768,556	35,925,313	36,358,994	36,700,118	37,017,302	37,451,764	37,868,897	38,507,177	39,069,691	39,601,237	40,074,020	40,453,461	40,718,677	41,089,940	41,388,313	20 285 408	074.000,000
	Fiscal		10 (1877–78)	II (1878–79)	12 (1879–80)	_	14 (1881–82)	_	(188	17 (1884–85)	18 (1885–86)	19 (1886–87)	(1887	21 (1888–89)	22 (1889–90)	23 (1890-91)	24 (1891–92)	\sim	26 (1893–94)	Average	2003 93

year. The aggregate sum of the military taxes during this period amounts to 49,063,172 yen, that is, an average annual revenue of 4,460,288 yen. This amount is equivalent to 7 per cent of the average annual revenue from the total taxation, i.e., 65,618,523 yen.

Readers are referred to Table No. I (b), Appendix A,¹ for minute details, but the more important figures are given on the opposite page.

¹ Post, pp. 156-7.

CHAPTER IV

FROM THE SINO-JAPANESE WAR TO THE RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR

Although spoken of as wars, those successive campaigns prior to the war with China are, to all intents and purposes, merely internal strifes, mainly waged by ambitious rivals struggling for political supremacy. But the war with China in 1894 and the subsequent campaigns were really fought between nations and deserve the name of international wars. speaking, the real cause of these wars may with justice be ascribed to the contests for economic supremacy incidental to the development of national resources. The methods of defraying the war cost, as was observed in the introductory remarks, vary with the epochs. The more important revenues before the Sino-Japanese War had consisted in the compulsory issue of paper currency, loans from millionaires, contributions, etc., which now boast of merely secondary significance and are gradually superseded in importance by voluntary public loans and taxation in the modern sense of the word. As regards the public loans, the reader is referred to the third section of the Japanese Research Department, while the war taxes will be explained later on in the present treatise. The causes and progress of the hostilities as well as the war expenditures for each war since the Sino-Japanese War are given in detail in the first, fourth, and fifth volumes of the researches. These points are omitted in the present study, the statistics of war expenses only being noted. In passing, it is worth mentioning that there may be a slight discrepancy between the figures in the present treatise and those in the first volume, owing to the inevitably different points of view from which they are made out in respect to the sources of revenue.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE SINO-JAPANESE WAR

The Sino-Japanese War began in July, 1894, and was brought to a victorious end in April, 1895, with the peace treaty of Shimonoseki. For the ten months of hard fighting, Japan disbursed 232,609,771 ven as the total war cost, defraved from the special account of the extraordinary military expenditures. The sources of revenue consisted of (1) the treasury surplus in 1893 of 23,439,086 yen; (2) public loans raised, 116,-804,926 yen; (3) contributions to the military and naval relief fund, 2,788,741 yen; (4) sundry receipts, 1,519,305 yen; (5) receipts from the occupied territories, 624,425 yen; (6) receipts from Formosa and the Pescadores, 935,679 yen; (7) special fund transferred, 78,957,165 yen. These items brought forth in the aggregate 225,230,129 yen, the surplus over the expenditure being carried forward to the general account for the fiscal years subsequent to the twenty-ninth (1896-97). It must be noted from the above that the government depends for meeting the war expenses upon the proceeds of public loans and the receipts of a special fund (Chinese indemnity), having recourse neither to the introduction of new nor to the increase of old taxes.1

Of the many readjustments after the Sino-Japanese War, the expansion of military and naval preparations heads the list in importance. The scheme of the ten-year expansion of national armaments, which was finally decided upon in the twenty-ninth fiscal year (1896–97), necessitated a disbursement of 106,487,336 yen for the army between 1896 and 1903, and 211,650,116 yen for the navy between 1896 and 1906, making a total outlay of 318,137,458 yen. This enormous expenditure was covered by (1) 196,058,025 yen from the Chinese indemnity; (2) 77,168,702 yen from public works loans; (3) 44,910,-731 yen from the ordinary revenue of the state.

Thus, even if we take it for granted that the appropriations from the ordinary revenue all consisted of proceeds of taxation, obviously no special increase of old levies for the purpose of

¹ Cf. Report on the Post-Bellum Financial Measures of the Russo-Japanese War.

warfare was necessitated, as the amount—4,490,000 yen—is equivalent to the annual revenue from ordinary taxation. In fact, the government introduced in the twenty-ninth fiscal year a new system of business taxes and registration duties, thus creating 7,551,377 yen and 6,423,993 yen respectively. In the same year the government increased the rates of the sake taxes and carried into effect the state monopoly of leaf tobacco, obtaining 9,284,544 yen by the former means and 10,316,379 yen by the latter. Because of these taxes the government had a total of 33,576,293 yen at its disposal. At the same time, however, the government abolished the license tax for the manufacture of sake and the tax on sake for home consumption; also the business taxes on spirits, barm, soy, tobacco, confectionery, ship money, the tax on vehicles, the license tax on dealings in oxen and horses, the ship money of Okinawa Prefecture, and some export duties, thereby losing an approximate revenue of 10,000,000 yen. Thus, the new program had in view a rearrangement of the taxation system as it was then in force, as well as an extension of the sources of revenue for the general account of the national exchequer. These new taxes, therefore, can not be enumerated as military or war taxes.

The Treasury expected to obtain, by the above adjustment, an increase of the annual net revenue averaging close to 25,000,-000 yen, making allowance for the reduction of 10,000,000 yen due to the abolished taxes; but the result obtained came far short of the mark. Furthermore, the expansion of ordinary expenditure since the thirty-first fiscal year (1898–99), together with the difficulty of raising public loans owing to the stringency of the domestic money market incidental to an excess of imports, led the government to decide, as another post-bellum measure, upon a second increase of taxation in 1899, which involved an 8 per cent increase of land taxes for the next five consecutive years, another increase of income taxes, sake manufacture taxes, and registration duties, as well as the raising of monopoly rates for leaf tobacco, the introduction of tonnage dues, the revision of taxes on convertible note issue, and the advancement of soy manufacture taxes and of postal

revenues. Evidently, these levies, either increased or revised, are not to be termed war or military taxes.

To sum up, despite the immense expenditure involved in the post-bellum government undertakings pertaining to national defense, industries, and administration, no military or war taxes in the strict sense were levied in consequence of the war with China.

IN CONNECTION WITH THE BOXER UPRISING

The Boxer Uprising began in May, 1900, and was concluded in September, 1901. Japan joined in the campaign with the allies and dispatched an expedition. The military and naval expenditure on this account in 1900 and 1901 amounted to 35,643,790 yen (exclusive of general charges), which were defrayed in 1900 by (1) appropriations from the ordinary revenue amounting to 2,500,000 yen and (2) transferring the use of the replenishment fund for warships and torpedo boats, amounting to 20,000,000 yen, making an aggregate sum of 22,500,000 yen. The second of the above sources of revenue had to be refunded in the thirty-fourth fiscal year (1901-2), whereas 22,-800,000 yen still remained to be defrayed for the affair in the same year. The stringency of the home money market baffled the idea of raising any domestic loans, and the Treasury found no way but to resort to the increase of taxation. Accordingly, in the budget compiled for the year 1901-2 an increased taxation bill was submitted to and passed by the Diet. The different items of increased taxes, with their rates, are given in the following table:

A. Sake manufacture taxes (enforced on and after October 1, 1901):

Class I. Refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, and mirin increased to 15 yen per koku from 12 yen.

Class 2. Shōchu (distilled spirits) increased to 16 yen per koku from 13 yen. Spirits and other alcoholic liquors; taxes on mixed sake abolished. The taxes on these liquors are assessed by koku on the quantity manufactured, the rates being fixed at 75 sen for every 1 per cent of alcohol therein contained. The rates shall in no case be less than 16 yen per koku.

B. Beer-brewery taxes (enforced on and after October 1, 1901):

Assessed on the manufacturer at the rate of 7 yen per koku on the quantity brewed. These taxes are imposed on the estimated quantity brewed during the previous month, and are to be paid in the succeeding month.

C. Sugar excises: Class 1. Under No. 8 Dutch Standard color (sugar and molasses)	I I.60 2.20 2.80	yen per 100 kin
D. Revised customs tariffs:		
Alcohol	.40	yen per liter
inserted	.27	
Petroleum in tin	.032	yen per gallon
Cigars and small cigars	150 150	
SnuffCut tobacco b	150 150	% ad valorem
Other kinds of manufactured tobacco	150	
Chinese liquors (fermented)	100	

■ These excises are collected when the articles are delivered from factories, customhouses, or bonded warehouses.

^b In addition, the monopoly prices of the leaf tobacco were raised from 130 per cent to 150 per cent.

By the above program the Treasury expected to obtain 23,-905,000 ven, in the year 1901–2, including the loans contracted, and to add to its coffers an annual revenue of 21,426,000 yen from the years 1901-2 to 1904-5, as the result of the increased taxes. The actual results again did not attain the object in The revised beer taxes, sugar excises, and the tobacco monopoly proceeds brought in the year 1901-2 a paltry sum of 4,328,925 yen, and revenues from the new and increased levies came, in the year 1902-3, to 16,076,128 yen, thus falling far short of the estimated revenue. The shortage, however, proved to be of small consequence, for the total revenue of these fiscal years sufficed to clear the expenses of the year 1901-2, and the object of increased taxation was consequently attained. Furthermore, the Boxer Uprising was brought to an end in September of the same year, whereas in 1902 an indemnity of 50,000,000 yen paid into the Treasury enabled the authorities to make good the deficit. Theoretically speaking, the increase of taxation due to the affair had to be discontinued with the cessation of warlike activities.

On the other hand, the stringency of the domestic money market impeded any prospect of raising new loans to cover the expenditure upon public works. This compelled the government in the year 1900-1 to transfer 14,700,000 yen from the indemnity fund to defray the expenses for the expansion of various government enterprises. But the amount thus transferred had to be refunded, as the fund in question was intended to serve other purposes, and the time for its use was drawing near. Moreover, the indemnity, which was to be received in the shape of 4 per cent bonds, guaranteed by Chinese customs revenue, subject to the joint supervision of the Powers, was of no practical use to the Treasury in such a straitened condition. Therefore, the government had to keep up the increase of taxation in the intervening years, thus filling up with the yield of increased levies the warships and torpedo boats replenishment fund, from which expenses of some of the public undertakings were defrayed. Part of the indemnity bonds belonging to the general account was sold for similar purposes, while some of them were exchanged for such public loans as were possessed by the deposit account and had fallen due, thus bringing into the national coffers an amount of cash equivalent to the redeemed loans. In other words, the government realized the Chinese loans to the account of the revenue of the increased taxes and the redemption of public loans.1

Thus, the taxes introduced to meet the war expenses could not be abolished and continued to supply an important revenue for defraying expenditure upon public works. Not only that, but the government, despite the fact that the term originally fixed for increased land levies was fulfilled in 1903, now abandoned its once decided scheme of resuming the original rate for land taxes, which had been increased to cover the expenses of augmenting government enterprises as well as general administration. The increased revenue was intended to defray the outlay for navy expansion, which led to the dissolution of the Diet in 1903. The navy expansion bill in question at length secured the approval of the Legislature as a supplementary

¹ Cf. Dr. Kobayashi, History of State Finance (Kokka-zaiseishi), p. 162.

budget, though the continuance of an increased tax bill was rejected and had to be withdrawn.

As stated in this and the preceding chapter, the military taxation during the period is limited to the war taxes imposed at the time of the Boxer Uprising. They brought into the Treasury 4,328,925 yen in the year 1901–2 and 18,889,514 yen in the year 1903–4, an increase of 4.5 times. The total war taxes during the intervening years yielded a revenue of 39,294,446 yen, averaging annually 13,098,149 yen, or 7.6 per cent of the total tax revenue, inclusive of stamp duty and monopoly revenues. The more minute figures are mentioned in Appendix A, Table III,¹ but those of more significant nature are given below:

RATIO OF REVENUE FROM MILITARY TAXES TO TOTAL REVENUE FROM TAXATION

		Total reven taxati		Revenue military	Ratio of	
Fiscal year	Population	Amount	Average per capita	Amount	Average per capita	war taxes to total tax revenue
		Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Per cent
26 (1893–94)	41,388,313	70,004,763	1.691			
27 (1894–95)	41,694,424	71,286,579	1.710			
28 (1895–96)	42,225,080	74,697,623	1.769			
29 (1896–97)	42,669,469	81,764,610	1.916			
30 (1897–98)	43,181,048	101,175,681	2.343			
31 (1898–99)	43,714,142	108,938,510	2.492			
32 (1899–1900)	44,205,873	145,536,900	3.292			
33 (1900–1)	44,710,073	153,459,491	3 - 432			***
34 (1901–2)	45,227,464	162,716,212	3.598	4,328,925	.096	2.7
35 (1902–3)	45,758,821	177,300,470	3.875	16,076,007	.352	9.I
36 (1903–4)	46,588,000	175,504,646	3.767	18,889,514	.405	10.8
Average	44,769,346	146,375,988	3.269	13,098,149	1.286	7.6

¹ Post, p. 190.

CHAPTER V

FROM THE RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR TO THE PRESENT TIME

The Russo-Japanese War commenced in February, 1904, and ended in October, 1905, with the ratification of the peace treaty at Portsmouth, after a stupendous struggle of twenty-one months, in which Japan came out victorious. The war expenses were to be covered in the following manner:

BUDGET FOR RUSSO-JAPANESE WAR

Class	Extraordinary military expenses (special account)	Emergency fund (general account)	Total
 Urgency measure First budget Second budget Extra-budget expenditure and supplementary 	Yen 155,971,035 380,000,000 700,000,000	Yen 257,893 40,000,000 80,000,000	Yen 156,228,928 420,000,000 780,000,000
tary budget 5. Third budget	60,000,000 450,450,000	36,025,409 79,500,000	96,025,409 529,950,000
Total	1,746,421,035	235,783,302	1,982,204,337

The revenue to meet the vast expenditure was arranged as shown in the table at the top of page 30.1

The government adhered, on the whole, to the aforesaid project, raising, between 1904 and 1906, six domestic and four foreign loans, bringing forth in all 1,480,000,000 yen, to which was added a sum of 67,000,000 yen, transferred from the special account and fund. Thus, about 90 per cent of the estimated amount of the extraordinary military

¹The revenue from increased taxation, putting together accounts of various departments, does not comprise that of the second term increase based upon the first program. The above revenue includes the fiscal surplus. Cf. Report on the Financial Conditions of the Russo-Japanese War.

Sources of Revenue for Russo-Japanese War

Class	Extraordinary military expenses (special account)	Emergency fund (general account)	Total
I. Public and temporary	Yen	Yen	Yen
loans	1,492,421,036	63,601,135	1,556,022,171
cial account and fund	0,,.	4,000,000	67,000,000
3. Increased taxation 4. Surplus in general ac-	136,330,678	*	136,330,678
count	52,757,476	168,282,167	221,039,643
dry revenues	2,000,000	3	2,000,000
Total	1,746,509,191	235,883,302	1,982,392,492

expenses was met by means of loans, 2 per cent appropriated from the revenue surplus in the general account, while the remaining 8 per cent was thrown on the shoulders of the taxpavers in the form of increased levies. This scheme of increased taxation was carried out in two parts. The first was enacted by the Emergency Special Tax Law and the Tobacco Monopoly Law, of April 1, 1904, the former being made operative on the date of enactment, and the latter on and after July 1, 1904. The land, income, business, sake, bourse, and mining taxes, as well as the sugar excise, the customs duties, the stamp receipts, and the tobacco-monopoly profits, were added to the list of increased levies, while taxes on woolen textile fabrics and petroleum excises were newly introduced, the total revenue of this part of the program being estimated at 62,201,879 yen. The second part of the program was enacted by the Revised Emergency Special Tax Law, the Succession Tax Law, and the Salt Monopoly Law, all promulgated on January 1, 1905, the first named of the three being put into force on the date of its promulgation, and the last named on and after June 1, 1905. The land, income, business, sake, patent-medicine business, mining, registration, and bourse taxes, the sugar excise, and the customs duties were again subjected to emergency increase.

and the textile-fabric tax, the transit tax, succession tax, and the salt monopoly tax were newly added to the list. This part of the program was to yield an estimated total revenue of 74,128,799 yen. The main features of the increased rates, exclusive of those for the monopoly profits and succession taxes, are shown in the table on page 32.

The tobacco monopoly is an enlarged form of the leaf-tobacco monopoly put into force as a post-bellum measure after the war with China. The monopoly was now made complete, so as to cover the manufacture and sale of tobacco besides the purchase of leaves. This new scheme came into practice in July, 1904, for leaf tobacco, and in April, 1905, for cut tobacco, and both made steady progress and exhibited satisfactory results. The reader is referred to Table No. I (a), Appendix A, for the increase of monopoly profits.

The salt monopoly was included in the first program of wartime finance, when a salt consumption tax bill was submitted to the Diet as a preparatory measure, but was rejected. Subsequently, the government attempted to carry out the salt monopoly in the second program, and this met with the Diet's approval. The law was enacted on June 1, 1905. For the increase of salt monopoly profits, the reader is referred to Table No. I (a), Appendix A.

The succession tax is a new departure in the history of our taxation. The taxable value is classified, and the rates vary with the classes as well as with the different kinds of successors. The classification of the taxable value again varies according to whether the heirs succeed to the house headship (katoku) or to the inherited property only (isan). With the katoku heir, the first class liable to taxation is assessed at an amount of 5,000 yen or less, and, going upwards, the last or ninth class reaches about 100,000 yen, where the rates are made progressive for every increase of 50,000 yen until they reach 1,000,000 yen. With the isan heir, the first class begins at 1,000 yen or less, and, going upward, the last or tenth class reaches above 100,000 yen, the rates being likewise progressive for every increase of 50,000 yen until they

FIRST AND SECOND SPECIAL EMERGENCY TAXES 8

Description	Rate of assessment	First special increase	Second special increase	Total rate
Land tax	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
Provincial dwelling land		3.5	2	8
Urban dwelling land		5.5	1.2	20
Other land	44 44 44	1.8	I.2	5.5
Business tax	I	70	80	2.5
Income tax				
First kind A	I	70	. 80	2.5
100,000 yen)	I	70	10 to 330	1.8 to 5
Third kind (500 yen to 100,-		/~	10 00 330	1.0 10 3
000 yen)	I	470	30 to 200	2 to 3.7
Registration tax Registration of immovables.		_ ,		
Registration of ships	.24 to .25	.3 to I	.5 to I	.5 to 6
Bourse tax		.2 .0 2	.5 to 1	.3 to 5
Wares and negotiable instru-				1
ments	.o6 of sales	, 03	.03	.12
National and local loan				}
bonds	.03	02	* * * *	.05
Manufactures	5 to 15		5 to 15	15 to 35
Foodstuffs	10 to 40		5 to 10	25 to 35
Clothing and accessories	20 to 30	20	15 to 20	35 to 50
Leather, sole	15 5 to 35		5	20
Petroleum	20	20	5 to 10 10	10 to 45
Sugar		20 to 25		50 30
Cotton yarn	15		10	25
Bookbinders' cloth	15		10	25
Manufactured tobacco Wagons	150 10	100.		250
Matches	20		5	15 30
Cocoons			10	10
Rice and unhulled rice Textile fabric excise (ad valorem)	••••	* * * *	15	15
Woolen		15		15
Others	• • • •	• • • •	10	10
Sake manufacture tax	Yen	Yen	Yen	77
Classes I to 4	15 to 23 per koku	.50	I . 50	Yen 17 to 25
Classes 1 to 4	.75 per I' of alcohol	.025	.075	.85
Beer tax	7 per koku	. 50	. 50	8
Alcohol and alcoholic bever- age tax				
(1)	16 per koku		2 ~	18
(2)	.75 " "	.025	.075	.85
Sugar excise				
Classes I to 4	1 to 2.80 per 100 kin	I to 3.70	.50 to 1	2 <u>to 7.50</u>
Class I to	I per koku or less	.25	`	1.25
Class 4	2 " manu-	. 58		2.50
Shooting license tow/first class	factured			
Shooting-license tax(first class) Mining tax	20 10 per 1000 tsubo	10	10	40
Gold placer mining tax	10 pcr 1000 #3#00	****	.20	-30
River-bed			.30 per 1 <i>cho</i>	.30
River-bed concessionaire	****		.30 per 10,000	.30
Patent-medicine business tax			tsubo	
Prices of the output (300 to				
100,000 yen)	2 per dose		1 to 100	3 to 102
Stamp duty	.01 to .20		.01 to 4.98	.03 to 5
Traveling tax				
50 miles or less	* ***		10,	.or
Petroleum consumption tax	****	1	.50	.50
(Up to Sept. 1904; exempt				
after Oct. 1904)	.032 per gal.	***		.032
Stamp duty for administra- tion litigation				
cion neigación	* * * *	••••	.25 to 7	.25 to 7

[&]quot;The details are shown in table no. 11, Appendix A. post, pp. 162 et seq.

reach 1,000,000 yen. The rates to be applied, too, differ with the katoku and isan heirs, graduated into three classes according to the degree of relationship between the heirs and the testators. For instance, the minimum rates for the katoku heirs are 12, 15, and 20 per mille and the maximum 135, 140, and 150 per mille, while those for the isan heirs are 15, 17, 25 per mille and 145, 150, 160 per mille, respectively. The Treasury expected an annual yield of 4,309,596 yen from the succession taxes.

The Emergency Special Tax Law stipulated (Article 1) that the revenue from this special taxation was intended to cover war expenses, and that (Article 27) the taxes thereby imposed were to be abolished at the end of the year after the restoration of peace. The exigencies of post-bellum finance, however, called for the continuation of these taxes, and instead of adhering to the letter of the law, which brought them to an end in 1906, the government subsequently endeavored to make the emergency taxes permanent by Law No. 7, of March, 1906, which cancelled the two articles above indicated.

The principal reasons that led to this proceeding may here be advantageously pointed out. The adjustment of post-bellum finance, involving the question of national debts, the increase of soldiers' pensions and annuities, not to mention an appalling increase of military and naval expenditure, could not be avoided. A corresponding increase in the line of post-bellum measures as well occasioned various additional outlays. The ordinary budget for the fiscal year 1906–7, comprising the ordinary annual account together with an additional appropriation due to the situation, is as follows:

ws.	Expenditure	Kevenue
	Yen	Yen
Ordinary	392,532,477	355,592,942
Extraordinary	101,172,230	139,111,764
Total	493,704,707	494,704,706

Each item of the ordinary expenditure above mentioned can be grouped into three heads—expenses incidental to the

situation, increasing expenses in connection with the contemplated expansion of various departments, and the annual expenditure in the ordinary account for the preceding fiscal years. The amount under each heading, together with the corresponding sources of revenue, is as follows:

Expenditure	Amount	Revenue	Amount
Ordinary annual expenditure up to 1905 Expenses on national debts and armaments Expenses of expansion of various departments Total	Yen 180,000,000 158,000,000 17,000,000 355,000,000	Ordinary annual revenue up to 1905 Emergency special taxes Natural increment due to general prosperity	Yen 219,000,000 136,000,000 37,000,000 392,000,000

Expenses on national debts and armaments consist of the following items:

	Yen
Appropriation on account of the sinking fund	110,000,000
Increase of pensions and annuities	32,000,000
Increase of ordinary army expenditure	11,000,000
Increase of ordinary navy expenditure	5,000,000
Total	158,000,000

These items are all ascribable to war, though, unlike the war cost, they are of a permanent nature and must no doubt be covered by ordinary revenue. The surplus of the ordinary revenue, however, is estimated at only 35,000,000 yen, and if we subtract from it the ordinary expenditure as well as the increased expenditure, it can not meet the ordinary expenditure due to the war. This is the most significant reason why the great emergency taxation long survived the return of peace. In other words, the Treasury had to persist in the emergency imposts partly to redeem war debts and partly to defray the cost of armaments. These taxes, therefore, may justly be called military taxes in the indirect sense of the term.

Such being the state of affairs, the emergency taxes were levied continuously. On the other hand, in the fiscal year 1907-8 the following expenditure of 561,820,606 yen in all for the expansion of armaments was approved as continuing extraordinary expenses:

THE ARMY	
Restoration to former efficiency. Building and repairing expenses and equipment Extraordinary military expenses.	Yen 51,894,718 110,449,841 129,659,183
Total	292,003,742
THE NAVY	
Construction of warships (after 1906) Replenishment of warships and torpedoes Naval equipment	87,232,314 64,077,291 110,922,709
Extraordinary naval expenses	7,584,550
Total	269,816,864

This enormous expenditure, together with the cost of railway construction and improvement involved in the eightyear scheme, caused the sum of 138,700,000 yen to be deducted from the remainder of the continuing expenditure. Moreover, an equally vast expansion of the national outlay along other than military lines caused an immense increase of expenditures. In the fiscal year 1909-10 a far-reaching depression in economic circles revealed the fact that an approximate deficit in revenue of 260,000,000 yen could not be averted for the coming six years, so that an annual shortage of about 40,000,000 ven had to be supplied. The Treasury found no path open to it but to postpone part of the government undertakings and to have recourse to public loans and to increased taxation. The measures for the increase of government revenues then adopted consisted in raising the taxes on sake manufacture and beer, in sugar excises, and in creating petroleum excises, although all these were not imposed solely for the needs of the war chest, and therefore can not be termed military taxes, having more complicated and indirect objects in view.

After the war, the government entered upon the task of

¹ Cf. Report on the Post-Bellum Financial Measures of the Russo-Japanese War, pp. 28 et seg., and Dr. Kobayashi, History of State Finance (Kokka-zaiseishi), pp. 230 et seq.

adjusting the post-bellum finances. In the budget for the fiscal year 1910–11, a project for adjusting the taxation system was submitted to and passed by the Diet, and consequently a reduction of land taxes, succession taxes, sake manufacture taxes, sugar excises, hunting-license taxes, taxes on administrative litigation papers, and business taxes, representing a revenue of 14,419,805 yen, was brought about in the same fiscal year. This, however, lies outside the scope of the present research and can not be dwelt upon any further.

As indicated in the preceding pages, the military taxes during the epoch consist of the emergency special taxes, salt and tobacco monopolies, and succession taxes, all incidental to the war with Russia, and so deserve the name of war taxes. Of these, however, the emergency special taxes alone are war taxes in the strict sense of the word, salt and tobacco monopolies and succession taxes serving, at the same time, the purpose of the contemplated adjustment of the taxation system. Their main object was doubtless to fill the war chest, and so we have to look upon them as emergency taxes, i.e., war taxes. The returns of their revenue for the period from the first war tax program of 1904 up to 1906 are given on the opposite page.

To these returns must be added the increased revenue of sugar excises, amounting to 3,388,227 yen, carried forward to the next fiscal year. The total revenue of the increased taxes will then reach 62,565,362 yen, which gives a surplus of 363,483 yen over the estimate.

Part of the revenue of the second increase program actually collected in the year 1904–5 amounts to 1,390,208 yen, which, if added to the revenue of increased taxes for the same year, will give a total of 60,567,343 yen, excepting the sugar excises to be carried forward to the next year.

The tables on pages 37-41 show that the first program of the year 1904-5 failed to bring forth the estimated revenue, the deficit amounting to 3,024,744 yen; whereas in the fiscal year 1905-6, the first and second war-tax programs yielded a

REVENUE OF FIRST PROGRAM FOR FISCAL YEAR 1904-1905 a

Items	Actual	Estimated	Difference		
Land taxes Income taxes Business taxes Sake taxes Soy taxes Sugar excises Woolen fabrics excises. Mining taxes Bourse taxes Sake export duties for	Yen 23,893,145 5,660,129 5,154,609 169,585 1,383,811 1,936,763 4,423,766 86,484 232,682	Yen 23,936,213 5,287,315 5,036,199 178,484 1,138,952 8,212,382 2,138,661 79,115 532,846	Yen - 43,068 + 372,814 + 118,410 - 8,899 + 244,859 -6,275,619 +2,285,105 + 7,369 - 300,164		
Okinawa Prefecture Customs duties Petroleum excises Stamp receipts Monopoly profit Total	12,619 2,412,999 1,882,983 2,997,767 8,929,793	5,398 2,330,633 1,238,599 3,620,797 8,466,285	+ 7,221 + 82,366 + 644,384 - 623,030 + 463,508		

^{*}These returns are taken from the actual results investigated by the Revenue Superintending Office, except the stamp receipts (accruing from revenue stamps) and monopoly profits, which are computed by distributing the proceeds of increased taxes of the first war-tax program in proportion to the revenue of each item assessed for the fiscal year 1904–5.

total revenue exceeding the estimated amount by 3,420,836 yen, and in the fiscal year 1906-7, the increased tax revenue from the first and second programs brought the enormous excess of 22,333,025 yen over the estimate.

As regards the percentage which the war taxes bear to the total revenue of taxation, the ratio ranges from 24 per cent in 1904-5 to 49 per cent in 1905-6 and 53 per cent in 1906-7, as shown in the table on page 41.

	ence	Total	Yen	41,414	+2,050,713	7 301,193	+ 84,741	-3,070,508 +3,136,297	- 14,724 - 725,106	
AND SECOND INCREASE PROGRAMS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1905-1906 a	Difference	Item	Yen — 667,435 — 273,779	+1,348,939 +1,507,774	+ 176,818 + 204,975	- 274,104 +2,179,283	+ 84,741 -1,974,045 -1,096,523	+3,078,266 + 58,031	- 14,724 + 5,736 - 730,842	
THE FISCAL Y	Estimated	Total	Yen	4/,190,414	10,553,103	10,092,023	1,632,012	2,183,602	89,279	
ROGRAMS FOR	Estir	Item	Yen 28,549,736 18,640,678	5,266,641 5,286,462	5,083,016 5,809,007	1,971,735	1,632,012 7,376,595 2,400,104	2,183,602	89,279 91,276 1,389,586	
INCREASE P	ual	Total	Yen	40,449,200	13,409,010	11,2/3,010	1,716,753	5,319,899	74,555	
T AND SECONI	Actual	Item	Yen 27,882,301 18,366,899	6,615,580 6,794,236	5,259,834 6,013,982	1,697,631 4,745,366	1,716,753 5,402,550 1,303,581	5,261,868 58,031	74,555 97,012 658,744	
UE OF FIRST	C	Frogram	I	II	I	II		I.	11 11	
REVENUE		Describtion	Land taxes	Income taxes	Business taxes	Sake taxes	Soy taxesSugar excises	Woolen fabrics excises	Patent medicine business taxes	

+ 216,078	+3,876,390 - 976,312 -3,679,912 + 481,359	+3,449,576 + 717,101 -4,295,655	+3,366,733
+ 88,372 + 127,706 - 2,958 - 37,966	- 107,627 +3,984,017 - 976,312 -3,679,912 + 481,359 -1,299,945 +4,749,521	+ 717,101	+1,179,775 +2,186,558
904,469	8,297,994 3,188,180 4,309,596	14,881,363 14,440,787 16,293,667	150,725,023
471,903 432,566 6,578 66,577	5,610,368 2,687,626 3,188,180 4,309,596 3,857,975 11,023,388	14,440,787	76,542,224 74,182,799
1,120,547	30,147 12,174,384 2,211,868 629,684 481,359	18,330,939 15,157,888 11,998,012	154,091,751
560,275 560,272 560,272 9,536	5,502,741 6,671,643 2,211,868 629,684 481,359 2,558,030 15,772,909	15,157,888	77,721,999
		II	III
Bourse taxes	Customs duties Traveling taxes. Succession taxes. Salt tax. Stamp receipts	Tobacco-monopoly profitSalt-monopoly receipts.	Total

* These returns are taken from the actual results investigated by the Revenue Superintending Office, excepting the stamp receipts (accruing from revenue stamps) and monopoly profits, which are derived from the proceeds of increased taxes of the first and second war-tax programs in proportion to the revenue of each item assessed for the fiscal year 1905-6.

REVENUE OF FIRST AND SECOND INCREASE PROGRAMS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR 1906-1907 **

	ance	Total	Yen	- 329,125	4	+ 975,253	+ 3,400,004 + 85,946	+ 220,652 + 1,776,382	8,411		+ 1,396,121
Difference	Differ	Item	Yen 271,473	+ 2,205,333 + 2,688,713	+ 455,461 + 519,792	+ 124,527 + 3,336,356	+ 85,946 - 450,328 + 670,980	+ 1,776,382	- 8,411 + 8,514 - 263,466	+ 698,054 + 698,066	
50+60	lared	Total	Yen	50,250,108	10,553,103	10,892,023	4,815,300	9,776,699	89,279	967,943	943,794
Hetimotod	Estil	Item	Yen 28,549,736	5,266,641	5,083,016	1,978,313	1,632,012 7,376,595 2,400,104	3,260,333	89,279 91,276 876,667	471,903	
101	uai	Total	Yen	49,920,982	15,447,150	11,867,276	0,270,204	9,997,351 5,036,715	80,867	712,991	2,339,915
Actual	134	Item	Yen 28,278,262	7,471,974	5,538,477 6,328,799	2,102,840 6,173,423	1,717,958 6,926,266 3,071,084	5,036,715	80,867 99,790 613,200	1,169,957	
	r	rogram	I		I	П	I	Н	II	III	
	4	Description	Land taxes	Income taxes	Business taxes	Sake taxes	Soy taxes.	Woolen fabrics excises.	ness taxes	Bourse taxes	

+ 7,393,344 + 342,907 - 965,777	+ 0,153,017 - 3,046,869 + 239,006	+22,333,025
+ 1,186,245 + 6,207,098 + 342,907 - 965,777 - 836,611 + 6,990,228	- 3,046,869 + 239,006	+ 5,221,058 +17,111,967
10,388,369	26,274,831	162,506,407
5,610,368 4,778,001 2,120,992 2,426,434 3,857,975 9,816,345	26,274,831	77,618,955 84,887,452
17,781,713 2,463,899 1,460,656	19,027,937	184,839,432
6,796,613 10,985,099 2,463,899 1,460,656 3,021,363 16,806,573	23,227,961	82,840,013 101,999,419
	II	II
Customs duties. Traveling taxes. Succession taxes. Stamp receipts.	Salt-monopoly receipts. Tobacco-monopoly profit	Total

*The returns for woolen fabrics excises, traveling taxes, succession taxes, and salt-monopoly receipts are taken from the outstanding account of March 31, 1907. The returns for the remaining items are derived from the proceeds of increased taxes of the first and second programs out of the total receipts outstanding on March 31, 1907, in proportion to the revenue of each item assessed for the year 1906–7 or actually yielded for the preceding fiscal year (Report on the War Expenses of the Russo-Japanese War).

RATIO OF WAR TAXES TO TOTAL TAXATION

Ratio of war taxes to total tax revenue	Per cent 24 49 53
Per capita	Yen 1.253 3.838
Military	Yen 59,178,138 154,091,859 184,839,433
Per capita	Yen 3.767 5.063 6.610 7.273
Total tax revenue	Ven 175,504,646 239,051,008 315,144,985 350,303,790
Population	46,588,000 47,219,566 47,678,396 48,164,761
Fiscal year	1904 1905 1906 1907

CHAPTER VI

CONCLUSION

In the preceding pages we have pointed out all the taxes increased or introduced primarily in order to defray the direct war cost or expenses of armament, in each of the four periods marked by wars or warlike operations, during the forty-five years from the Restoration to the close of the Meiji Era. We have observed in each period the progress of the annual revenue as well as the ratio the war revenues bear to the total revenue of taxation. All those military or war taxes, with the insignificant exception of the confectionery tax, levied subsequent to the War of the Restoration and at the time of the Satsuma Rebellion, have been continued up to the present. Their continuance is sometimes due to the requirements of the war chest, sometimes only indirectly connected with war; and not seldom are they imposed quite independently of warfare and only incidental to the general condition of national finance. The development of war taxes, irrespective of the reasons for their continuance, in each of the four periods from the Restoration to date, is indicated in the following table:

INCREASE OF WAR TAXES, 1868-1912

Period	Average annual revenue	Ratio to the total tax revenue	Per capita
First (1868–76) Second (1877–93) Third (1893–1903) Fourth (1903–12)	4,460,288 17,558,436	Per cent 3 7 12 65	Yen 0.040 0.110 0.390 3.700

Thus, it can be observed that between the first and second periods the average annual revenue of these taxes increased 3.6 times, and the ratio to the total revenue of taxation 2.3

times. The third period shows an increased revenue of nearly four times what it was in the second, and an increase in the ratio of 1.7 times. The fourth period provides a still more remarkable contrast as against the third, the annual revenue exhibiting a growth of ten times, and the ratio to the total revenue of taxation no less than five times. The ratio of increase throughout the whole epoch can be ascertained by the following proportion: the figure for the first period regarded as 100, the second will become 360, the third 1,440, and the fourth 14,400, so that the taxes grew about one hundred and fifty times during the forty-five years. Of those levies, as has already been indicated, those for the first and second periods, namely, between the Restoration and the war with China, are military taxes for armaments, whereas those for the subsequent periods are war taxes in the narrower sense.

Applying the scientific classification of direct and indirect taxes, those for the first period were direct taxes, while those for the second and the third were indirect, and those for the fourth consisted of 34 per cent direct and 66 per cent indirect taxes.

RATIO OF DIRECT AND INDIRECT WAR TAXES, 1868-1912

Period	Average annual revenue	Direct taxes	Per cent	Indirect taxes	Per cent
First (1868–76) Second (1877–93). Third (1893–1903) Fourth (1903–12).	Yen 1,243,688 4,460,288 17,558,436 187,494,441	Yen 1,243,688 62,310,000	100	Yen 4,460,288 17,556,436 125,184,441	 100 100 66

The foregoing table shows that of all the taxes increased or introduced in direct connection with war, and disbursed solely for purposes of arms and armaments, in the four decades and a half since the Restoration of Meiji, those imposed between the Satsuma Rebellion and the Chinese War were restricted to indirect taxes; and with but the one exception of the Russian War, when an increase of direct taxes was

devised, indirect taxes were in the main always predominant, and have so remained ever since, the rate being 66 per cent of the whole. Though not all the military taxes were disbursed for purposes of arms and armaments, yet as a whole they must be looked upon as so applied. We may safely conclude that war taxes of our nation have mainly been borne by means of indirect taxation.

To sum up, the expansion of Japan's ordinary revenue is largely due to increased taxation in the line of war and armament; war taxes take the foremost place in the present-day system of taxation. Moreover, indirect taxes have for the most part prevailed and still prevail in the whole structure of war taxes, to which fact must be ascribed an almost unprecedented increase of our indirect taxes, as exhibited in Table No. VI, Appendix A.¹ How the war taxes, or, to be more exact, the indirect war taxes, were shifted, and what their effects were upon our national economy and finance, are to be dealt with in the subsequent chapters.

¹ Post, p. 194.

PART II ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF TAXES FOR WAR AND ARMAMENT



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

The economic effects of taxation present a most complicated problem, in that they can be ascertained only to a limited extent. In many cases it is difficult, if not absolutely impossible, to know how far the law of cause and effect holds true of a certain tax. Another difficulty, and one of no inferior degree, presents itself in separating the effects of war taxes from those of other imposts and in isolating them in the vast field of economic facts and fancies. On the other hand, to investigate the effects of war taxes as a whole upon trade, industry, money circulation, and prices would be a fruitless abstraction from which no good could come. To inquire minutely into each war tax and its specific effect would at once exceed the limited time and scope of the present research. Under such circumstances, my treatise on economic effects of war taxes will comprise a more general analysis of each individual war tax with its direct effect upon the object of taxation, as well as a synthetic observation of the effects on national economy. Respecting the financial effects of war taxes, no attention is paid to the secondary effects on finance due to economic conditions affected by war taxes, our attention again being restricted to their immediate influence. It is to be regretted that details have to be limited on account of the brevity of this treatise. Further, it must be remembered that, as has been pointed out already in Part I, the emergency taxes levied at the time of the Russo-Japanese War were most important for their economic effects, and consequently we have to dwell on emergency taxes at greater length and in more minute detail than on other levies for arms.

CHAPTER II

AN ANALYTICAL STUDY OF THE ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF WAR TAXES

Effects of Excises and Customs Duties as War Taxes

As has been succinctly stated in the preceding part of my research, a total burden of 187,494,441 yen is borne by the nation in the form of war taxes, of which sum 34 per cent consists of direct and the remaining 66 per cent indirect taxes. The effects of war taxes upon the national economy at large, therefore, should be roughly considered as those of indirect taxation. The question of economic effects of indirect taxes is essentially one of excises and their effects upon the taxable objects; in other words, the problem before us is that upon the incidence of consumption taxes (excises). Thus, first, the problem will be to deal with the variations of the prices of those excised commodities, and, secondly, to inquire into the effects exerted by each separate war excise upon the quantity and efficiency of its production.

As an aid in studying the price movements of the excised commodities, the following table prepared by the Bank of Japan shows the movements of index numbers for the last ten years:

PRICE-MOVEMENT IN INDEX NUMBERS, 1900-1911

Year	Class I	Class 2	Class 3	Average
1900	100.09	100.10	100.13	100.00
1901	94.35	92.55	99.50	95.43
1902	97.26	92.65	96.70	96.34
1903	106.47	95.35	99.33	102.65
1904	110.49	95.53	111.00	118.13
1905	116.42	109.63	111.84	115.90
1906	121.39	117.16	116.57	119.31
1907	132.66	124.69	123.40	128.73
1908	128.58	110.25	122.55	123.91
909	121.18	107.07	118.25	118.07
910	121.95	105.81	122.65	119.57
911	131.48	109.78	129.13	127.32

^{*} Prepared by the Bank of Japan since 1900, by recording the price movements of 67 staple commodities, which are grouped under three classes: Class 1, articles of domestic production and consumption; Class 2, articles of export; Class 3, articles of import. During the last decade, commodities of domestic consumption rose in price about 31 per cent, those of export 10 per cent, and those of import 29 per cent, averaging a rise of 27 per cent for the whole.

Articles of export have shown the slowest rise in price, all others having shown an increase of about 30 per cent. Food and provisions head the list, rising 70 per cent, mainly attributable to the rise in the price of rice in 1911. Even if rice is excepted, food prices will show an increase of 50 per cent or upward.

Contrasting the price movement of articles for domestic consumption with that of average prices during a corresponding period, we find that the former has always taken the lead, except in 1901 and 1904. In 1911 the prices of articles for domestic consumption increased about 32 per cent, as against 27 per cent for the average prices, thus showing an excess of 4.2 per cent. More exact figures appear in the following table:

RATIO OF PRICES OF ARTICLES FOR DOMESTIC CONSUMPTION TO AVERAGE PRICES, 1901-1911

Year	Articles for domestic consumption	Average prices	Difference
	Yen	Yen	Yen
1900	100.09	100.00	+ .09
1901	94-35	95.43	1.08
902	97.26	96.34	+ .92
903	106.47	102.65	+3.82
904	110.49	118.13	 7.64
905	116.42	115.90	+ .52
906	121.39	119.31	+2.08
1907	//	128.73	+3.93
1908	128.58	123.91	+4.67
909	121.18	118.07	+3.11
910	121.95	119.57	+2.38
911	131.48.	127.32	+4.16

In order to account for the excess in the increased rates of commodities for domestic consumption, we insert the following table, showing the retail prices of staple products between 1901 and 1910. Almost all of them show an increase to a greater or less extent, the most remarkable rates being 280 per cent for salt, 70 per cent for red beans, 60 per cent for sugar, and 40 per cent for wheat flour.

RETAIL PRICES OF STAPLE PRODUCTS, 1901-1910

Kind	1901	1910	Percentage of increase
	Yen	Yen	Per cent
Rice (per koku)	16.586	17.188	6.6
Miso (per kwan)	0.280	0.380	35.7
Soy, highest quality (per cask)	2.390	2.663	II.I
Pickles, takuwan (per piece, moderate size).	0.018	0.031	72.2
Salt, Ako (per bag)	0.543	2.068	281.7
Soja beans (per koku)	8.329	10.739	28.9
Red beans, Hokkaido (per koku)	6.687	11.571	73.0
Wheat flour, "Lion" (per kwan)	0.326	0.458	40.4
Buckwheat Meal, Musashi (per sho)	0.142	0.152	07.0
Tea, Yamashiro or Omi (per kwan)	2.230	2.357	05.6
Sugar, "Tenko" (per kin)	0.099	0.159	60.6
Eggs, Shanghai (per kwan)	15.680	17.565	12.0
Beef, cow (per kin)	0.455	0.560	23.0
Wine, Hachi brand (per dozen)	4.400	5.250	19.3
Beer, Kirin (per dozen)	2.594	2.750	6.0

Taking salt as an instance, we know that in 1901 the average retail price per bag of Ako salt was only .543 yen, which has now advanced to 2.068 yen, an increase of 280 per cent in ten years. This is the state of affairs since the introduction of the salt-monopoly system in June, 1905. It is true that such a sudden rise in prices can be accounted for, as the authorities claim, by a reduction in domestic productivity due to climatic and similar causes. For instance, in 1905, when the monopoly measures were put in operation, incessant rainfall beginning in late spring greatly reduced the salt production, while the salt market increased prices in anticipation of the monopoly enforcement. The enforcement of the salt monopoly law, moreover, has caused an unusual rise even in years of abundant output.

Rises in salt prices naturally led to the rise in prices of other commodities using salt in their composition, such as *miso*, soy, and pickles, which show a rise of from 10 to 70 per cent in the foregoing table.

Rises of from 10 to 70 per cent in prices of soja beans and wheat flour are to be ascribed to the increase of import duties.

¹ Cf. Report on the War Finance of the Russo-Japanese War, p. 522.

Instead of the 5 per cent ad valorem duty enforced by the introduction of the customs tariff system in 1901, the rate on these products as a whole increased to 25 per cent due to the Russo-Japanese War, while an increase of no less than 30 per cent was for soja beans alone. The import duties on wheat flour were at the rate of 10 per cent in 1901, and were increased to 27 per cent at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, to which the 40 per cent rise in prices is undoubtedly due.¹

Thus, it may be seen that of the three groups of commodities, namely, articles of domestic consumption, exports, and imports, the first show the greatest rise in prices, and this is most conspicuously the case with foodstuffs subject to excises. The rises in prices of salt and other foodstuffs as illustrated in the statements above are but a few out of many instances which verify to what extent the repercussion of such taxation is effected. As regards the prices of other foodstuffs affected by taxation, some similar facts can be noted, and we shall proceed to an inquiry into the effects of those taxes produced on the more important beverages and comestibles of daily consumption, such as *sake*, beer, soy, sugar and rice, with each of which we shall deal in succession.

Effects on the prices of foodstuffs

Chief among the numerous commodities of domestic consumption are foodstuffs, including ordinary beverages, and taxes on their consumption compose the greater part of indirect taxation. The problem of indirect taxes as war levies is, therefore, that of the effects produced upon the prices of foodstuffs by excises upon them. This is why we have to explain in the subsequent pages the relation between sake, beer, soy, sugar, and rice, and excises upon them, in order to ascertain the spheres of cause and effect.

¹ Cf. import tariffs after the Russo-Japanese War, Pt. I, chap. v, p. 29; and table no. II, Appendix A, post, pp. 168 et seq.

SAKE AND SAKE MANUFACTURE TAX

No less than eight times since the Restoration have the taxes on refined sake been increased. The six times they have been levied since the Satsuma Rebellion have been due to war and the extension of armaments. The first increase, of 1880, provided the fund to carry out an extension of armaments after the Satsuma Rebellion; the second, of 1896, to provide for a similar extension after the war with China; the third, of 1898, to arrange for a second expansion of arms; the fourth to provide new sinews of war for the Boxer Uprising of 1901; the fifth to meet the requirements of war by means of the Emergency Special Tax Laws (first and second programs) of 1904-5; the sixth to meet increased expenditures of the post-bellum finance of 1908-9. Every increase of the tax on sake after each war has been invariably accompanied by a corresponding rise in the price of sake, as is convincingly manifested in the following table:

COMPARISON OF INCREASES IN TAX ON SAKE WITH CORRESPONDING RISES IN PRICE

Kind	Period	Rates per koku ^a	Prices per koku
		Yen	Yen
Class I. Refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, and	1900-1	12.00	30.68
mirin	1001-2	15.00	31.48
containing 20 degrees or less of alcohol; Shochu con-	1902-3	15.00	32.38
taining 30 degrees or less of alcohol, made from potatoes	1903-4	15.00	34.30
beaming to degree of reso of around, made from postatoes	1904-5	15.50	35.19
	(======================================		
Defined cake unrefined cake white cake and mining	1905-6	17.00	37.61
Refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, and mirin containing 20 degrees or less of alcohol: Shochu con-	1906-7	17.00	38.85
taining 30 degrees or less of alcohol	1907-8	17.00	41.09
taining 30 degrees of less of alcohol	1900-10	20.00	43.20
	1909-10	20.00	43.49 39.55
			09.00
Class 2. Shochu, spirits	1900-1	13.00	
	1901-2	16.00	
Shochu containing 45 degrees or less of alcohol	1902-3	16.00	
	1903-4	16.00	1111
	1904-5	16.50	
•	1005-6	20.00	
	1905-7	20.00	
Shochu, containing 35 degrees or less of alcohol	1907-8	20.00	
3 00 1 3 100 01 100 01 100 01 100 01	1908-0	25.00	
	1000-10	25.00	
	1010-11	25.00	

^a Per koku unless otherwise specified.

Comparison of Increases in Tax on Sake with Corresponding Rises in Price—Continued

Kind	Period	Rates per kokua	Prices per koku
Class 3. Refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, and mirin, containing 20 degrees or over of alcohol; Shochu, containing 45 degrees or over of alcohol	(1901-2 1902-3 1903-4 1904-5	Yen -75 per -75 degree -75 of -775 alcohol	Yen
Shochu, containing 40 degrees or less of alcohol	1905-6 1906-7 1907-8 1908-9 1909-10 1910-11	22.00 22.00 22.00 30.00 30.00 30.00	
Class 4. Shochu, containing 45 degrees or less of alcohol	[1905-6 1906-7 1907-8 1908-9 1909-10 1910-11	25.00 25.00 25.00 35.00 35.00 35.00	
Class 5. Refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, and mirin, containing 20 degrees or over of alcohol; Shochu containing 45 degrees or over of alcohol	1905-6 1906-7 1907-8 1908-9 1909-10 1910-11	.85 per .85 degree 1.00 of 1.00 alcohol	

a Per koku unless otherwise specified.

The foregoing table is evidence of the rise of prices as affected by increased taxation. When the taxes on refined sake were imposed at the rate of 12 yen per koku, its market price stood at 30.68 yen, whereas an increase of 3 yen, effected by revising the sake manufacture tax law in March, 1001, in order to meet part of the expenses for the Boxer Uprising, caused the price of sake at once to rise to 31.48 yen per koku, and subsequently to 34.30 yen per koku in 1903. This again advanced to 35.10 yen through the increase of .50 ven by the first war tax program in 1904, and to 37.61 yen through an increase of 1.50 yen by the second war tax program in 1905. The price at 41.09 yen in 1907 rose to 43.20 yen through an addition of 3 yen by the revised law of 1908. Thus, it may be noted that between 1900 and 1908 the rate was increased by 8 yen, whereas the price rose 12.52 yen higher, thus exceeding the increase of the tax by 4.52 yen. The excess in price advancement is undoubtedly due in some measure to the increased demand for sake and to the rise in prices of rice and other commodities in general; to

no less extent is it to be attributed to the fact that the increase of taxation resulted in a reduction of supply. The annual and quinquennial tables of refined *sake* manufacture since 1872, as well as that of the corresponding *sake* tax increase, are given below:

QUANTITY OF REFINED SAKE MANUFACTURED, 1872-1891

Yeara	Quantity Koku	Year ^a	Quantity Koku
1872 1873 1874 1875 1877 1878 1879 1880 1881 1882 1883 1884 1885 1886 1887 1888 1889 1890	2,963,378 3,267,532 3,611,714 3,118,876 2,491,794 2,862,416 3,851,781 5,015,227 4,498,441 4,790,681 4,895,898 3,063,281 2,999,210 2,576,783 2,869,050 2,981,110 3,824,331 3,688,330 3,068,018	1892 1893 1894 1895 1896 1897 1898 1899 1900 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908 1909 1910	3,293,216 3,495,573 3,719,193 3,599,547 3,970,289 4,636,114 4,140,169 4,380,687 4,127,666 4,615,068 4,041,139 3,309,312 3,615,046 3,153,402 3,792,561 4,167,721 4,368,977 4,134,968 3,922,057 3,861,124

 $^{^{\}rm a}$ In this table the years 1872 to 1875 are computed from January to September. The years 1877 to 1911 are fiscal years as relating to <code>sake</code> manufacture, i.e., computed from October to September.

Quinquennial Average Quantity of Refined Sake Manufactured since 1872

	Fiscal period	S	Average quantity Koku	Percentage of increase or decrease
Second (187 Third (188 Fourth (188 Fifth (189 Sixth (189 Seventh (190	2-76)		3,076,044 4,203,709 3,280,844 3,371,001 3,884,143 4,260,946 3,607,608 4,071,782	$ \begin{array}{r} +36.66 \\ -21.95 \\ +2.75 \\ +15.22 \\ +9.70 \\ -15.33 \\ +12.87 \end{array} $

INCREASE OF SAKE SALE OR MANUFACTURE TAXES

Fiscal period	Rate of assessment	Remarks
1872-75	5 per cent of sales	Enacted by Decree No. 389, July, 1871, the rate being assessed on the sales at
1875-77	10 " " " "	5 ryo per 100 ryo. Enacted by Decree No. 26, February, 1875, the rate being revised to .01 of the sales.
1878-79	ı yen per koku	Revised by Decree No. 38, September, 1878.
1880-82	2 " " "	Revised by Decree No. 40, September, 1880.
1883-95	4 " " "	Revised by Decree No. 61, 1882.
1896–98	4	Revised by Law No. 28, 1896.
1899-1900		Revised by Law No. 23, 1898.
1901-7	15 " " "	Revised by Law No. 7, 1901.
1908-	20 " " "	Revised by Law No. 18, 1908.

A comparison of the two foregoing tables proves that an increase of sake taxes amounting to one-tenth of the sales in 1875 resulted in a reduction of the quantity manufactured in the following year, whereas despite a revision in 1878 to raise the rate to 1 yen per koku, the production in 1870 attained a height almost unparalleled. In 1880 the rate was revised to 2 yen per koku, and consequently a slight diminution in production followed, though in 1881 and 1882 a gradual increase resulted. A still further advancement of the rate to 4 yen in 1885 occasioned a severe falling-off of sake manufacture, which, nevertheless, gradually regained its normal productivity by 1890, in spite of two intervening increases of the taxes. In 1901 the tariff applied to sake was raised to 15 yen per koku, and a similar reduction ensued. Thenceforth, sake manufacture showed a tendency to increase in quantity until about 1907, when the rates at 20 ven per koku were put in operation after the fiscal year 1008-0, and the sake output tended by degrees to diminish. A perusal of the fluctuations of the sake supply in those years should convince the reader of the fact that a reduction in supply is invariably attended by an increase in the manufacture taxes. Considering the fact that sake manufacture taxes were mainly levied, as has already been noted, to cover

1907......

14

expenses of wars and armaments, we can understand how far the *sake* prices were affected by those war taxes imposed upon its producer.¹

BEER AND BEER BREWERY TAX

The beer brewery tax was introduced after the Boxer Uprising of 1900, and increased first by the Emergency Tax Law of 1904–5, and then by a subsequent revision of the law in 1908, which fixed the rate (10 yen per koku) that is at present in operation. The tax is assessed on the quantity brewed, and, notwithstanding the successive increase of the rates, the quantity brewed shows a gradual increase. On the whole, we have reason to conclude that the effect produced by taxation is inconsiderable. The following table will make this clearer:

Year Number of licenses		Number of breweries Estimated output		Rate of tax	Amount of tax	
			Koku	Yen	Yen	
1901	23	23	13,434,000	7.00	94,038,000	
1902	_	25	91,046,000	7.00	637,322,000	
1903	26	26	93,300,182	7.00	653,101,290	
1904	22	22	95,046,988	7.50	713,813,115	
1905	21	22	133,411,000	8.00	1,067,287,000	
1906	13	13	159,368,000	8.00	1.274.041.000	

14

201,144,000

8.00

1,609,155,000

Tax on Breweries, 1901-1907

A reduction since 1906 in the number of both breweries and licenses is due to the amalgamation of the brewery companies then existing. The fusion was accomplished mainly to remedy the disastrous effects of overproduction and competition, and herein no effect of taxation is traceable. In Japan, beer is not yet a beverage of general consumption, and a beer tax is likely to impair but little the economic standing of the people at large.

¹ Cf. Aibara, Statistics of Production.

SOY AND THE SOY TAX

The origin of the soy tax is to be traced from a period as remote as that of the Tokugawa Shogunate. In 1875 it was abolished on the ground that soy was an article of daily necessity and not a luxury, but was renewed in 1885 to provide new armaments. Frequent revisions of the law since 1885 and the Emergency Tax Law of 1904-5 raised the rates of the soy tax in rapid succession, as has been pointed out in the preceding pages. The economic effects produced by the soy tax as reintroduced in 1885 can not be ascertained, as the material for its research is deplorably lacking. Putting aside the situation prior to the Sino-Japanese War, we have to consider the soy tax as it has been levied since then. and at the time of the Russo-Japanese War in particular. At the outset, the rates of the soy-manufacture tax since 1803, together with the annual output and wholesale prices of soy, as well as those of the raw materials in the corresponding periods, are shown in the following table:

RATES OF MANUFACTURE, OUTPUT AND PRICES OF SOY, 1893-1905

Year	Rate	Soy output 1,000 koku	Soy price per koku	Soja beans per koku	Wheat price per koku	Salt price per koku
	Yen		Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen
1893	1.00	1,279	8.830	5.470	5.310	1.340
1894	1.00	1,329	9.130	5.670	5.320	1.200
1895	1.00	1,409	9.570	5.870	5.300	1.390
1896	1.00	1,505	10.710	6.420	6.110	2.440
1897	1.00	1,530	13.330	7.920	7.890	3.170
1898	1.00	1,532	14.227	8.780	8.310	3.382
1899	$\begin{cases} 1.00^{a} \\ 2.00 \end{cases}$	1,855	14.382	8.664	7.382	2.100
1900	2.00	1,572	15.517	7.746	7.189	2.394
1901	2.00	1,723	15.684	7.482	6.861	2.325
1902	2.00	1,767	15.272	7.342	6.887	2.320
1903	2.00	1,763	15.810	8.310	9.270	2.908
1904	${2.00}$	1,858	16.473	10.577	8.345	2.260
1905	2.50	1,762	17.580	10.355	9.553	5.647

After March.

From the foregoing table can be judged the fact that soy prices have advanced from one year to another in proportion to the increase of the tax rates and the rise in prices of raw materials. In the year 1903-4, prior to the Russo-Japanese War, the price of soy per koku stood at 15.81 yen, whereas in 1904-5 an increase of the manufacture tax from 2 yen to 2.50 yen caused a rise in price of 16.47 yen per koku, and subsequently in the year 1905-6 a rise of 17.58 yen, or an advancement of 11 per cent as against the figures before the war. On the other hand, the annual output of soy since 1803 has also exhibited a similar increase from year to year, with the exception of 1900, when it showed a decrease, presumably due to its tariff having been increased from I yen to 2 yen per koku; and in 1903-4, namely, one year before the war, 1,763,000 koku of soy was manufactured, and in the following year, though the rate was raised for purposes of war, the output, nevertheless, showed an increase. yield of 1905, however, decreased slightly—1,762,000 koku as against 1,858,000 koku of the preceding year; but this is not far behind the average yield of the years when the tax was lower, the output being practically the same as before the increase in taxation. In other words, the rise in price of soy can not be accounted for by a shrinkage in its supply, but by an increase in demand. An increase in demand generally involves a corresponding increase in national income, and therefore we have ample reason to believe that Japanese soy consumers suffered nothing from an increase in the tax on soy; nor did its manufacturers feel the effects of the advanced taxation, since the supply increased despite the successive rise in levies. This increase in demand might be due to the increase of population; but a comparison of the progress of population and the domestic consumption of sov shows, as is proved by the following table, that the proportionate increase of soy consumption far surpassed the increase in population in the corresponding period. We may therefore fairly conclude that such an increase in the soy output was unquestionably due to a greater demand for it, in consequence of the general prosperity of the nation.

COMPARISON	OF INC	DEACE IN	POPIII	ATION	WITTE	Sov (CONSUMPTION
COMPARISON	OF INC	REASE IN	I UPUL	AIIUN	WITH	SUY V	CUNSUMPTION

		Soy production				
Year	Population	Total output	Amount of export	Amount of domestic consumption		
		In 1,000 koku	In 1,000 koku	In 1,000 koku		
1894	41,813,000	1,329	6	1,323		
1903	46,732,000	1,763	20	1,743		
Rate of increase						
over 1894	117.6			137.7		

The following table, showing the number of manufacturers of soy for domestic use and the tax levied upon them since 1900, again testifies to a tendency of increase. In view of this fact, the above-mentioned increase in the output of soy is not to be attributed to the extension of the manufacture for sale at the cost of the production of soy for the manufacturer's family use, for the latter showed no decrease during the corresponding period.

Soy for Domestic Use, 1900-1905

Year	Number of manufacturers	Tax revenue
		Yen
1900	5,500	6,988
1901	17,603	21,144
1902	18,626	22,128
1903	18,232	21,521
1904	1,559,637	793,151
1905	1,643,754	837,488

To sum up, during the intervening decade between the two great wars, the output of soy exhibited a remarkable increase, despite the successive advancements in the tax rate. This increase of output is due to a corresponding increase in demand, originating in the natural development of national prosperity; and the increased taxation on soy can not be held to have retarded its productivity nor lessened its standard of quality. Neither have the increased taxes on soy had any bad effect upon the distribution of the national

¹ Cf. Shinsa-kai-yoroku, p. 269.

income, nor consequently upon the economic life of the people.

Just a few words must be added here respecting the relation between the rise in the price of salt and the market price of soy. The revised law of 1900, by which a tax on salt was assessed at the rate of 2 yen per koku, put the market price of soy in 1901 at 17.40 yen per koku, whereas an addition of .50 yen per koku by the first increase program of 1904 raised the price of soy to 19.67 yen per koku, as against 18.29 yen per koku of the preceding year. On the other hand, the revised law of 1906, which reduced the rate by .75 yen per koku, did not bring about any fall in the market price of soy, but, on the contrary, a rise to 22.16 yen per koku.

TAX ON AND MARKET PRICE OF SOY, 1900-1910

37	Assessment (3.5.4		
Year	Refined (Moromi method)	Unrefined (Tamari method)	Market price	
	Yen	Yen	Yen	
1900	2,00	2.00	17.41	
1901	2.00	2.00	18.20	
902	2.00	2.00	17.20	
903	2.00	2.00	18.29	
1904 (Increase)	2.50	2.50	19.67	
905	2.50	2.50	21.76	
1906 (Revision)	1.75	1.65	22.16	
907	1.70	1.65	22.60	
908	1.75	1.65	22.75	
909	1.75	1.65	23.30	
910	1.75	1.65	22.17	

That such high prices long survived the reduction of the soy tax may be ascribed in some measure to the increased demand, but above all because the price of salt, which is an ingredient of soy, had almost doubled since the operation of the salt monopoly in January, 1905.

SUGAR AND THE SUGAR EXCISE

The sugar excise, as was noted in the preceding part of our research, was introduced in March, 1901, to provide funds wherewith to meet the extraordinary expenses of the Boxer

Uprising in 1900. Since its introduction, it has been increased three times to date, and its increase has been always due to the needs of war. The first increase was carried out by the First Emergency Tax Law of 1904, the second one by the Second Emergency Tax Law of 1905, and the third one was enacted to meet the expansion of government finance after the war with Russia. The relation between the sugar excise and the market price is indicated below. At first sugar was graded into four classes, upon the basis of Dutch standard color, and the tax was assessed per 100 kin as follows:

	Yen
Class I	1.00
Class 2	1.60
Class 3	2.20
Class 4	2.80

Up to 1903, the market prices of Class 3 and Class 4 (Japanese and foreign red sugar and white sugar, respectively) indicated no advancement. In the following year, increased levies of 3.30 yen on Class 3 and 3.70 yen on Class 4, involved in the first war tax program, raised the prices of Japanese red sugar to 12.10 yen, foreign red sugar to 9.84 yen, Japanese white sugar to 15.03 yen, and foreign white sugar to 14.63 yen,—an increase ranging from 2.67 yen to 5 yen as contrasted with the preceding year. By the second war tax program, of 1905, the excises on Class 3 and Class 4 were each increased I yen, and sugar prices again tended upward, including those of red sugar, both Japanese and foreign, which had shown a rise less than the increased amount of taxation at the time of the first program would lead us to expect. 1906 and 1907 sugar prices tended slightly downward, although the tariff remained the same. The revision of 1008, comprising an increase of 2 ven on Class 3 and 2.50 ven on Class 4, occasioned a gradual rise in 1909, though in smaller measure than in 1907, the advancement in prices falling short of the increased amount of excises. In 1910 the four classes were revised into six, and the excise was curtailed by .50 yen, resulting in a slight fall of the market price of sugar.

Excise on and Market Price of Sugar, 1900-1910^a

		WARKET PRICE			e per 100	kin
Class	Year	Rate of excise per 100 kin			Japanese white	
		Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	1900		9.30	7.70	12.71	10.02
	[1901	1.00				
	1902	I.00	,			
Class I. Sugar and	1903	I.00		• • • •		
molasses under No.	1904	2.00				
8, Dutch Standard	1905	3.00				
	1907	3.00				
	1908	3.00				
	1909	3.00				
Under No. 11: A. Black sugar						
in cask	1910	2.00				
B. Others	1910	3.00				
	[1901	1.60				
Class & Samuel	1902	1.60				
Class 2. Sugar above No. 8 and under	1903	1.60 4.60			• • • •	• • • •
No. 15, Dutch	1904	3.90 ^b				
Standard	1905	4.40				
	1906	4.40				
	1907	4.40				
	1908	5.50				
Under No Tr	1909	5.50		• • • •		
Under No. 15	1910	5.00			• • • •	
	(1901	2.20	9.37	8.12		
Class 3. Sugar and	1902	2.20	9.45	7 · 59		
syrup above No. 15	1903	2.20	9.07	7 . 59		
and under No. 20, Dutch Standard	1904	5.50	12.10	9.84		
Dutch Standard	1905	6.50	13.90	11.65		
	1907	6.50	12.01	10.40		
	1908	8.50	13.27	11.32		
	(1909	8.50	12.93	12.48		
Under No. 18	1910	7.00	12.49	12.40		
	[1901	2.80			12.47	10.76
Class (Same and	1902	2.80			12.28	10.46
Class 4. Sugar and syrup above No.	1903	2.80	• • • •	• • • •	12.70	10.91
syrup above No. 20, Dutch Standard.	1904	6.50 7.50		• • • •	15.93	14.63
20, 2 atom Staridard.	1906	7.50			17.98 17.12	16.58 15.99
	1907	7.50			16.25	16.09
	1908	10.00			17.57	17.41
TI- 1- N	1909	10.00			17.12	18.09
Under No. 21	1910	8.00	• • • •		17.27	18.56.
Class 5. Above No.	1910	9.00	• • • •		17.27	18.56
Class 6. Sugar candy, lump sugar, loaf sugar, etc.	1910	10.00	• • • •	• • • •		

^a The market prices of sugar are taken from statistics prepared by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce.

^b Represents a decrease of .70 yen since October.

The foregoing table shows the relation between the sugar price and sugar excise since the establishment of the latter. A comparison between the thirty-third year of Meiji (1900) and the thirty-fourth year of Meiji (1901) indicates that the excises imposed on Class 3 and Class 4, which include red and white sugar, amounted to from 7 yen to 9 yen, whereas the rise of sugar prices ranged from only 3.46 yen to 4.70 yen, with the exception of foreign white sugar, which rose to 8.54 yen. Thus, the burden transferred to the consumers is about half the amount of the excise.

That the shifting of the sugar excise was thus limited, and went no further, was due partly to the increase of its importation after the war and partly to the rise in sugar prices caused by the taxation, which in no small measure reduced the consumption. The per capita consumption of sugar in this country was put at about 8 kin at the time of the Chinese War of 1895, and it gradually ascended to 13 kin at the time of the Boxer Uprising of 1901. The enactment of the sugar excise law in the same year immediately tended to a shrinkage in sugar consumption, and in 1902 it dropped to 9 kin per capita.

It subsequently advanced to 11 kin in 1904, but the increase of the rate in the same year again led to an abrupt fall in its consumption, which averaged 7 kin in 1905 and since has been ranging at approximately 9.7 kin. The sugar consumption in the intervening years is given below:

Year	Total consumption Kin	Per capita consumption Kin
1902	412,493,898	9.01
1903		11.07
1904	544,839,255	11.54
1905	342,180,648	7.13
1906	481,175,327	9.93
1907	475,218,387	9.68
1908	483,173,228	9.72

Such a diminution in consumption, other things being equal, necessarily compels the producers to bear part of the excise burden, while the production is tending to further shrinkage. As regards the conditions of sugar production and trade in this country, we have on hand the records of the sugar cane crop since 1878 and those of sugar production since 1887. In the latter year the production stood at 60,000,000 kin, whereas the recent returns for 1911 reported it to be a little more than 114,000,000 kin, or twice as much as it had been 24 years before. Moreover, the sugar import which amounted in 1879 approximately to 1,323,000 kin, increased in 1911 to 131,450,000 kin; its export, which did not exist in 1879, amounted to 477,000 kin in 1902 and to 76,820,000 in 1911. Besides, there have to be added the imports from Formosa of 395,800,000 kin in the latter year, and the exports to Formosa from Japan, amounting to 2,800,000 kin, as well as similar exports of 14,030,000 kin to Korea.

The main features of sugar production, including imports and exports, are given below. Attention is directed to the fact that the sugar output in 1900, prior to the introduction of the sugar excise, stood at 109,854,481 kin, while it abruptly diminished with the establishment of the excise in 1901 to 85,075,275 kin, and kept on diminishing in 1906, until it dropped to 83,284,303 kin in 1907.

SUGAR CROP AND SUGAR PRODUCTION, 1878-19118

Year	Cultivated area	Crop	Average yield per cho	Sugar pro- duction
	Cho	Kwan	Kwan	Kin
878		74,248,189		
882		96,965,220		
1887	16,677.9	115,546,829	693	60,730,144
892				67,007,325
897				83,736,706
898				93,931,919
:899				106,761,644
:900				109,854,481
901				85,075,275
905	18,908.5	205,902,878	1,089	
:906	17,516.2	175,693,773	1,003	84,787,497
907	17,698.6	159,222,003	900	83,284,303
908	17,283.2	171,016,778	989	89,990,523
909	21,365.6	188,184,905	881	98,024,518
910	20,062.0	219,870,982	1,096	109,547,141
911	21,280.8	229,179,482	1,077	114,600,675

^{*} The sugar production since 1906 refers to the annual output for the corresponding fiscal year.

The above record shows most significantly that the reduction of sugar production in the closing decade of Meiji must be ascribed to the rise in the price of sugar, brought about by the introduction of this excise, and subsequently its increase by the Emergency Tax Law, which in turn caused a lessened demand.

RICE AND RICE IMPORT DUTY

In the preceding pages we observed the relation between the taxes upon foodstuffs and other staple commodities on the one hand and their prices as affected by the taxation on the other. The problem before us now is the relation between the price of rice, the most important article of Japanese diet, and its import duty.

A few words on the history of Japanese customs duties may not be inappropriate in this connection. Their origin dates back to 1853, when the American squadron came to Uraga to demand the opening of the country to commerce. The treaties concluded in the first year of Meiji (1868) with various foreign countries remained substantially the same as the treaty with America, which was taken as a model. Thus, it may be seen that the Japanese customs duties began with the conventional tariffs, and not until March, 1897, namely, a few years after the Sino-Japanese War, were there any statutory tariffs put in operation. The customs tariffs then in force were of a multiple system, consisting of the conventional tariffs with 85 major items (with 144 minor divisions) of dutiable articles based upon the treaties and their supplements, and the statutory tariffs enacted by the Import Tariffs, annexed to the Customs Tariff Law. By this new legislation, grains and manures were exempt from taxation, as were also imported cotton and wool. By the first Emergency Special Tax Law of 1904, a 5 per cent ad valorem duty was introduced on grains and articles of food (wheat, barley, beans and peas, corn, sesame, wheat flour, etc.) to keep pace with the increased internal land tax. By the second Emergency Special Tax Law of 1905, the above import duty was increased to 15 per cent, while a 10 per cent ad valorem duty was made operative on and after July 1, 1905, on imported rice and paddy. This is the origin of our rice import duty. The reasons the government gave for introducing the rice import duty were purely economical. It is true that an import duty on grains, whether created or increased, does in some measure enhance their prices; also, an increased internal tax on arable land doubtless raises the prices of domestic grains. Thus, the import duty on rice is justified, because it equalizes the burden of taxation, provided the duty is not too heavy to allow of importation. government anticipated that an increase of the land tax would naturally raise the price of domestic rice, and thus pass on part of the burden to the consumers, and the necessary balance was maintained by introducing a customs duty on imported rice, thus raising its price to a corresponding extent. As the emergency taxation long survived the restoration of peace in October, 1905, the import duty on rice was made permanent. In 1909 the almost unprecedented abundant harvest of the preceding year brought on a sudden decline in the rice price, whereupon the members of the Diet in favor of the protective tariff announced in the twenty-fifth session a manifesto to raise the protective tariff for the sake of the peasantry, proposing a twofold increase of the former This manifesto was approved by the Lower House, but the House of Peers proved refractory, and the proposal fell through. In the twenty-sixth session, however, the government submitted to the Diet for approval a proposal introducing a slight modification in the existing customs tariff on the ground that the period for treaty revision was drawing near. The Lower House, considering the government proposal too mild, revised it along the lines of a still heavier increase; but the Upper House, not in favor of the revision of the Commons, wished for the renewal of the original government proposal. In a conference of the two Houses an agreement was sought, at which the revision of the Lower House was passed, a provision being added to the effect that the import duty on rice and paddy might be reduced to the limit of .40 yen per kin by an Imperial ordinance in case the harvest was poor. From this resulted the present import tariff on foodstuffs. The following table shows a comparison of the former and present duties on grains:

Item	Former rates of duty	Present rates of duty
	Yen per 100 kin	Yen per 100 kin
Rice and padd	y 0.64	1.00
Barley	0.45	0.55
Wheat	0. <u>57</u>	0.77
Wheat flour	I.45	1.85

Thus, it may be seen that the rates on rice and paddy were nearly doubled, the new rates to be enforced in July, 1911. A glance at the comparison between the index numbers of rice prices and those of general prices since the Sino-Japanese War will indicate that from 1902 to 1910 rice was lower than other staple commodities, whereas in 1911 the tables were turned and the course of events thenceforth was directly reversed, as is exhibited below:

RATIO OF PRICES OF RICE TO GENERAL PRICES, 1902-1912ª

Year	Index number of rice prices	Index number of general prices	Difference
1902	100	100	
1903	114	109	+ 5
1904	108	118	-10
1905	102	127	25
1906	115	130	15
1907	125	135	-10
1908	124	132	8
1909	102	130	28
1910	103	132	· —29
1911	146	136	+10
1912	165	141	+24

Prepared by the Bank of Japan, selecting 66 sorts of staple commodities as contrasted with rice.

The following table, here inserted for comparison, shows the actual prices of rice since 1901. In 1903, when rice was a free article, its price stood at 14 yen per koku, which declined to 13 yen when the new law of 1904 levied an ad valorem duty. In 1905, when the rate was increased, the price fell, on the contrary, to 12.50 yen per koku.

¹ This does not deny the fact that the prices in January, 1887, regarded as 100, the ratio of increase in rice prices invariably went ahead of that of general prices.

MARKET	PRICES	OF :	RICE,	1901	-1910a
--------	--------	------	-------	------	--------

Year	Tokyo	Osaka	Sendai	Niigata	Shimonoseki	Kumamoto	Average
1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 1906 1907 1908	15.94 13.14	Yen 11.72 12.28 13.93 13.39 12.49 14.15 15.43 15.25 12.50 12.70	Yen 11.14 12.12 14.61 13.75 12.58 14.23 15.16 15.06 12.51 12.95	Yen 10.72 11.46 13.35 11.75 11.70 13.36 15.08 14.80 12.40 12.48	Yen 11.62 11.98 13.66 13.20 12.71 14.22 16.11 15.26 12.81 12.61	Yen 11.49 11.68 13.44 12.79 12.44 14.02 16.09 15.00 12.53 11.20	Yen 11.50 12.03 13.90 13.02 12.46 14.12 15.73 15.22 12.65 12.54

a Per koku

This decline in rice prices was due to the abundant domestic harvest of rice as well as to the increase in the importation of foreign rice, anticipatory to the war. The conditions of rice importation since 1901 are exhibited in the following table. As shown here, the quantity of imported rice amounted in 1902 to something like 1,800,000 koku, which increased to 4,900,000 koku in the following fiscal year and to 5,800,000 koku in the year 1904-5; a slight fall to 4,600,000 koku is recorded for the year 1905-6; other more serious retrenchments are noticeable since 1906-7, especially noteworthy being the abrupt fall to 1,320,000 koku for 1909-10 and to 920,000 koku for 1910-11.

IMPORT AND EXPORT OF RICE, 1901-1910

		Import		Export			
Year	Quantity	Value	Price per koku	Quantity	Value	Price per koku	
	Koku	Yen	Yen	Koku	Yen	Yen	
1901	1,244,775	11,878,958	9.54	520,617	6,908,913	13.27	
1902	1,803,629	17,750,817	9.84	507,695	6,679,544	13.16	
1903	4,864,962	51,960,272	10.68	328,974	4,959,880	15.08	
1904	5,892,714	59,791,911	10.15	308,439	4,724,860	15.32	
1905	4,638,365	47,981,265	10.34	208,074	3,126,989	15.03	
1906	2,440,434	26,172,079	10.72	224,463	3,687,083	16.43	
1907	2,708,106	30,931,058	11.42	201,115	3,664,344	18.22	
1908	1,941,417	22,688,539	11.69	216,035	3,910,243	18.10	
1909	1,325,243	13,585,817	10.25	402,393	5,867,290	14.58	
1910	918,627	8,644,439	9.41	408,810	5,900,477	14.43	

¹ For conditions since the first year of Meiji, refer to table no. IV, Appendix A, post, p. 191.

In view of the foregoing record, we have reason to conclude that the rice import duty of .64 yen per 100 kin imposed by the emergency measure had nothing to do with the rise in the market prices of rice, while, on the contrary, the protective tariff on imported rice, enacted in 1911, really influenced in no small measure a rise in its prices.

Effects on prices of raw materials

In the preceding section we have pointed out to what extent the war taxes affected the prices of foodstuffs. We shall now proceed to a study of their effects on the prices of raw materials. By raw materials are meant those commodities coming for the most part under Class 3 mentioned earlier in this chapter. As stated in that connection, the main current of rising prices in the closing decade of the Meiji Era holds no less true with the goods of Class 3 than with the two remaining groups, although the price advancement of raw materials falls to a considerable extent below that of the articles of food. The leading features of the fluctuation in prices of raw materials since 1901 are given below. The reader will perceive that the average index number of 25 commodities, from whipped cotton to wood, shows, as contrasted with July, 1901, an increase of 6 per cent in October, 1903; of 13.5 per cent in October, 1905; of 19.2 per cent in October, 1906; of 26.7 per cent in October, 1907. Since 1007, the advancement showed a slight retrogression, and in May, 1908, the index number stood at an increase of 16.8 per cent as against 1901.

That the rise in the prices of raw materials in this country fell to a degree far below that of foodstuffs must be attributed to the fact that the former consist mostly of such imports as are exempt from duties, only a few of them being produced at home. Grouping the raw materials in the table below into home and foreign products, we can make a comparison of the specific price advancement of those two groups. Rise in the prices of such imported articles as cotton, dyes, iron, nails, and soda is not very heavy, as contrasted with that of

Percentage of Fluctuation in Prices of Raw Materials, 1901-1908

Commodities	1901	1903	1905	1906	1907	1908
Whipped cotton	100	104.4	107.7	114.4	123.0	116.6
Firewood	100	116.7	125.2	141.7	139.5	144.9
Charcoal	100	100.0	IIO.I	112.1	129.5	137.6
Coal	100	85.5	162.2	136. I	135.5	126.6
Lees	100	124.8	134.8	125.3	141.1	161.9
Herring refuse	100	106.7	136.3	138.7	163.3	136.5
Indian indigo	100	105.8	85.7	94.9	97.8	94.9
Aniline dyes	100	95.5	103.0	101.4	105.9	105.9
Ginned cotton	100	101.7	101.7	110.0	111.5	104.2
Chinese cotton	100	110.0	96.9	116.6	115.7	96.9
American cotton	100	113.I	117.5	122.6	136.6	117.1
Indian cotton	100	107.8	114.7	121.5	124.5	110.4
Steel	100	92.4	122.8	149.6	121.5	96.3
Iron	100	78.I	82.0	90.7	91.2	78.5
Copper	100	97.7	127.7	150.0	152.2	150.0
Lead	100	87.2	114.2	143.9	141.3	121.6
Nails	100	86.1	100.0	109.5	129.0	107.1
Cement	100	90.3	83.2	92.9	118.0	108.4
Leather	100	100.0	111.5	107.7	106.1	107.7
Indian leather	100	105.6	132.1	137.7	132.0	133.9
Lacquer juice	100	113.3	108.6	117.5	122.9	124.0
Vegetable wax	100	241.1	145.1	151.8	164.5	129.7
Soda	100	93.3	113.3	111.3	112.2	110.3
Sulphur	100	105.8	100.6	94.8	96.6	104.8
Wood {Chamaecyparis obtus Cryptomeria	100	85.5	116.6	100.0	113.7	110.0
(Cryptomeria	100	80.4	100.0	100.0	III.2	115.4
Average	100	106.1	113.5	119.2	126.7	116.8

such home products as firewood, coal, herring refuse, lacquer juice, vegetable wax, and wood. The extraordinary fall in the prices of copper, iron, steel, leather, and cotton is no doubt due to the fluctuations of the international market, to which such articles are economically subject. The emergency tax legislation refrained as a rule from hitting imported raw materials, and therefore they have little if any connection with war taxes.¹

Effects on prices of manufactures

In the preceding pages we have seen that no special effect was produced upon the prices of raw material by the war taxes. We shall now proceed to consider the effects of war taxes upon the prices of manufactures. Manufactures, as a rule, tend to fall in prices as the general economic develop-

¹ Cf. Pt. I, chap. IV, and table no. II, Appendix A, post, pp. 162 et seq.

ment advances. Take, as an illustration the case of plate glass. Since 1901 its price in this country has shown repeated reductions. Heavy falls in the prices of such articles as cotton yarn, silk thread, or floss silk are influenced similarly by falls in the prices of raw materials. However, a few articles form exceptions to this general rule. For instance, petroleum rose 44 per cent between 1901 and 1908, while cotton and silk fabrics advanced in the same period 23 per cent and 11 per cent, respectively, chiefly due to the increased taxes at the time of the Russo-Japanese War. The price movements of the more important manufactures between 1901 and 1908 are given below:

PRICE MOVEMENTS OF MANUFACTURES, 1901-1908

Manufactures	1901	1903	1905	1906	1907	1908
Petroleum	100	110.3	124.5	125.8	134.3	144.5
Cotton yarn (No. 16)	100	106.3	131.2	133.2	129.4	104.7
" (No. 20)	100	108.6	133.3	134.8	131.8	108.7
Calico	100	107.2	141.9	130.7	136.2	127.6
Cotton	100	96.4	123.2	139.9	152.9	123.5
Matting	100	84.7	120.0	159.0	157.0	128.5
Plate glass	100	69.2	99.1	72.4	74.2	66.8
Floss silk	100	103.5	98.8	112.2	136.3	119.6
Silk fabric	100	111.6	119.0	131.6	145.0	III.I
Silk lining cloth	100	115.3	98.3	110.0	111.7	95.0
Average	100	101.2	111.9	125.4	133.7	112.0

Of the various items in the above table, textile fabrics and petroleum furnished the Treasury with taxable objects to meet war expenses, and they are to be reviewed more minutely later on.

TEXTILES AND THE TEXTILE EXCISE

The textile excise in Japan began with a 15 per cent ad valorem duty on woolen fabrics, enacted by Law No. 3, 1904, viz., the first Emergency Special Tax Law. The revision of this law in the next year introduced another 10 per cent ad valorem duty on textiles exclusive of woolen fabrics. Law No. 7, of March, 1910, consolidated the existing laws by making the textile excise independent of the other emergency imposts.

The relations between the market prices and the tariffs of the textile fabrics, before and after the increased taxation, are exhibited below:

RELATION BETWEEN MARKET PRICES OF AND TARIFF ON TEXTILES

		N	Market pric		
Year	Rate of excise	Bleached cotton per tan	Bleached calico per roll	Deep blue silk per tan	Remarks
1900 1901		Yen - 37 - 38 - 38	Yen 4.06 3.96 4.08	Yen 3.87 3.53 3.24	
1903		.36	4.11 5.05	3·49 3·27	Created by the
,	{15 (woolen fabrics) } (10 (other fabrics) }	.47	5.64	3.77	emergency tax law (woolen fabrics only) Revised (inclu- sive of woolen and other fab-
1906 1907 1908 1909	44 44 44 10	. 46 · 47 · 44 · 44 · 45	5.20 5.41 5.61 5.41 5.67	3.94 4.43 4.14 3.82 4.60	rics) " Revised, irrespective of the manufacture (woolen or other fabrics)

Thus, it may be seen from the above table, that in 1904 bleached cotton stood at .41 yen per tan, bleached calico at 5.05 yen per roll, and deep blue silk at 3.27 yen per tan, whereas the excises upon these fabrics raised the prices more than 10 per cent, viz., .47 yen, 5.64 yen and 3.77 yen, respectively. Such rises in the price of textiles necessarily brought about a corresponding decline in demand, and consequently the output of textile fabrics in this country has greatly fallen off.

To confirm this fact, a comparison of the textile output in 1904, when the textile excise was introduced, with that of 1907 is given as follows:

	1904 Yen	1907 <i>Yen</i>	Difference <i>Yen</i>
Silk cloth	43,959,366 47,144,445 1,408,632	31,639,187 19,344,097 14,625,685	-12,320,179 $-27,800,348$ $+13,217,053$
Total	92,512,443	65,608,969	-26,903,474

Such a decline in value is strong evidence of the decrease in textile output, especially in view of the fact that the prices went up during the intervening years.

Besides this textile excise, there was another thing which proved a serious check on the production of textile fabrics. As the tax law was enforced strictly to the letter, large scale mills or factories organized into companies found no opportunity for the evasion of the excise, whereas the producers employing hand machines scattered about in the country, where the collector's supervision was less rigid, could easily keep on evading their levies. Moreover, as will be stated later, the tax which enhanced the market prices of textile fabrics recalled to life the use of the almost forgotten domestic hand machines, of which only a few remained. Part of the native textile industries, carried on until then on a large scale, were broken down, being unable to meet the hardships of competition, while some others were either prevented from coming into being or had their development retarded by such legislation, and many of the smaller producers who were too respectable and honest to evade the excise had to retire from their trade. On the other hand, the above law provided for refunding the drawbacks on exported textile fabrics in order to stimulate the export trade, but this proceeding failed to accomplish what was anticipated, in that several difficulties presented themselves and frequent revisions in the formalities were necessitated. The government at last made such textile fabrics as were intended for export subject to the sanction of revenue officials, who were to inspect them at the place of export. These officials might at any time have full liberty to inspect or examine the account books of the manufacturers. Thus, the procedure required in the export of textiles erred on the side of severity

and naturally hampered their export trade in a great measure, while the import of textiles increased in spite of all expectations to the contrary.

PETROLEUM AND THE PETROLEUM EXCISE

The petroleum excise was levied at the rate of 3.2 sen per gallon by the Emergency Special Tax Law of 1904. It was subsequently abolished by the revision of the law in 1905, but was soon revived by Law No. 21, of 1908, when the petroleum excise bill was submitted to the twenty-fourth session of the Diet for approval and was sanctioned, since the Treasury was in urgent need of revenue owing to the enlargment of the government expenditure after the war. The excise was assessed (Art. 2) at the rate of 1 yen per koku.

The relationship between the rates and the market price since the introduction of the excise is shown as follows:

RATIO OF TAX	ES ON PETRO	DLEUM TO I	MARKET 1	PRICES, I	0101-000
TATIO OF THA	ES ON I BING	DEFORT TO 1	ATTICICIED 1	L KICDO, A	900 1910

Year	Rate of excise	Market price per to	Remarks
-	Yen	Yen	
1900		3 · 44	
1901		2.86	
1902		2.62	
1903		2.93	
1904	.032 per gallon	3.33	Introduced as an emergéncy tax up to September.
1905		3.29	
1906		3.42	
1907		3.70	
1908	I per koku	3.94	Renewed
1909		3.85	
1910	66 66 66	3.85	"

The market price of petroleum, which cost 2.90 yen per to in 1903, rose to 3.30 yen per to in 1904, an increase of .40 yen. This advancement was not due wholly to the new taxation, but was doubtless incidental to it. The renewal of the excise in 1908 occasioned a second rise in the price of petroleum, the rate per to going up from 3.70 yen to 3.94 yen, as shown in the above table.

The output of petroleum in this country is negligible. The normal annual yield in the closing decade of Meiji amounted to 1,353,233 koku, but the quantity manufactured stood only at 379,222 koku. On the other hand, the normal annual consumption of petroleum during the same period was estimated at 2,069,532 koku, of which merely one-fifth was covered by the domestic output, while the remaining four-fifths had to be imported from abroad, the annual import during the last ten years approximately averaging 1,682,766 koku. Under the circumstances, the petroleum excise in this country was imposed chiefly with a view to keeping pace with the customs duty levied upon imported petroleum.¹

So far, the taxes mainly influenced imported rather than domestic petroleum. Below is given a table stating the output, manufacture, and importation of petroleum since 1897:

OUTPUT, MANUFACTURE AND IMPORT OF PETROLEUM, 1897-1911

Year	Output	Manufacturea	Import
	Koku	Koku	Gallon
1897	231,221	26,300	61,058,218
r 89 8	280,742	18,063	67,905,455
1899	474,686	33,984	52,421,837
1900	767,508	52,323	67,842,324
1901	983,799	80,856	68,996,392
1902	877,837	183,529	75,480,154
1903	1,065,116	333,346	59,780,206
1904	1,073,640	345,985	81,671,801
1905	1,187,136	502,947	58,703,309
1906	1,378,397	774,724	59,429,008
1907	1,513,994	716,306	70,165,626
1908	1,641,563		72,207,833
1909	1,657,036	• • • •	57,426,916
1910	1,608,016	403,305	68,968,131
1911	1,529,593	398,902	63,667,271

^a From 1897-1907 inclusive, the quantity given is the amount sold of manufactured petroleum.

Taking into consideration the trade balance, the annual domestic consumption of petroleum was put at 1,986,981 koku in the fiscal year 1911–12, a decrease of 881,443 koku as against

According to the official report of the settled account for the fiscal year 1911–12, the total receipts of petroleum taxes amounted to 1,925,502 *yen*, of which 1,319,682 *yen* was the duty on imported petroleum, while the excise on the domestic output amounted only to 605,822 *yen*.

2,468,424 koku in 1907. The consumption per one hundred persons fell from 5.14 koku to 3.89 koku, or a reduction of 1.25 koku, viz., 25 per cent. This was due not only to the fact that in recent years gas and electricity have gradually superseded the use of petroleum for lighting purposes in towns and cities, but chiefly to the reduction of demand occasioned by the rise in the price of petroleum. But the demand for petroleum as fuel in oil engines and for similar purposes has of late so increased that it has naturally cancelled to some extent the effect of the gradual disuse of petroleum for lighting purposes, so that, on the whole, the quantity, whether yielded at home or imported from abroad, has not been affected by the taxation in any considerable degree.

Summary

In the preceding pages we have briefly stated the leading effects produced by war taxes as levied subsequent to the war with China in the form of excises and customs duties. Prior to that war, such imposts had been of small consequence and their economic influence quite insignificant. The war taxes since the Sino-Japanese War affected the prices of nearly every kind of taxable commodity, whether foodstuffs, raw products, or manufactured articles, and this was especially the case with the taxes imposed immediately after the Russo-Japanese War. Among these commodities food products held first place in the scale of increased prices, manufactured articles coming next, while the rise in price of raw products was least noticeable. In the following table are reproduced the figures showing the rise in prices of taxed products and manufactures from the end of the year 1903 to that of 1908, and in order to compare the conditions before the Russo-Japanese War with those after it, the average percentage of the rise is also given. The reader may perceive from the table that, on the average, foodstuffs, including rice and sixteen other items, rose 30.6 per cent; manufactured articles, including petroleum and ten other items, increased 16.4 per cent; while raw products, including whipped cotton and twenty-five other items, rose 6 per cent.

RISE IN PRICES OF TAXED PRODUCTS AND MANUFACTURES, 1903-1908

Products and manufactures	December, 1903	December, 1908	Increase or decrease
Food:	Yen	Yen	Yen
Rice	96.7	112.9	16.2
Bean paste (miso)	135.1	151.5	16.4
Soy	92.3	115.4	23. I
Takuwan (pickled radish)	128.8	142.2	13.4
Ako salt	116.1	293.7	177.6
Chinese white beans	118.2	103.6	14.6ª
Red beans	142.4	134.9	7 · 5°
Wheat flour	115.8	142.9	27.I
Sugar	102.0	163.9	61.9
Tea	134.6	169.3	34.7
Dried bonito	142.2	180.7	38.5
Shijtake (Cortinellus)	123.3	132.7	9.4
(Excellent	102.7	129.4	26.7
Refined sake {Superior	104.2	134.0	29.8
(Common	80.7	82.8	2.I
Eggs	88.0	101.2	13.2
Average	116.5	147.1	30.6
Raw Products:	Yen	Yen	Yen
Whipped cotton	104.1	113.2	9.1
Firewood	116.7	140.0	23.3
Charcoal	100.0	146.6	46.6
Coal	83.3	131.1	47.8
Lees	109.0	107.0	2.0ª
Herring refuse	109.5	120.8	11.3
Indian indigo	94.7	97.8	3.1
Aniline dyes	90.5	105.9	15.4
Ginned cotton	104.5	93.0	II.5ª
Chinese cotton	109.1	92.2	16.9
Copper	97.7	150.0	52.3
Lead	87.4	101.9	14.5
Nails	83.7	95.7	12.0
Cement	89.5	100.6	II.I
Leather (imported elephant's skin)	102.5	106.1	3.6
Indian leather	108.7	132.7	24.0
Lacquer juice	113.5	132.6	19.1
Vegetable wax	238.3	129.7	108.6ª
Soda	84.8	99.0	14.2
Sulphur	102.7	98.6	4 · Ia
American cotton	129.1	108.2	20.98
Indian cotton	141.8	110.2	31.6ª
Copper	92.I	103.6	11.5
Iron	77.1	75.3	I.8a
Chamaecyparis obtusa.	85.5	150.0	64.5
Wood {Chamaecyparis obtusa Cryptomeria	80.4	123.0	42.6
Average	106.5	113.1	6.6

^a Decrease.

RISE IN PRICES OF TAXED PRODUCTS AND MANUFACTURES 1903-1908-Cont.

Products and manufactures	December,	December,	Increase or decrease
Manufactured articles:	Yen	Yen	Yen
Petroleum	116.6	141.8	25.2
Cotton yarn (No. 16)	109.6	112.2	2.6
" (No. 20)	111.4	112.2	0.8
Shirting	109.6	135.7	26. I
Cotton cloth	96.9	125.5	28.6
Matting	80.0	131.4	51.4
Plate glass	69.0	80.1	II.I
Floss silk	100.0	117.2	17.2
Silk thread	109.9	109.9	
Silk fabric	115.5	133.3	17.8
Silk lining cloth	116.6	98.0	18.6ª
Average	102.4	118.8	16.4

a Decrease.

It is true that such a rise in prices is not to be ascribed to war taxation alone, but also to the social and economic conditions of the time. Nevertheless, as the following table. quoted from the investigation by the Toyo Keizai Shimpo (Oriental Economic Journal), shows, war levies, especially those of the Russo-Japanese War, were paramount in bringing on the immense upheaval of general prices in recent years. As is clear from the list, foodstuffs, raw products, and manufactured articles are all grouped into two classes, according to whether they were influenced by war taxes or not, the fluctuations in the market prices of each class being shown. There was an average rise of 36 per cent in the general prices in the closing decade of Meiji (1902-12), while those commodities comparatively independent of the influences of war taxation. including tea and twenty-four other articles, showed an increase of 23 per cent, whereas commodities that were most closely connected with war imposts, including bean paste (miso) and nineteen other similar articles, averaged an increase of 50 per cent. It is not only difficult but almost impossible to ascertain exactly to what extent such a rise is due to war taxation and to what extent assignable to other causes.

PRICES OF COMMODITIES AS AFFECTED BY TAXATION^a

(I) Commodities m	ore closely	connected with war taxes			
Bean paste (miso)	178.5	Indian indigo	105.8		
Soy	107.1	Aniline dyes	105.8		
Pickles	217.7	Steel	154.0		
Salt	277. I	Nails	103.8		
Soy beans	149.8	Leather	120.7		
Red beans	159.7	Raw silk	132.2		
Wheat flour	145.0	Petroleum	138.6		
Sugar	199.2	Glass	149.4		
Refined sake	141.3	Shirting	142.7		
Eggs	143.0	Rice	144.4		
(2) Commodities less connected with war taxes					
(2) Commoaitie	es less con	nectea with war taxes			
Tea	220.0	Lead	109.I		
Dried bonito	170.1	Cement	90.9		
Shijtake	154.8	Vegetable wax	118.9		
Whipped cotton	149.1	Soda	87.7		
Firewood	134.I	Sulphur	102.7		
Charcoal	157.2	Wood	120.0		
Coal	118.9	Matting	117.0		
Lees	131.0	Plate glass	80.5		
Herring refuse	138.0	Floss silk	107.0		
Ginned cotton	139.9	Cotton yarn	107.0		
American cotton	152.9	Silk fabric			
Copper	0 /	Silk cloth	127.5		
t copper	93.5	Olik Ciotil	127.5		

Average index number for (1) and (2), 136.8.

93·5 84·I

Effects of Taxes on Acts as War Taxes

We have succinctly stated in the preceding pages the effects of indirect taxes, notably those of excises and customs duties, upon the quantity and efficiency of the production of the taxed objects. We shall now pass on to the influence of the taxes on acts as war taxes. The taxes on acts that can be regarded as war taxes in the existing system of Japanese taxation were all created by the Emergency Special Tax Law of 1904–5 at the time of the Russo-Japanese War. They were of four kinds: registration duty, stamp duty, exchange tax, and traveling or transit tax. Thus, the economic effects of these taxes refer to the period since the Russo-Japanese War.

Registration duty

The registration duty presents many important problems worthy of study, since its scope extends over a vast area of economic activities. Especially worthy of note is the case of the amalgamation of private corporations or the transfer of corporate property in case of the reorganization of a corporation. Industrial combinations are of recent origin in this country as late as the Russo-Japanese War and so far have not made much progress. The registration duty, however, did not deal a blow upon such forms of industrial enterprises, for no change due to the introduction of the duty was noticeable. The registration duty, on the whole, did not prove a serious impediment to economic progress.¹

Stamp duty

The stamp duty, after frequent revisions since 1873, was increased at the time of the Russo-Japanese War. The rate was mentioned in Chapter IV, Part I,2 of the present treatise. The effects produced by the stamp duty, as investigated by the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws, generally have been no hindrance to the trade transactions of the community. Even the necessity of raising the rate on promissory notes, for instance, was recognized. But the new duty on checks, which had hitherto been exempt, hampered dealing in checks, thus giving rise to transactions in cash and necessitating an increased circulation of national currency. The proof is afforded by the returns of the clearing houses respecting the amount of their business in checks before and after the introduction of the duty on checks. The records from 1903 to 1905 concerning the number of checks and the amount cleared, as well as the average value per check cleared, prepared by the six clearing houses of Tokyo, Osaka, Kyoto, Yokohama, Kobe, and Nagova, show that in 1903, viz., one year before the duty on checks was introduced, the amount of checks cleared stood at 3,795,686 yen, while in 1904, when the duty was created, it amounted to 4,571,503 yen, and in 1905 it advanced to 6,003,307 yen, an excess of 2,207,686 yen over 1903. On the other hand, the number of checks cleared ranged from 5,585,982 in 1903 to 5,662,904 in 1904 and to 5,782,591 in 1905, a slight increase of 196,603. The average value per check cleared increased from 679 yen in 1903 to 1,038 yen in 1905. The returns are reproduced in the following table:

¹ Cf. Extract from the Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws.

Ante, p. 28.

RETURNS OF CLEARING HOUSES 1903-1905

Clearing houses	Year	Number of checks cleared	Amount cleared (in thousands of yen)	Average value per check
			Yen	Yen
	1903	2,108,415	1,560,614	740
Tokyo	1904	2,156,458	1,851,490	858
	1905	2,242,478	2,552,705	1,138
	(1903	1,819,376	968,630	532
Osaka	1904	1,947,339	1,252,058	642
	1905	1,938,912	1,537,833	793
	[1903	753,343	231,047	306
Kyoto	1904	634,885	190,687	300
	1905	649,758	259,572	399
	(1903	289,066	495,180	1,713
Yokohama.	1904	298,660	618,212	2,070
	1905	314,126	787,912	2,508
	(1903	337,670	412,019	1,220
Kobe	1904	355,826	530,031	1,489
	(1905	355,137	691,228	1,946
	[1903	278,112	128,196	461
Nagoya	1904	269,736	129,024	461
	1905	282,180	174,120	617
	,			
	1903	5,585,982	3,795,686	679
Average	1904	5,662,904	4,571,503	807
	(1905	5,782,591	6,003,370	1,038

As pointed out in the above table, in spite of the increase in the amount of checks cleared, the number of checks did not increase in equal proportion. This was mainly due to the fact that the remittance of small amounts by check was obstructed by the tax. A few instances are taken as illustrative of the effects of the stamp duty on checks.

- I. In paying wages to laborers, where formerly checks had been employed, cash was substituted, provided the stamp duty on checks exceeded in value the premium paid for changing the amount into subsidiary coins of smaller denominations.
- 2. Instead of remitting checks, however great or small the amount, for settling accounts at the end of a month, people now began to draw only one check, representing the total

monthly amount to be paid, and to divide the cash proceeds among the several creditors, thus reducing the duty to a minimum.

- 3. Between two banks, one subject to stamp duty on checks and the other not, current accounts were opened. Larger amounts were paid in checks of the latter bank, while smaller amounts were drawn in checks of the former. Thus, a singular method of using checks was invented as a result of the stamp duty on them.
- 4. The demand for subsidiary coins at the end of the year has greatly increased, and their reversion to the banks, when the season of settling accounts, or *sekki*, is over, has been greatly augmented since the introduction of the stamp duty on checks. This fact testifies to a marked tendency toward the growing increase of cash payments due to the taxation in question.

Exchange tax

Commercial transactions in the exchange in this country are taxed on the basis of the contract amount of merchandise or negotiable instruments. The rates were increased by the First Emergency Tax Law to .0006 of the contract amount of merchandise or negotiable instruments transacted, and to .0003 of national or local loan bonds. The Second Emergency Tax Law left untouched the transactions in national or local loan bonds, whereas it brought under charge an additional .0003 of the contract amount of merchandise or negotiable instruments transacted. The Treasury thereby expected to bring forth an additional revenue of 432,566 yen for the fiscal year 1905-6, and an annual accretion of 471,891 yen, for each fiscal year beginning with 1906-7. The actual revenue was valued at 1,130,620 yen for 1905-6 and 1,169,957 yen for 1906-7, resulting in an excess of 698,054 and 698,066 yen, respectively, above the anticipated amount. That this increase in levies was not obstructive to trade is obvious from the actual returns of the revenue, for no appreciable shrinkage resulted in the amount of transactions in either merchandise or negotiable instruments. Whether the tax was an inducement to the entire neglect of requisite formalities and gave rise to secret dealings is really a question; but in truth practices of this sort had been resorted to before, and therefore are not to be assigned to the introduction of the increased levy. Moreover, as is clear from Appendix A, Table V, the gains of the exchange were not lessened in any considerable degree by taxation.¹

Traveling tax

The traveling tax was created by the Emergency Special Tax Law, when the government concerted measures for adding new sources of revenue in the second war finance program during the Russo-Japanese War. The average annual yield of the tax is valued at approximately 2,300,000 yen. This impost is open to criticism. It is one of the so-called three bad taxes in this country, the other two being the salt monopoly and the excise on textiles. The rates are assessed as follows:

For 200 miles or knots or over:	Under 100 miles or knots:
Class I 50 sen	Class I 20 sen
"_II25 "	" II io "
" III 4 "	" III 2 "
Under 200 miles or knots:	Under 50 miles or knots:
Class I 40 sen	Class I 5 sen
" II 20 "	" II
"III 3 "	" III i "

The fact that this tax proved a check to short trips will be passed without comment, as space forbids reference to all of the effects of this notorious impost. As regards its effect on longer journeys, the fact can not be denied that the transit tax failed to accomplish what was at first anticipated by the government. The estimated revenue at the time of its introduction was put at 3,800,000 yen for the fiscal year 1905–6, and at 3,470,000 yen for each successive year beginning with 1906–7, whereas the revenue for 1909 was estimated at 2,480,000 yen, and this no later than five years since the introduction of the tax. This affords most pronounced evidence of the decline of traffic due to the enforcement of this hampering levy, the progress of transportation being unable to keep pace with what it had been before the tax was created. The total number of passengers traveling upon the lines which then belonged

¹ Cf. Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws, p. 343.

to a number of railway companies amounted to 120,000,000 in a year. Taking the minimum rate of 1 sen to be taxed upon every passenger, the revenue might have figured up to 1,200,000 yen. The fact is, in 1907 only 1,140,000 yen accrued from the traveling tax on railway passengers. It is needless to enlarge upon the bad effects of the tax, which dealt a heavy blow not alone upon short-distance travelers, but upon all.

In view of these facts, we can conclude that, of all the taxes on acts, only the stamp duty and the traveling tax exerted marked influence upon economic conditions, the registration duty and the exchange tax producing no specific economic effect.

EFFECTS OF DIRECT TAXES AS WAR TAXES

We have briefly considered in the two preceding sections the economic effects of the indirect taxes that were levied as war imposts. As far as the economic effects produced by the war taxes of this country are concerned, the indirect and not the direct taxes play the prominent parts, and from that viewpoint we have already considered the leading features of the economic effects produced by the war taxes. Now we shall pass to the direct taxes and their economic influence. The four main items of the direct taxes levied in this connection are the land tax, income tax, business tax, and succession tax. The last named, however, is of minor consequence for the present research, and is therefore put outside our review, the other three taxes, viz., the taxes on land, income and business, coming within the scope of our comment.

Land tax

The Japanese land tax was not especially created in connection with war or armaments, but has been imposed ever since the foundation of the country, forming the most important factor in the national finance throughout the ages. Before the Meiji Era, however, this tax was not levied in the form that it is now, being sometimes collected as a sort of rent (since the foundation of the country up to the beginning of military ascendency) and sometimes paid as a tribute (from the age of military

ascendency to the Restoration of Meiji). It was only after the erection of a unified state by the Restoration of the Imperial Government of Meiji that the land tax was introduced in its present form as a tax in name and fact. To be more exact, it was really created by the revised Land Tax Act of July, in the sixth year of Meiji (1875). Although this revised act was supplanted by the new Land Tax Act, promulgated by Decree No. VII of the Dajokan (Privy Council) in March, 1884, the underlying principle survived in the new legislation. The new act fixed the rate at 2.5 per cent, aiming, on the one hand, as the government declared, at preventing the fluctuations in the distribution of landed property by maintaining the good results of the revised tariff, and, on the other, at guarding against any future diminution in the land tax revenue. But this legislation again could not keep pace with the economic progress of the nation, and a far-reaching revision was realized by Law No. XXX in 1889. The fiscal necessities of the postbellum measure after the Sino-Japanese War demanded a fiveyear increase of the land tax, which was enacted by Law No. XXXII in 1808. Two increases rapidly followed, put in operation by the Emergency Laws at the time of the Russo-Japanese War. By Law No. XXXVII, of March, 1906, the emergency increase was made permanent, but this was subsequently abolished by the revision of 1910, making the land tax law as it exists today.

Thus, it may be seen that the land tax levied in connection with war began with the period subsequent to the Sino-Japanese War and not before. We have already mentioned in Part I how the tax was increased to meet the post-bellum expenditure of the second program. The fact is, many a complaint was made at the time regarding the inequality of the burden of the land tax, which would become more intolerable if the tax were abruptly increased. Anxious to suit the burden to the means for bearing it, and, considering the fact that measures were concerted as early as 1892 for the revaluation of land (which then passed the Lower House and was denied by the Peers), the government began to

carry on the reassessment of land values simultaneously with the increase of the land taxation. The leading features of the government measures are briefly stated as follows:

- 1. The yield of the land, taken as the basis of the valuation, was reduced both for urban and rural lands, the rate of reduction being reasonably determined in each district specified in 1873.
- 2. The price of the rice crop, a second factor in the valuation of cultivated land, was estimated at the average price of rice per *koku* (7.409 *yen*) in each specific district during the decade from 1888 to 1897, and the normal price was obtained by discounting 46 per cent from the average price quoted above.
- 3. Regarding the rate of interest, 6 per cent was taken as the normal annual rate, although the rate was over 6 per cent in some cases, and whatever was under the rate was revised to 6 per cent. The method of calculation adopted at the time of the former revision was again employed, and the area of cultivated land as it existed on July 1, 1898, was taken as a basis. Thus, the revaluation of cultivated land was set forth in the beginning of February, 1899, and was completed in August. As a result of this undertaking, the value of cultivated land was modified to a considerable degree, that of rice fields reducing itself 114,804,000 ven and that of upland fields 33,704,300 yen against the figures on February 1, 1899. The total reduction was put at 148,598,400 yen, the ratio being 13.3 per cent for rice fields and 15.6 per cent for upland fields, averaging a ratio of 13.7 per cent for these two kinds of cultivated land.

The value of cultivated land in this country was, at the time of the land tax revision (1873), put at 503,919,600 yen, and the tax yielded 45,117,500 yen. In 1877, the rate of the tax on land was reduced from 3 per cent to 2.5 per cent of its assessed value in order to lighten in a measure the burden of the land-owner. Subsequently, frequent revisions of the land value, mostly on the side of reduction, were made to maintain its uniformity. Compared with the land value at

the time of the first revision (1873), the reassessed value of agricultural land, as passed through several revisions, resulted in a reduction of 294,740,000 yen. The reduction of the land tax since 1873, due to the revision of the tariff as well as to frequent reassessments of the land value, amounted to 15,573,000 yen. Taking the conditions existing on February 1, 1899, as the basis, the contrast between the average prices of agricultural land both before and after the revaluation is here stated: The average value of rice fields was put at 35.919 yen per tan after the revaluation, against 40.009 yen before it, while that of upland fields stood at 9.624 yen per tan, against 11.096 yen prior to the reassessment.

In the course of concerting measures for the above revaluation of land, the government proposed, in the budget for the fiscal year 1800-1900, an increase of the land tax from 2.5 per cent to 4 per cent. The Lower House, however, revised the bill, leaving the rate of the land tax as it was, and adding 2.5 per cent of the value to the tax on urban dwelling land, and 8 per mille on the other sorts of landed property, both for the definite term of five years beginning with the fiscal year 1899-1900. The Upper House approved the above revision of the government bill, which was consequently promulgated by Law No. XXXII of 1800. An increase of the land tax necessitated the adjustment of the cadaster and other books in this connection, and the government commenced, side by side with the revaluation of land, the calculation and entry of the increased amount of the tax on each item of the cadaster. This proceeding was brought to an end at the same time as the completion of the reassessment of land value. The outcome of the government undertaking showed that after the reassessment of agricultural land and the rearrangement of dwelling land in 1800 the 2.5 per cent tax on land value yielded 25,228,600 yen from rice fields, 5,512,100 yen from upland fields, 2,666,300 yen from rural dwelling land, 866,300 yen from urban dwelling land, and 726.600 ven from other sorts of land, amounting to a total of 35,000,400 yen. To this must be added the increased

revenue of 2.5 per cent of the registered value of urban dwelling land and of 8 per mille of the value of other land. This increased revenue made a total of 10,778,200 yen, of which 866,500 yen accrued from urban dwelling land, 8,068,900 yen from rice fields, 1,759,000 yen from upland fields, and 852,700 yen from other lands. Thus, the annual yield of the land tax for the five years from 1899 to 1903 (fiscal), including both the normal and additional revenues, stood at 46,778,700 yen.¹

In 1902 a question arose as to the continuation of the increased rate, and much difference of opinion was evidenced between the government and the Diet until the period specified for the increased levy of the land tax expired in 1903. The outbreak of hostilities with Russia in 1904, however, utterly turned the situation. The First Emergency Special Tax Law rated the land tax at 3.3 per cent for the fiscal year 1904–5, while the Second Emergency Law again raised the rate to 20 per cent on urban dwelling land, 8 per cent on rural dwelling land, and 5.5 per cent on other lands, as has been already noted in the preceding pages.

The original war finance project of the Russo-Japanese War, as it was submitted to the Diet for approval, consisted in increasing the rate of the land tax to 5.5 per cent on the assessed value of urban dwelling land, 3.5 per cent on rural dwelling land, and 2 per cent on other land, thus raising an estimated increment of 25,943,918 yen for the fiscal year 1904-5 and an annual increase of 31,005,470 yen for the subsequent fiscal years beginning with 1905-6. The government proposal was, however, revised by the Diet, which amended the rate on other than urban and rural dwelling land to 1.8 per cent on the assessed value. The progress of the war in 1905 increased the needs of the war chest, and the government, again seeing itself compelled to have more resources, submitted to the Diet a proposal for revising the Emergency Law, which was passed after a slight amendment. and promulgated by Law No. 1 in January, 1905. The land

¹ Cf. Report on the Post-Bellum Financial Measures of the Russo-Japanese War.

tax was once again enlisted on the increase scheme. The reasons which the government gave for increasing the land tax were as follows:

Although the land tax was increased by the First Emergency Measure, the project has since been on foot for protecting the interests of the peasantry, now that the surtax on the land tax was restricted to relieve them of part of the burden imposed by local taxation, while the government newly introduced protective tariffs on imported rice and paddy and increased the import duties on wheat, beans and the like. On the other hand, selling price of dwelling land far surpassed its assessed value of late, to the great advantage of the landowners, whose rental must have doubled or trebled. Owners of dwelling land may be looked on as men of means belonging to the middle or higher classes. A tax on dwelling land is a tax on the capital capable of bearing the burden. The urgent exigency of national finance perfectly justifies an increase of the land tax, especially a higher rate on dwelling land than on any other.

This was the underlying principle on which the government worked. Accordingly, the government proposed to increase 20 per cent of the assessed value on the existing rate of urban dwelling land, 7 per cent on that of rural dwelling land, and 1.8 per cent on that of other lands, thus expecting to yield an estimated increase of 33,025,377 yen for the fiscal year 1905 and an annual increase of 37,620,085 yen for the subsequent years beginning with 1906. This bill was amended by the Diet, the increase of the rate on urban dwelling land being fixed at 12 per cent of the assessed value, that of rural dwelling land at 2 per cent, and that of other lands at 1.2 per cent, thus expecting to bring forth an estimated increase of 18,640,678 yen for the year 1905 and an annual increase of 21,700,372 yen for the subsequent vears beginning with 1906. The latter part of the estimated revenue, however, underwent a fundamental transformation in 1910, when an .8 per cent reduction of the land tax was realized as a measure for adjusting the existing system of taxation after the great war. Below is shown the rate of the successive increases of the land tax since the Sino-Japanese War:

REVISIONS OF LAND T	AX, 18	99-1910
---------------------	--------	---------

Revision	Year	Actual increase or decrease in revenue	Rate of increase or decrease
First (increase)	1899	Yen 9,000,000	Per cent
Second "	1904	15,000,000	39
	1905	19,000,000	50
Fourth (reduction)	1910	10,000,000	26
Total increase		33,000,000	86

Of the four revisions mentioned above, those connected with war are the second and the third, enacted by the Emergency Special Tax Laws at the time of the Russo-Japanese War.¹

We have now to consider the economic effects upon the taxed objects produced by the actual increase in revenue of 34,000,000 yen, or an 89 per cent increase, for the two succeeding fiscal years 1904–5 and 1905–6. For convenience, we shall arrange the kinds of taxable land into three groups, i.e., dwelling land, cultivated land, and forest land, and shall enlarge upon the increased war levies on each specific group in its relation to the tax revenue, or what is scientifically termed the "source of taxation."

1. The landowner's revenue accruing from dwelling land naturally increases with the increase of population. This holds all the more true with the rental of urban dwelling land, considering what is technically called the "social increase of population," or migration of population from rural districts into cities. The leading features of the increase of population in this country, both natural and social may be here succinctly stated, although they will be passed later on in our review. The population of Japan was estimated at 51,748,600 at the end of the year 1912, amounting to an increase of approximately 25 per cent between 1892 and 1912. Regarding the growth of population in cities, towns, and country, we see that the specific rate of increase

¹ For the revised tariff, see table no. IV, Appendix A, post, pp. 162 et seq.

during a period of twenty years from 1888 to 1908 was, for towns and villages with a population under 20,000, 18 per cent; for towns and cities with a population of from 20,000 to 100,000, 28 per cent; for large cities with over 100,000 inhabitants, 230 per cent. The percentage the city population bears to the entire population was only 8 per cent in 1893, and this increased to 20 per cent in 1908. Turning our attention to the rental per 100 tsuba of the dwelling land and the relationship existing between the assessed value and the current price of the landed property in question, we give the more important figures in the following table:

RENTAL OF DWELLING LAND

Items	Urban	Rural	Average
	Yen	Yen	Yen
Current price of land	775.120	48.623	97.054
RentCharges:	94.020	3 · 542	6.574
Taxes	10.620	.995	1.637
Other	.748	.026	.074
RevenueRate of revenue to per cent:	37.652	2.521	4.863
Land price	.490	. 520	. 500
Rent	7.680	7.120	7.400
Assessed land value	7.770	2.550	3.900
Taxes and revenue	7.800	7.200	7.500

a Per 100 tsubo.

Comparison between Assessed Value and Current Price of Dwelling Land

Items	Urban	Rural
Assessed value	775.120 "	9.882 yen 48.623 '' 4.900 per cent

The foregoing table shows that the net revenue per 100 tsubo of urban dwelling land is 48.27 yen, while the public charges upon it amount to 10.62 yen, bringing forth a net gain of 37.65 yen. The charges are put at 22 per cent and the net gain at 78 per cent of the net revenue. On the other

hand, the revenue accruing from rural dwelling land is 3.52 yen per 100 tsubo, of which .995 yen is paid as taxes, while the remaining 2.52 yen forms the net gain, the ratio of the charges to the revenue being 28 per cent and that of the net gain being 72 per cent. The average percentage of public charges for urban and rural dwelling lands is thus put at 25 per cent of the revenue. The public charges above referred to do not consist of the land tax only, but it is unquestionably the most important of the imposts contained therein. The burden imposed by the land tax has been alleviated in great measure since the war as contrasted with the almost proverbial ratio of 40 per cent "public" against 60 per cent "private" or "profit," which prevailed prior to the great war.

2. Before approaching the subject of the tax upon cultivated land and the revenue accruing therefrom, the relationship between the rise in price of rice and the yield of land must be briefly dealt with, especially in view of the fact that the proceeds from arable lands depend in the main upon the prices of rice. The prices of rice in this country are generally subject to heavy fluctuations, but the general drift of the market has always tended upward from year to year. The main features of the rice market as compared with the proceeds of cultivated land from 1872, the year of the revised Land Tax Law, to 1893, the year before the Sino-Japanese War, are quoted in the following table:

CONDITION OF RICE MARKET, 1872-1893

Year	Proceeds per cho	Average prices of rice per koku
	Yen	Yen
872a	67.04	4.19
[877	65.44	4.09
[878	75.44	4.70
1879	92.48	5.78
1880	100.48	6.28
1881	85.76	5.36
1882	77.76	4.86
1883	69.44	4.34
1884	69.28	4.33
1885	88.80	5.55
1886	81.28	5.08
1887	75.36	4.71
1888	69.92	4.37
1889	88.96	5.56
1890	130.40	8.15
1891	109.76	6.86
1892	112.00	7.00
1893	113.28	7.08

• Served as the basis for revising tax.

Thus, it will be noted that in the twenty years intervening between 1872 and 1893, the price of rice advanced from 4.19 yen to 7.08 yen, or, roughly speaking, an increase of 3 yen in twenty years, averaging an annual rise of 15 sen. Taking the average yield of rice fields at 16 koku per cho, the proceeds from arable lands increased by 34.33 yen during the corresponding period, or an annual average of 1.71 yen. Putting the average assessed value of the cultivated land at 400 yen per cho, the land tax will amount to 10 yen per cho, and the ratio the tax bears to the proceeds will be as follows:

RATIO OF LAND TAX TO PROCEEDS OF RICE FIELDS, 1873-1893

	Per cent	Per cen
1873	14.9	1885
1877	15.3	1886 12.3
1878	13.3	1887 13.3
1879	10.8	1888 14.3
1880	10.0	1889 11.2
1881	II.7	1890 7.7
1882	12.9	1891 9.1
1883	I4.4	1892 8.9
1884	14.4	1893 8.8

Thus, the burden of the land tax was lightened from year to year after 1873, until the rate reached 8.8 per cent in 1803, or a reduction of 6.1 per cent against what it was at the time of the first revision, averaging an annual decrease of 35 per cent during the intervening years. To repeat this statement in other words, the burden imposed by the land tax was gradually lightened as a result of the marked advances in the price of rice in a period of twenty years from 1873 to 1893, that is, up to the time of the Sino-Japanese War. As has been already asserted, the tax was increased in 1898 to meet the needs of post-bellum finance by .008 of the assessed value for the fiscal years 1800 to 1903, making the total rate 33 per mille of the assessed value. One would have thought that the tendency of the burden imposed by this increased rate would have been to reverse the conditions before the war, but in fact the real burden of the impost on land was gradually lessened after 1900, as shown below:

RATIO OF LAND TAX TO PROCEEDS OF RICE FIELDS, 1873-1905

Year	Average price of rice	Proceeds per cho	Land tax	Ratio of tax to proceeds
1873 ^a	11.32 11.47 12.07 13.68 12.89	Yen 67.04 181.12 183.32 193.12 218.88 206.24 202.56	Yen 10.00 11.68 11.68 11.68 11.68 15.22 19.47	Per cent 14.9 6.4 6.3 6.0 5.3 7.3 9.6

Served as the basis for revising.

The above table testifies to the marked advances made in the price of rice since the Sino-Japanese War, the average price, which was put at 7 yen per koku in 1893, being increased to 13.68 yen in 1903, or almost double what it was prior to the war. This means that the ratio the burden of the land tax bears to the total yield of the land has invariably been on the decrease, falling from 8.8 per cent in 1893 to 5.3 per cent in 1903, a reduction of 3.5 per cent during a decade, averaging an annual fall of .35 per cent. This ratio of reduction coin-

cides with that of the average reduction during the twenty years from the time of the first revision of the land tax to 1893, one year before the Sino-Japanese War. The war finance of the Russo-Japanese War in 1904-5 entirely changed the situation, the rate of the land tax being raised by 89 per cent or nearly 90 per cent. These two years witnessed a slight fall in the price of rice, ranging between 12.80 yen and 12.60 yen, and consequently the burden of the land tax was enhanced in some measure, the ratio being raised from 7.3 per cent to 9.6 per cent. Heavy advances in the price of rice since 1906, however, again lowered the ratio of the burden to 8.1 per cent, the price per koku being 15 yen in 1907. Thus, the landowners' burden was alleviated even to a greater degree than it was before the Sino-Japanese War.

That the rise in the price of rice greatly relieved the landowners of the burden imposed by the land tax, despite the increase of the latter, is true in so far as the burden is considered in its connection with the gross proceeds of the land. As to the problem whether the net revenue of the land did increase despite the heavy advance made in land taxation, another question arises. An isolated study of the net revenue and the land tax alone does not solve the problem of the landowners' burden, and it is far too hasty to form a conclusion on that basis with any degree of accuracy. The comparison pointed out in the preceding paragraph is based upon the relative burden of the land tax, simply bearing in mind that the burden is sometimes heavier and sometimes lighter. The true state of things must be approached by comparing the burden of the land tax with that of the other imposts on land. In the first place, we have to deal with the relationship between the net revenue of land tax and the burden of the land tax, and, secondly, to enlarge upon the details of other taxes for purposes of comparison.

The revenue accruing from one tan of rice field and upland field, as prepared by the Department of Finance, is shown below. "Public charges" here mentioned include the land tax and other public imposts, although consisting chiefly of

the former and its surtax (municipal or local land tax rate). By "revenue" is meant the net revenue of land.

REVENUE AND EXPENDITURE OF ARABLE FIELDS^a

Items	Paddy :	Field	Upland Field	
	Owned	Tenanted	Owned	Tenanted
	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen
Assessed value	35.44	35-44	9.45	9.45
Current value	112.55	112.55	47.01	47.01
Yield		28.44		13.18
Income	27.50	11.31	15.36	3.82
Expenditure:				
Public charges	3.67	3.70	.99	1.00
Others	18.10	. 38	12.00	.07
Revenue	5.73	7.23	2.37	2.75
Ratio of revenue to-				
Assessed value	. 16	.20	.25	.29
Current value	.05	, 064	. 05	.058
Income.	.20	.63	. 15	.71
Public charges	.60	.66	. 70	.73
Ratio of yield to income		.39		.29

a Per tan.

PERCENTAGE OF AREA OF FIELDS UNDER CULTIVATION

. How Held	Superior	Ordinary	Inferior	Percentage to total field	Percentage to total area of cultivated field
Paddy field, owned Paddy field, tenanted Upland field, owned Upland field, tenanted	25 25 21	Per cent 40 40 33 35	Per cent 35 35 46 42	Per cent - 51 49 62 38	Per cent

The foregoing table brings to light the following facts:

- (a) The net income of an average owned paddy field is 9.40 yen, and the public charges amount to 3.67 yen, leaving as the net revenue 5.74 yen. Nearly 40 per cent of the income is disbursed as public charges, the remaining 60 per cent being the revenue.
- (b) The net income of an average paddy field tenanted is 10.93 yen, from which the public charges of 3.70 yen being deducted, a net revenue of 7.23 yen is given. Thirty-four

per cent of the income goes toward the public Treasury and 66 per cent forms the revenue.

- (c) An owned upland field yields a net income of 3.36 yen, from which .99 yen is deducted as public charges, while the remaining 2.37 yen is available as the net revenue. Thirty per cent of the income is charged and 70 per cent comes to the revenue.
- (d) A tenanted upland field yields a net income of 3.75 yen, from which the sum of 1 yen must go toward the Treasury, and the remaining 2.75 yen area vailable as revenue. The charges amount to 27 per cent, and the revenue to 73 per cent.

It should be noted in passing, that the revenue here mentioned does not include the wages to be paid to members of the landlords' or tenants' families, a reasonable amount of wages being deducted from the gross receipts. In case allowance is not made for wages, the percentage of the net revenue would be much higher.

In view of these facts, the burden of the land tax has really been lessened since the war, for prior to that event the percentage of public charges and net revenue was 50 against 50. In other words, the burden of the land tax since the two great wars in which Japan engaged in the latter part of the Meiji period has been alleviated, not only in the light of its proportion to the gross receipts of the land but also in its relation to the net revenue.

Approaching the subject from a different angle, which is of greater consequence, we have to consider the relative importance of the land tax as compared with the burden of other imposts in different walks of life. A comparison between the income of the peasantry and that of the commercial and industrial people since the Russo-Japanese War, each in the light of its burden of taxation, readily exhibits the fact that throughout the country the burden imposed upon the farmers is much heavier than that of either merchants or artisans.¹ The ratio the tax bears to the revenue

¹ For exact figures, see table no. v, Appendix A, post, pp. 192-3.

averages 28 per cent with the peasantry and 14 per cent with merchants and artisans. It must be remembered that the income tax is assessed on all at the same rate, and no conceivable discrepancy of burden could result along that line between the farmers and the artisans or tradesmen.

3. The land tax upon forests remains to be considered. The prices of timber and fagots in this country have made marked advances since the Sino-Japanese War, and consequently the revenue of forestry has since attained significant proportions. According to the investigation of the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws, square pine wood 2 ken long, for instance, which in 1886 cost 1.17 yen per shakujime (approximately 12 cubic feet), rose to 3.50 yen in 1903, or about three times as high. A contrast in prices between these two years regarding pine (sugi) fagots and charcoal is cited below from the source mentioned above:

COMPARISON OF PRICES OF PINE FAGOTS AND CHARCOAL, 1886 AND 1903

Kinds	1886	1903
Pine, square, 2 ken long per shakujime. Sugi, square, 2 ken long per shakujime. Fagots (per 10 kamme). Charcoal (per 10 kamme).	Yen 1.17 1.19 .10 .25	Yen 3.50 4.08 .23 .77

Such a rise in prices of forest products necessarily increased the revenue from forests. The annual forest yield for the five years from 1899 to 1903 averaged 40,257,741 yen, the value of the timber and bamboos being estimated at the spot where they are cut, and if this figure is divided by the wooded area—7,138,892 cho—the average annual receipt per cho is to be put at 5.65 yen. As the advances made in prices of forest products have been more significant since the Russo-Japanese War, the average receipts per cho must have likewise increased. An official inquiry into the revenue of forest land on January 1, 1908, brings to light the fact that the wooded area amounted in that year to 7,257,258 cho, the assessed value per cho being 3,521 yen, on which was imposed

a land tax of .193 yen. Putting the forest area at 5,442,937 cho, the lucrativeness of forest lands ranges from 12 per cent to 4 per cent, averaging about 6.7 per cent, a higher rate than that of a rice field or an upland farm. Thus, the burden of the land tax doubtless has been lightened as compared with the condition prior to the great war, and a slight increase of the tax rate at the time of the war can not have exerted any visible effect. Nevertheless, the fact can not be denied that, the land tax on forests ranging from .775 yen to .059 yen, averaging .193 yen, as a result of the assessed value of forest per cho being put at a maximum of 14.093 yen down to a minimum of 1.078 yen, one being thirteen times as large as the other, the inequality of the burden imposed by the land tax is most significant with the wooded land.

In the interest of a clearer survey, the financial results of Japanese forestry, extracted from the official reports of the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws by the Department of Finance, are reproduced in the following:

EXPENDITURE AND	REVENUE	CONNECTED	WITH	WORKING A
Su	GI OR HIN	OKI FOREST	ž.	

Total revenue from cutting entire forest		Yen 1 080.00
Expenses of general administration (5 per cent of proceeds) Income tax (at 28.35 per mille of total revenue, above ex-	54.00	
penses being deducted) Income tax rate (at 13.5 per mille of income tax)	29.09 6.92	90.01
Balance		989.99 859 . 40
Profit (over and above the 5 per cent interest on capital invested)		130.59

<sup>Per cho.
Capitalized at the actual amount of expenditure in the fortieth year—874.40 yen, from which
15 yen are deducted as rent.</sup>

To sum up, the burden of the land tax since the Russo-Japanese War has become less, as far as the relative income of the land is concerned, owing mainly to the advances made in the price of the taxed object and to the consequent increase of revenue from land; but when the burden imposed by the land tax is compared with that of the business tax or

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF THE REVENUE FROM WORKING A SUGI OR HINOKI FOREST (per cho)

	No. of growing trees in the 40th year	Shaku- jime	Logs	Trees
I.	No. of trees capable of conversion into 2 ken logs (a) Logs, 2 ken long (1.5 piece per tree)		2,700	1,800
	Converted into shakujime, 4 pieces of 5 sun diameter at butt making 1 shakujime	675.0	1,800	
2.	Converted into shakujime, 20 pieces of logs with a diameter at butt from 2 sun to 4 sun No. of trees capable of conversion into I jo logs	90.0		900
	Logs, I shakujime (2.5 pieces per tree)	112.5	2,250	
7	Total	877.5	6,750	2,700

Original cost of the forest (1.231 yen per shakujime), 1,080 yen.

of similar imposts, a reverse tendency is revealed. This increase of the burden was, as has been stated above, brought about by the repeated increases of taxation, both at the time of and subsequent to the Russo-Japanese War.

Business tax

The origin of the Japanese business tax is traceable to the so-called komononari of the feudal age. This old system of taxation survived the Restoration for a short time, and the revenue accruing from it was employed for meeting the expenditures of the central and local governments. When the distinction between national and local taxes was introduced in 1875, the business tax was apportioned to the revenue of the prefectural treasury, and was assessed as a local tax up to the time of the war with China. The war, which called forth an increased revenue to meet the needs of the Treasury, compelled the government to have recourse to the establishment of this tax as part of the system of national taxation. Three main causes were at work when the government took that measure. In the first place, the assessment of the business tax and other sundry taxes, which had hitherto been

It has been assumed that cost of cutting trees is borne by the purchaser.
 Of 4,500 newly planted trees, 20 per cent were cut in the intervening years, 20 per cent withered and the remaining 60 per cent are in existence.

DETAILED ACCOUNT OF THE EXPENDITURE IN WORKING A SUGI OR HINOKI FOREST

Items	1 Year	5 Years	10 Years	15 Years 20 Years	20 Years	25 Years	30 Years	35 Years	40 Year
Rent	Yen 15.000 12.000 15.750	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen 	Yen
Cost of planting or filling up. Cost of weeding	9.000 9.000 .385 .140	9.000	 .385 .140	 .385 .140	 .385	385	.385	6.000 .385 .140	385
Total	61.275	9.520	.525	.525	.525	.525	.525	6.525	525
Interest ^b on principal of previous year	•	5.593	9.286	12.493	15.984	20.621	26.030	32.892	41.612
Total sum of principal and interest	61.275	135.378	195.547	262.890	336.196	433.572	547.170	697.264 874.396	874.396
Revenue	• • • •			3.150° .157 .060	: : :	13.500 ^d .675	8.400° .420 .162	15.500f 775 302	0 0 0
Balance for amortization	:	:	•	2.933	•	12.563	7.818	14.423	
Actual amount of principal and interest	61.275	135.378	195.547	259.957	336.196	421.009	539.352	682.84I 874.396	874.396
^a Per <i>cho.</i> ^b The rate of interest is assumed to be 5 per cent.	o be 5 per co	ta ta	d Reve	o Revenue from 225 trees. d Revenue from 150 trees.	5 trees.	A CONTRACTOR OF THE CONTRACTOR	Revenue from 70 trees.	a 70 trees.	

levied as local charges, was absolutely lacking in the balance of burden. The government endeavored to remedy this inequality of sacrifice by transferring the business tax to the national chest and imposing its surtax at a definite rate to fill the local treasury. Secondly, such taxes, for instance, as ship money, the vehicle tax, the confectionery tax, the license taxes on horses and oxen, tobacco and barm, imposed by the central government, as could be more advantageously levied for local purposes, owing to the immense expenses of collecting them by the central authorities, were all transferred to the local treasury, thus simplifying the national system of taxation. Thirdly, the landowners enjoyed a greater immunity from the burden of taxation than did either merchants or artisans, due to an improper apportionment of taxes and corresponding civil rights. To do away with this unequal state of affairs, the government conferred upon the pavers of the business tax the right of participating in government affairs, as stipulated in the Law of Election for Members of the House of Commons, and in the Regulations on Mutual Election of Members of the House of Peers, representing the highest taxpavers.

The Sino-Japanese War was brought to an earlier end than was anticipated, victory having attended our arms throughout, both on land and sea. The billions of Chinese indemnity and the new territory which remained in the nation's hands after the restoration of peace gave a strong impetus to her economic and financial development, so strong, indeed, that it pointed the way to an entire revolution of her economic activity. Financially, the state made abrupt advances, larger outlays being involved in the post-bellum undertakings, especially the growing industries and the improvement of government railways. Various other measures, also, aided in increasing the expenditures of the government. Economically, although the retrocession of Liaotung dejected the popular spirit for a time, business enterprises soon sprang up afresh in rapid succession along the lines of railways, shipping, cotton spinning, textile and many other manufacturing industries, while an enormous number of joint-stock companies were established and individual

enterprises universally expanded, resulting in an immense demand for capital. In 1896 a law was enacted to dispose of the national banks, which were accordingly converted into a number of private banks throughout the country, while in 1897 the new Currency Law introduced the system of gold monometalism and the establishment of a few "special banks." The receipt from China of the war indemnity, resulting in an enormous influx of gold, enabled the Bank of Japan to extend the limit of its excess note issue. The increasing mobility of available capital which was thus put at our disposal gave a strong impetus to the popular business confidence, and the introduction or increase of taxes to some extent was quite justifiable in that they gave no cause for hindering the economic development of the nation. Viewed from such a standpoint, the business tax was put in operation at a most favorable time. Below are shown the rates of the business tax as they were then assessed:

RATE OF ASSESSMENT IN BUSINESS TAXATION

Class	On capital, etc.	On rent of building used	On persons engaged
Sale of goods: Wholesale	. 0005 . 0015 (amount of sale)		yen per capita I
Banking, insurance, lending money on security	. 002 (capital)	. 040	I
Warehousing	. 002 (capital)	. 020	I
Manufacturing, printing, photographing	1.005 (capital)	. 040	(but 30 sen for artisans and laborers)
Freighting, canal transportation, pierage, docking, quayage, wharfinger	2.005		I
Dailmana	(capital)		I
Railways Contractor of works or labor	.002 (amount of contract)		I
Rooms for rent and restaurants		. 060	I
Hotels and innsBrokerage, agency, commission		. 040	I
agency, commission business	(amount of commission)		I

At the time of the Russo-Japanese War, the business tax was increased 150 per cent by the two emergency measures, and this temporary increase in the rate was made permanent in 1906. In 1910 the tax was reduced by 28 per cent, at which rate it has since remained, amounting to an increase of 122 per cent over what was assessed before the war.

REVISION OF BUSINESS TAX, 1897-1910

Revision	Year	Actual increase in revenue	Increase of rate
Establishment ^a . First (increase). Second (increase). Third (reduction).	1897 1904 1905 1910	Yen 5,000,000 5,000,000 5,800,000 2,000,000 ^b	Per cent 70 80 28 ^b
Total net revenue		8,800,000	122

Business tax was put in operation in 1897.

Of the above amendments, the first and second emergency increases at the time of the Russo-Japanese War come within the scope of the present research, really deserving the name of war taxes. What were the effects produced by these increased levies upon the taxable objects in particular and upon the general economic development of this country? According to the annual report of the Revenue Bureau pertaining to the returns of the revenue, the number of business taxpayers has been on the increase ever since the introduction of this tax. The taxpayers being classified into several grades, according to the amount of the payment, those belonging to the classes paying above 1,000 yen, 500 yen or over, 100 yen or over, 50 yen or over, 30 yen or over, 20 yen or over, 15 yen or over, have always been gaining in number, whether they be individuals or corporations, taking into consideration both the original taxpayers and those added by the revisions of 1904 and 1905. On the contrary, the taxpaying corporations (inclusive of those added by the revisions) graded above 10 yen, 5 yen or over, 3 yen or over, under 3 yen, have steadily been on the decrease, as well as

^b Decrease.

the number of individual taxpayers belonging to the classes above 3 yen and under 3 yen. Now, a glance over the last five years, 1907 to 1912, will show that almost every class of taxpayers, both individual and corporate, ranging from above 5 yen to upward of 1,000 yen, did increase in number from year to year, with the single exception of 1912 (fiscal), in which the number of taxpayers belonging to the class above 5 yen shrank to 135,749 from 138,923 of the previous year, whereas the lowest grade of taxpayers under 3 yen kept on declining, returning 396 persons for 1911 (fiscal) and 139 for 1912 (fiscal).

The shortage of the corporate payers of the business tax up to the fiscal year 1907 was incidental to the tendency of industrial combination and concentration of enterprises, while the decline in the number of smaller individual business men must perhaps be assigned not to the above tendency alone but also to the unduly heavy pressure of the emergency taxation. Those smaller taxpayers who were compelled to retire from business probably fell, as a rule, to the laboring class wherever no chance for transferring their capital was presented. Lack of available material for further study with any approach to accuracy forbids the solution of this intricate, though no less interesting, problem.

In almost every sphere of economic activities, this country has witnessed marked advance since the war with China, the development of foreign trade, the progress of monetary circulation and of corporate enterprises, and the increase in amount of bills cleared being put at from three to five times in the course of the ensuing years. The only exceptions to this general tendency are found along the line of wholesale and retail trade, the returns showing only an 80 per cent increase for the former and a 60 per cent increase for the latter, both failing to keep pace with the progress made in other branches of economic activities. This can be accounted for only by the fact that the traders concealed the real amount of sale in order to evade the unduly heavy burden imposed by the business tax. Possibly the amount of capital invest-

ment was also concealed for purposes of evasion, save with the enterprises organized into corporations. The entire amount of capital increased 240 per cent during a period of thirteen years ending with 1910, whereas the amount of business revenue began to shrink suddenly after 1906 to all but one-tenth of what it had been before. This was due to the nationalization of railways. On the other hand, the amount of contract for work or labor increased three times and the amount of commission two times and a half during the corresponding period; but the progress along this line, in the main, has been rather slow. Further, the ratio the business tax bears to the net business profit rose in 1903 to .033 and to nearly .045 thenceforth, as against the five years from 1897 to 1902, the ratio for which ranged from .015 to .018. A burden of 40 to 50 yen for a net profit of 1,000 ven seems at first glance by no means heavy; but in view of the fact that the business tax is, in the main, a tax on labor, except in case it is imposed on capital, this rate of burden is far from light. The fact is more clearly evidenced in the following tables:

WHOLESALE AND RETAIL TRADE, 1897-1910

Fiscal	Amount	of sale	Amount of	Trade
year	Wholesale	Retail	capital	revenue
	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen
1897	1,096,410,809	525,625,802	687,434,616	
1898	1,099,837,192	505,163,769	721,007,802	
1899	1,036,418,423 482,457,550		793,975,213	
1900	1,206,771,599	506,539,301	880,068,868	
1901	1,221,431,393	526,381,185	991,178,337	
1902	1,144,386,919	519,553,167	1,115,332,630	
1903	1,183,722,280	535,140,125	897,447,675	32,261,300
1904	1,244,326,948	565,364,881	1,083,795,564	33,349,294
1905	1,234,107,262	557,456,021	1,150,901,748	34,752,398
1906	1,358,605,373	616,547,504	1,188,230,241	42,324,606
1907	1,507,154,290	622,527,347	1,279,769,330	20,273,476
1908	1,824,757,227	758,871,337	1,521,860,864	3,307,730
1909	1,834,351,495	800,659,167	1,664,668,986	3,742,964
1910	1,851,475,980	778,825,964	1,726,352,522	3,746,588

Business Tax, 1897-1910

Year	Amount of contract	Amount of commission	Estimated net profit ^a	Business tax	Ratio of business tax to net profit
	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Per cent
1897	33,860,974	11,675,500	292,616,995	4,416,249	1.5
1898	39,276,296	12,264,200	293,425,371	5,478,020	1.9
1899	33,138,004	11,551,500	288,468,773	5,507,147	1.9
1900	37,536,246	15,965,100	320,692,174	6,051,515	1.8
1901	44,779,021	16,070,300	338,707,073	6,481,045	1.9
1902	46,881,822	15,421,005	342,353,152	6,777,047	1.9
1903	53,277,595	16,651,960	355,261,581	7,049,251	1.9
1904	54,197,252	17,236,362	376,846,595	12,601,542	13.3
1905	48,441,745	17,086,930	384,476,838	18,784,656	4.8
1906	44,854,086	19,504,181	392,980,944	19,770,159	5 - 3
1907	51,088,579	24,873,498	428,526,983	20,383,940	4.5
1908	89,721,056	26,171,357	511,268,422	23,574,427	4.6
1909	107,514,493	24,875,469	538,383,371	25,112,330	4.6
1910	94,527,342	25,986,038	559,823,011	25,303,507	4.6

The estimated net profit is calculated at 10 per cent of the amount of the wholesale trade; 20 per cent of that of the retail trade; 10 per cent of the amount of capital; 50 per cent of revenue (for railways) and 20 per cent of the amount of contract or commission.

Regarding the proportion between the burden of several taxes and the business net profit of corporations, it may be noted that banking and other corporations are taxed at least 10 per cent of their net profits, the rate in most cases ranging from 16 to 18 per cent; that is, they are taxed at the rate of 10 yen to 18 yen for every 100 yen of net profit. Of course, the rate here mentioned includes the income tax, the business tax, and the local surtax, but the lion's share falls to the business tax, since the income tax levied upon a joint-stock company is only 4 per cent of its net profit, and therefore the burden charged on companies and partnerships is mainly imposed in the form of business tax. Below are given, for purposes of reference, the figures showing the business tax paid by leading companies in their relation to the net profit of each:

RATIO OF BUSINESS TAX TO NET PROFIT

Companies	Net profit	Tax paid	Settlement period	Ratio of tax to net profit
	Yen	Yen		Per cent
First Bank	1,757,015	184,572	July, 1910-June, 1911	10
One-hundredth Bank	863,347		July, 1909-June, 1910	12
Teikoku Shogyo Ginko.	344,438		July, 1911–Dec., 1911	15
Kawasaki Dockyard	838,584		Nov., 1910–Nov., 1911	17
Yokohama Dock Co	414,562	76,808		17
Tobu Railway Co	222,908			12
Tokyo Electric Co	3,674,576		June, 1910-May, 1911	14
Osaka Electric Co	1,605,640		July, 1910-June, 1911	II
Tokyo Gas Co	2,233,946			12
Amagasaki Spinning Co.	561,559			18
Kishiwada Spinning Co. Kanegafuchi Spinning	578,973			7
Co Fuji Gassed Spinning	1,964,222	324,945	Jan., 1911-Dec., 1911	16
Co	1,688,989	217,136	July, 1909-May, 1910	12
Miye Spinning Co	1,169,342	202,360	Jan., 1911-Dec. 1911,	17

Income tax

The original of the Japanese income tax dates from March, 1887, (twentieth year of Meiji), when a progressive tariff was assessed on personal incomes of above 300 yen per annum, those of corporations being exempt from taxation. reasons for introducing this new departure in the system of taxation have been already briefly stated. The revenue of the nation at the time of the introduction of the income tax consisted mainly of the land tax and the tax on sake, but the amount raised fell far short of supplying the needs which the nation's progress demanded, particularly the increasing of the navy, which the state of foreign affairs necessitated. The existing taxes on land and sake not only did not permit any further increase, but the tax laws as well, which were compiled in a disorderly manner at the instigation of the new Meiji Government, could not keep pace with the progressive demands of the age. The government, therefore, created a new tax on income, modeled after the financial legislation of other countries, in order, on the one hand, to meet the exigency of naval expansion, and, on the other, to introduce a reform in the system of taxation.

An amendment of the income tax was enacted by Law No. XVII of 1899, by which the income of corporations was brought under taxation, necessitated by the growing demand for sources of revenue in order to meet the expenses of expanding and replenishing armaments as a result of the recent war with China. The amendment was also effected to provide for the taxation of international incomes which present themselves in consequence of the revision of treaties, and, further, to meet the requirements of economic development, especially along the line of industrial enterprises. The main features of the amendment are as follows:

- 1. By the new law the liability and conditions to which the taxpayers within and without the Empire are subject were made clearer, bringing under charge all persons having a domicile within the Imperial dominion to which the law is to be applied, as well as all persons, irrespective of nationality, having a residence within the Empire for more than a year.¹
- 2. Those persons not provided for in the preceding paragraph, having either property or business or else receiving interest on national loans or debentures within the dominion, were made liable to the income tax, in so far as they draw their incomes from the same.
- 3. The new law extended to corporations the duty of paying the income tax, which duty hitherto applied only to individuals.

Thus, the new law embodied the tax on three kinds of incomes: (1) incomes of corporations; (2) interest on national loans or debentures paid within the dominion in which this law is enforced; (3) individual incomes. The rate of assessment on the first and second kinds of incomes is proportional while the rate on the third adopts what is scientifically termed the method of "hitting at sources." The third kind

¹ Cf. art. 1 of the revised law.

is divided into twelve grades, ranging in rate from .010 to .055.

The emergency taxation at the time of the Russo-Japanese War found in the income tax one of the most appropriate sources of war-time revenue. By the first increase program of 1004, the government increased the rate of the income tax by revising the existing law, arranging the first kind of income (i.e. corporations) into (a) that of a joint-stock company, or a joint-stock company of unlimited liability organized by either more than twenty-one shareholders or twenty-one shareholders and partners together; and (b) other corporations. The rate of the tax was accordingly differentiated, the system of proportional taxation being applied to (a), while that of progressive taxation was adopted for (b). The grades of incomes to be taxed were arranged into eight, between the highest income of above 100,000 yen and the lowest of 5,000 yen. By the second increase program of 1905 the income tax was increased a second time.

The actual revenue accruing from the first increase, when the government expected to increase 70 per cent of the proceeds of the tax on incomes of the first and third kinds, was estimated at 5,287,350 yen. The reason the government left the second kind of income untouched was to maintain the price of the national war loan, the second kind of income consisting in the main of the interest on public loans. The revenue of the second increase program that the government originally proposed to carry out was to amount to 5,862,240 yen, by raising another 80 per cent increase over and above the first one. The bill was amended by the Diet (especially with reference to the rate on (b) of the first kind of income), which reduced about I per cent the government proposal, viz., 575,778 yen, resulting in an actual increased revenue of 5,286,462 yen. The increased revenue raised since the first amendment is shown below:

REVISION OF INCOME TAX ON CORPORATIONS

Revision	Year	Revenue	Rate of increase
		Yen	Per cent
Establishment		1,500,000	
First increase	1904	5,300,000 5,300,000	70
Second increase	1905	5,300,000	70
Total increase		12,100,000	140

The development of the national income as revealed in the revenue of the income tax since the Sino-Japanese War is shown in the following table, the conditions prior to that event being purposely omitted, as the amount of the tax was excessively small because the general economic conditions were not yet developed, while accurate information on the objects to be taxed was not available, due to frequent evasions at the time:

DEVELOPMENT OF THE NATIONAL INCOME, 1893-1910

Year	First kind	Second kind	Third kind	Total
	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen
1893			91,313,000	91,313,000
1894			99,470,000	99,470,000
1895			107,553,000	107,553,000
1896			127,299,000	127,299,000
1897			147,676,000	147,676,000
1898			168,480,000	168,480,000
1899	60,809,000	12,675,000	204,117,000	277,603,000
1900	89,761,000	13,111,000	253,251,000	356,124,000
901	87,080,000	14,514,000	291,256,000	392,851,000
1902	90,718,000	15,142,000	326,230,000	432,090,000
1903	94,234,000	17,255,000	366,931,000	478,421,000
1904	93,736,000	18,230,000	395,262,000	507,228,000
1905	123,233,000	14,577,000	424,492,000	562,303,000
1906	139,447,000	13,129,000	466,219,000	618,795,000
1907	127,533,000	24,644,000	519,457,000	671,635,000
1908	132,672,000	33,747,000	640,135,000	806,554,000
1909	125,910,000	16,261,000	689,722,000	831,894,000
1910	119,602,000	7,210,000	697,999,000	824,812,000

The foregoing table might lead us to judge that the growth of the national income in this country has made such marked

advances since the war with China that the amount increased from 91,000,000 yen in 1893 to 824,000,000 yen in 1910, that is, an increase of nine times in a period of nineteen vears. But the basis for calculation before 1800 was so different from that after that year, when the law was revised, that the rate of increase above referred to has no claim for accuracy. The increase, however, of the income tax to meet the war expenses was carried out after the revision of the law, so that we may justly compare the growth of our national income before and after the war-time increase of the income tax. The entire amount of the income was estimated at 277,603,000 ven in 1800, at the time the law was revised, and this amount advanced to 478,421,000 yen in 1903, which was one year before the increase, thus making an increase of 200,718,000 yen in five years, or an annual average increase of about 40,000,000 yen. Since the increased taxation of 1904, the rate of increase has somehow diminished, the amount being roughly estimated at 507,000,000 yen in 1904, 562,000,000 yen in 1905, and 617,635,000 yen in 1907, showing an increase of about 140,000,000 yen over the amount for the year previous to the introduction of the increased tariff and averaging an annual increase of about 28,000,000 ven. An increase of no less than 140 per cent during the intervening years could not help exerting considerable influence on the development of the national income.

A comparison between the arrearages of the income tax before and after the great increases for war purposes may not be without interest, although it would be inadvisable to draw from these figures alone any final conclusions as to the burden of the tax in question. The number of persons pressed for payment of the income tax in arrears in 1903, before the rate was increased, stood at 59,697, which figure became 61,695 in 1904, when the first increase was carried out, and at last reached 118,012 in 1905, when the second increase was enforced. During the corresponding period the number of those persons whose property was seized for non-payment increased at first from 2,086 to 3,111 and

then to 5,149, the number of defaulters disposed of for the recovery of the tax in arrears increasing from 327 to 514 and 878, respectively, while the number of ultimate defaulters causing loss to the Treasury was put at 2,089, 2,250, and 4,472, in the respective years. Thus, the arrears in general grew with the increase of the rate, the defaulters almost doubling in number after the great increase of taxation. Here we have ample ground for concluding that the increase of the income tax was rather too heavy in proportion to the growth of the national income.

Approaching the subject from a different angle, somewhat contradictory results are found. As was shown in the foregoing table, the object of the present tax, i.e. the income to be taxed, has made material advances since 1908, amounting, for instance, to 824,812,000 yen in 1910, an increase of 547,208,000 yen, or a 20 per cent increase as compared with 1899 (fiscal), when the income tax law was revised. The rate of the progress of income is more rapid than that of the income tax, as has been the case at least since 1908. In view of the above fact, the development of the national income in the main was not hampered by the increase of the present tax.

The growth of the total income to be taxed is mainly assignable to the remarkable progress of the income of the third kind. In 1899, of a total income of 277,603,000 yen, the third kind comprised 204,117,000 yen, or nearly 70 per cent of the total, whereas in 1910, of a total of 824,812,000 yen, the third kind was put at 697,999,000 yen, approximately equivalent to 90 per cent of the total. The burden of income taxation is again the largest with the income of the third kind. In the fiscal year 1912–13, the total income of the third kind amounted to 765,425,742 yen, and the total tax borne by the third kind of income figured up to 27,383,196 yen. Further, the distribution of the burden of the third kind of income tax among the different grades of individual taxpayers in 1912–13 is shown below:

DISTRIBUTION OF THIRD KIND OF INCOME TAX, FISCAL YEAR 1912-1913

Grades of income	Income	Tax	Rate
Yen	Yen	Yen	Per Cent
Above 300	207,749,103	4,154,982	2
" 500	201,421,618	5,075,824	2.52
" 1,000	134,714,578	4,647,652	3.45
" 2,000	61,095,461	2,388,832	3.91
" 3,000	58,785,958	2,704,154	4.6
" 5,000	50,351,906	3,021,114	6
" 10,000	18,602,408	1,395,180	7.5
" 15,000	9,856,948	931,481	9.45
" 20,000	9,073,274	1,052,499	11.6
" 30,000	7,229,600	813,430	13.85
" 50,000	4,592,475	800,720	17
" 100,000	1,952,413	397,328	23.5
Total	765,425,742	27,383,196	3.5

The preceding table shows that the revenue accruing from the three grades under 2,000 yen amounted to the enormous sum of 13,700,000 yen, more than half of the total revenue of the income tax, whereas that yielded from the six grades above 10,000 yen was put at 5,300,000 yen, or no more than one fifth of the total revenue. Thus, it is safe to conclude that the most serious burden of the war taxation of 1904–5 fell on the three lowest grades of taxpayers, who draw incomes under 2,000 yen.

It has already been explained that the war taxation, relatively speaking, exerted the heaviest influence on individual incomes (third kind), and of these that the three lowest grades of income under 2,000 yen were most seriously affected. Incomes of individual persons grouped under the third kind are arranged according to their sources as follows:

(1) rice fields and upland fields; (2) rented land and house;
(3) forest; (4) waste land and others; (5) mining; (6) stockfarming and extraction; (7) interest on loans and deposits;
(8) salaries and allowances; (9) trade; (10) industry; (11) miscellaneous business; (12) labor; (13) other incomes. Of these thirteen sources of personal revenue, the largest share of burden falls on those who derive incomes from rice

fields and upland fields, followed by those engaged in trade and those receiving salaries and allowances, the least share falling to those engaged in mining. The following table is inserted for comparison:

REVENUE OF THIRD KIND OF INCOME TAXA

Source of revenue	1901–1902	1909–1910
	Yen	Yen
Rice fields and upland fields	87,139,282	222,097,653
Rented land and house	15,568,481	53,715,154
Forest	5,619,381	16,008,089
Waste land and others	1,323,047	2,513,814
Mining	1,075,265	1,497,815
Stock-farming and extraction	3,148,715	11,621,281
Interest on loans and deposits	29,632,473	46,849,879
Salaries and allowances	54,108,689 ^b	114,865,461
Trade	64,927,147	144,544,155
Industry	13,797,048	39,369,762
Miscellaneous business	8,012,250 ^b	17,026,661
Labor	1,411,426 ^b	3,859,968
Other incomes	68,675,835	15,752,694
Total	290,906,674	689,722,386

^{*} From Thirty-sixth Annual Statistical Report of the Bureau of Taxation. b For year 1902-3.

Summary

By way of concluding the present section, we quote below from the returns on 886 items prepared by the Finance Department concerning the relative burden of various direct taxes as well as of local imposts. The contrast between the owners of landed property and non-landed taxpayers was not ascertained, but a comparison between the peasantry, on the one hand, consisting, in the main, of landowners, and, on the other hand, the liberal professions, both public and private, organized chiefly of non-landowners, was made clear to a considerable extent.

Taking, as an illustration, the fifth grade in the foregoing table, with an income of 300-500 yen, we see that the total burden of the taxes on land, income, and business, together with their surtaxes, stands at 27 per cent with the peasantry, whereas with liberal professions the ratio is diminished to merely 5 per cent. The burden on the peasantry is nearly

RATIO	OF T	VEC	TO	THE	DE	TENTE	TO	DE '	TAXEDa

Grades of income	Land tax and its surtax	Income tax and its surtax	Business tax and its surtax	Other	Total
Peasantry: Yen Above 3,000 Between 2,000 and 3,000 1,000 and 2,000 500 and 1,000 300 and 500 Liberal Professions: Yen	Per cent 1.92 1.71 1.85 1.86 1.80	Per cent	Per cent . II . II . 10 . 05 . 02	Per cent . 53 . 44 . 50 . 66 . 70	Per cent 3.00 2.64 2.78 2.81 2.71
Above 3,000 Between 2,000 and 3,000 " 1,000 and 2,000 " 500 and 1,000 " 300 and 500	. 07 . 04 . 08 . 05 . 02	. 56 · 47 · 41 · 31 · 24		. 14 . 16 . 26 . 23 . 25	· 75 · 67 · 75 · 58 · 51

^a The revenue to be taxed is made out by adding the amount of the taxes and other public charges to the income serving as the standard of the income tax.

four times heavier than on the others. This fact applies to taxes in general; but, as is obvious, the war taxes since the Sino-Japanese War, especially those levied at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, gave rise, in a large measure, to this unbalanced distribution of the burden of taxation.

As has been previously pointed out, the burden of indirect taxes as war imposts fell most heavily on those of small incomes, just as the burden of direct taxes fell most heavily on the peasantry, particularly on small farmers or peasant proprietors.

CHAPTER III

A SYNTHETIC STUDY OF THE ECONOMIC EFFECTS OF WAR TAXES

Relation between War Taxation and National Productivity

In the preceding chapter we considered both the direct and indirect taxes as war imposts, each in the light of its specific effects. In the present chapter we pass to a synthetic study of their economic effects. The analytical study, as shown in the foregoing pages, brought to light the undeniable and noteworthy fact that along the line of extractive industry, especially agriculture, the increase of the land tax caused inequality of burden, although not any shrinkage in the cultivated area; that in industry the war taxes at the time of the Russo-Japanese War called forth a general inactivity, especially in such branches of manufacturing industries as turned out luxuries and other articles than munitions of war; that the domestic trade, especially that which dealt in such articles of luxury, suffered a most serious blow; that in foreign trade the increased levy in customs duties at the time of the Russo-Japanese War was chiefly instrumental in causing more goods to be imported than exported through the anticipatory importation of dutiable merchandise.1 War taxation, however, did not bear heavily upon transportation in general, except on transportation by land, where the passenger revenue was impaired by the traveling tax on railway traffic. In monetary circulation, unfavorable results were found to some extent at the time of the Boxer Uprising, but the results were never so serious as those of the Emergency Special Taxes at the time of the Russo-Japanese War. It is true, the total yield of these special taxes since April, 1904, amounting to 200,000,000 yen, did ¹ Cf. table no. VII, Appendix A, post, p. 198.

not absorb all the capital in the monetary market, but no doubt the Treasury drew off the capital in the course of being supplied to the market, as well as circulating capital in the market. Evidently, war taxation also brought about stringency in the monetary circulation of the local market, but how far such effects were at work can not, as is the case with other instances above referred to, be specifically ascertained, independently of those upon public loans, etc. Approaching the subject of the nation's capacity to withstand extortionate taxation in emergency, the conditions of national savings before the war with China are inserted at the outset for reference:

Items	1876	1893	Increase
Savings deposits	Yen 41,845 4,366,367	Yen 6,035,455 26,155,449 57,178,093	Yen 6,035,455 26,113,604 52,811,726

The contrast between the two years in the foregoing table can not lay claim to accuracy, as the system of savings in the former year was not yet well organized; but the significant development of the national savings may be readily conceived from the preceding figures. Such a growth in savings was of course a result of the increase of production. Below is given a table showing the development of production in this country between the Satsuma Rebellion and the Sino-Japanese War:

DEVELOPMENT OF PRODUCTION, 1876-1893

Items	1876	1893	Increase
Cultivated area of rice field Cultivated area of wheat field Gold output Silver output Copper output Coal output Aquatic products Raw-silk output Cotton-yarn output Textiles output Matches output	1,940,297 cho (1877) 789,799 cho (1877) 93.421 momme (1877) 2,945,417 ounces 1,051,319 pounds (1877) 499,106 tons 8,382,280 kwan 584,566 kwan (1884) 778,433 kwan (1886) 8,275,436 tan 10,165,615 gross (1880)	2,769,478 cho 1,744,010 cho 199,961 momme 18,463,621 ounces 4,797,809 pounds 3,317,104 tons 86,082,815 kwan 1,233,554 kwan 10,666,744 kwan 56,323,409 tan	829,181 cho 954,211 cho 106,540 momme 15,518,204 ounces 3,746,490 pounds 2,817,998 tons 77,700,535 kwan 648,988 kwan 0,888,311 kwan 48,047,973 tan

Thus, it is conceivable that the development of productivity grew from five to ten times in a period of two decades and a half, and prepared the way for the nation to stand the pressure of the war taxation. The rapid growth of productivity naturally enabled the nation to achieve marked advances in foreign trade, the entire amount of both import and export trades increasing roughly from 51,000,000 yen in 1876 to 178,000,000 yen in 1893. No less remarkable progress was made in the facilities of domestic trade and industry, the total capital of economic corporations, including agricultural, commercial, and industrial companies, as well as those for transportation by land and sea, advancing from 22,000,000 yen in 1884 to 209,000,000 yen in 1893, an increase of ten times in a decade.

Turning to means of communication and transportation, we find that during the same period the total mileage of railways increased from 26 miles (Japanese) to 788 miles, an increase of 762 miles; the total tonnage of steamships increased from 40,248 tons to 110,205 tons, an increase of 69,957 tons; the total length of telegraph lines increased from 2,214 miles (Japanese) to 10,231 miles, an increase of 8,017 miles; the total length of postal lines increased from 13,383 miles (Japanese) to 23,745 miles, an increase of 10,362 miles; the total amount of mail matter increased from 32,031,174 pieces to 319,740,556 pieces, an increase of 287,709,382 pieces. Such marked advances in the means of communication and transportation greatly aided the growth of monetary circulation, obviously serving the same end as the corresponding increase of funded capital.

Passing now to the development of monetary and credit facilities in the corresponding period, we know that the circulation of currency increased from 164,299,149 yen to 238,498,319 yen, an increase of 74,199,170 yen; the total number of bills cleared increased from 25,304,350 to 211,619,531, an increase of 186,315,181; the total number of postal

¹ The figures for 1876 were not available, but if the same rate holds, presumably the increase may amount to twenty times as much.

money orders issued increased from 257,443 to 3,372,036, an increase of 3,114,593; the total amount of bills of exchange issued for remittance through banks increased from 18,980,310 yen (taking the accounts of the national banks for the second half of 1876 and the first half of 1877) to 185,447,531 yen (taking the accounts of all the banks). Facilities of monetary circulation, organized for the purpose of regulating such media of exchange, showed equally significant progress; for instance, the total amount (nominal) of capital of banks increased during the corresponding period from 4,550,000 yen to 111,634,590 yen, an increase of 107,084,590 yen, or the enormous advance of about twenty-five times.

Such is the growth of national wealth from the Satsuma Rebellion to the Sino-Japanese War. Of course, the above figures do not signify any corresponding increase of national net profit, but the truth can not be denied that military and war taxes, which amounted to nearly 10,000,000 yen after the Satsuma Rebellion, did not hinder the progress of our national economy.

Turning next to the economic development after the Sino-Japanese War, with special reference to the quantity and efficiency of national production, we give opposite a table illustrating the marked advances made in the economic activities of the nation, and consequently in the objects of taxation, during a period of nineteen years from 1893 to 1912.

In view of these figures, the reader can see that while the currency in circulation increased only three times, due to the development of credit instruments, the import trade increased 570 per cent and the export trade 690 per cent in a period of nineteen years. Other items, such as the paid-up capital of banks, deposits and savings, amount of life insurance, written up and taxable incomes, all increased in corresponding proportion, the rates ranging downward from thirty to seven times, averaging, in all probability, something like eight or nine times. During the corresponding period, the total revenue of taxation (inclusive of monopoly profits)

ADVANCES IN ECONOMIC ACTIVITIES OF NATION, 1893-1912^a (in thousands of yen)

ble nes	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 140 145 525 525 579 737 901 1,054
Taxable	Amt.	Yen 91.313 127.283 147.676 478.420 478.421 618.975 671.635 824.817 866,701
nsur- ritten	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 275 520 700 899 1,191 1,522 1,522 1,522 1,524 2,721
Life insurance written up	Amt.	Yen 23,043 83,185 119,651 206,837 275,769 350,188 538,167
of of nies	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 141 359 598 599 7337 750 9999 1,054
Paid-up capital of companies	Amt.	Yen 148,353 337,564 532,522 878,606 1,089,065 1,114,227 1,481,401 1,549,973 1
its of ngs ks	Rate of inc.	Per cent 1100 257 324 508 841 945
Deposits of savings banks	Amt.	Yen 33,034 84,965 100,707 218,743 211,983 329,135
al ngs	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 1100 1100 1200 235 615 7755
Postal	Amt.	Yen 26,155 28,479 26,157 28,804 31,471 72,266 72,266 161,026 183,513 197,293
s of	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 369 350 1,473 1,473 2,459 3,269 3,269 3,482
Deposits of ordinary banks	Amt.	Yen Per Per 62,916 100 38,426 100 26,155 107,271 260 141,937 369 28,479 372,950 392 536,702 1,356 28,894 1374,685 592 556,227 1,473 31,471 4 541,736 862 1,356 24,550 72,566 5 67,195 607,195 904,205 24,550 71,536 671,441 1,067 1,185,607 3,088 161,026 6 7 41,753 1,179 1,256,247 3,482 197,293 7
up cal nks	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 259 331 592 595 862 1,067 1,179
Paid-up capital of banks	Amt.	Yen 62,916 1167,271 208,376 372,950 374,738 5607,195 671,441
ncy	Rate of inc.	Per Cem 10 110 114 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115 115
Currency in circulation	Amt, ii	Yen 200,654 290,274 311,111 355,606 308,456 508,456 508,456 508,456 502,549 602,549 664,749
orts	Rate of inc.	Per cent 100 300 3305 480 5553 5592 692
Imports	Amt.	Yen 89,430 188,718 274,170 317,135 418,784 494,467 464,233 513,805 618,992
rts	Rate of inc.	Per cent 1100 1145 1195 285 320 4477 507 1507 1507 1507 1507 1507 1507 150
Exports	Amt.	Yen 90,434 131,126 177,875 258,303 289,503 423,754 432,412 458,428 447,433
))	Year	1893 1899 1899 1902 1903 1907 1910 1911

^a This table is quoted from *Report on Monetary Circulation and Statistical Annal for the Empire of Japan*. The figures for currency in circulation, paid-up capital of banks, various deposits, paid-up capital of companies, and contract amount of life insurance are those existing at the end of the year, but the figures given for deposits of ordinary banks and for deposits of savings banks for 1912 are those existing at the end of June. In place of the figures for paid-up capital of companies for 1893 are given the figures for 1894.

increased six times, while the per capita burden of war taxes advanced about nine times, which fact is conclusive proof of the truth that the nation's capacity to stand the extortion of compulsory charges generally keeps pace with the advancement of the burden of war taxes. The specific effect of each individual war tax, as has previously been pointed out, is not, on the whole, of an excessively detrimental nature.

WAR TAXES AND LIVELIHOOD OF THE NATION

The preceding pages have been mainly employed to indicate the effects of war taxes on the quantity and quality of production, viewed from the standpoint of national economy. The net profit of national production, however, does not grow with the development of those numerous items, hitherto pointed out, that work together to indicate the true condition of national wealth and well-being. The amount of capital invested, or the deposits held by banks or companies, or the amount of insurance money, or, again, the incomes subjected to the income tax, are all signs of the nation's income brought under the charge of direct taxes. The war taxes, as has been stated above, consisted, for the most part, of indirect, and not direct, taxes, and, therefore, the growth shown in these signs of national wealth and welfare can not be admitted as evidence of the effects produced by war imposts on the livelihood of the nation at large. The real influence of those taxes must be ascertained by depicting the relationship between the taxes and the incomes of the small-income earners, which are by far the most susceptible to the rise of general prices due to the advancement of indirect taxes. That the burden of the Japanese war taxes borne by the small-income earners was the heaviest with the laboring classes and the poorer peasantry is easy to understand from what was stated in the preceding chapter. By way of providing an illustration, a table is inserted here, indicating the relation between the growth of direct and indirect taxes and the wages of carpenters, plasterers, dyers and day laborers:

RELATION BETWEEN INCREASE OF TAXES AND WORKMEN'S WAGES, 1896-1912

			Wa	Wages					Taxes	
Carpo	arpenters	Plasterers	erers	Dy	Dyers	Day la	Day laborers	, to	\$ 100 miles	Total
Amount	Rate of increase	Amount	Rate of increase	Amount	Rate of increase	Amount	Rate of increase	Direct	namecr	revenu
Yen	Per cent	Yen	Per cent	Yen	Per cent	Yen	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent
.270	100	.270	100	.202	100	.184	100	100	100	100
380	140	.381	141	.254	911	.262	142	100	122	100
437	161	.432	160	.288	131	.286	155	OII	177	135
583	232	.593	219	.300	150	.390	216	143	386	251
588	217	019.	226	.328	164	. 400	221	144	377	250
645	238	.653	242	.365	183	.423	235	323	779	535
748	273	.763	282	.418	209	.485	265	328	914	574
800	295	.830	307	.488	244	.533	362	329	921	.580
:			:	:	:	:	:	346	956	602
								323	943	588

The foregoing table shows that the wages of general working men in this country have increased about three times since 1893, and those of factory laborers even less than that, as the latter usually rise much slower than the former.¹ On the other hand, the indirect taxes show an increase of as much as nine times during the corresponding period. Of course, not all the burden of indirect taxes is shifted to the consumer, and to measure the pressure of the taxation on working men merely by the consideration of indirect taxes would be too uncertain; but the load doubtless falls more heavily upon the laboring class than upon any other class of income earners. If the relation between the prices and the wages and the conditions of the working-men's livelihood are brought in evidence, the above statement can be confirmed as more than a mere surmise.

According to the statistics relating to the index numbers of general prices, prices of rice and wages in this country, prepared by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce, the rates of increase during a period of twelve years between 1900 and 1911 are 49 per cent for prices of rice, 26 per cent for prices of general commodities, and 53 per cent for wages. Thus, it may be seen that the rate of increase for wages is larger than for prices of rice or of other commodities in general, forming an evident exception to the rule that the rise in wages is invariably behind that in general prices. This seeming anomaly is manifestly assignable to the marked advances made in industrial enterprises since the two great wars with China and Russia. However, it must not be supposed that because of the greater rise in wages the laboring classes were not subject to the influence of the higher cost of living due to war taxes or to excises in particular. For all that appears to the contrary, the burden of taxes did fall much heavier on the working men, than on any other class, whose incomes, as can be seen from the above statement, showed a growth far more rapid than the laborers' wages. According to more recent statistics pertaining to the income

¹ Cf. table no. VIII. Appendix A, post, pp. 199-200.

and expenditure of the Japanese workman, the average household expenditure of a normal laborer of medium income, employed in such industries as filature, spinning, printing (including typography) or paper manufacture, for a family of four, consisting of the master, mistress and two children or elders, living in an ordinary working-man's house, is as follows:

Monthly earnings:	Yen	Ven
Master (84 per cent)		18.00
Wife, by light home occupation (14 per cent)		3.00
Monthly expenditures:		3.00
House rent (17 per cent)	3.50	
Food (59 per cent)	12.50	
Clothing (5 per cent)	1.00	
Insurance, etc. Education and medical treatment (19 per cent)	. 60	
Education and medical treatment \ (19 per cent)	. 30	
Sundries)	3.10	
Total	21.00	21.00

Thus, it may be observed no surplus is left in the workingman's household account. The case cited below is that of an average female laborer employed in a textile industry and living in the factory quarters.

	Yen	Yen
Monthly earnings.		9.00
Monthly expenditures:		
Boarding (33 per cent)	3.00	
Relief society dues (3 per cent)	. 30	
Cooperative fund instalment (3 per cent)	. 30	
Remittance home and savings (22 per cent)	2.00	
Sundries (38 per cent)	3.40	
Total	9.00	9.00

Thus, just a slight margin for savings is left in the case of a female operative, in contrast with that of an independent family supporter. In the above accounts, the conditions in factories of medium size were taken; but if the conditions in each specific factory were available, the working-man's family accounts would present quite a different aspect, mostly, perhaps, ending in a deficit. It goes without saying that the higher cost of rations and other necessaries, occasioned through higher taxes on consumption, would exert a most serious influence on Japanese working men, living, as they do, within such a narrow financial margin.

As another example of a class heavily burdened by excises, we have the Japanese small farmers. According to the rural statistics of 1912, the total farming population in Japan amounted to more than half the total population, the number of farming families (ko) being 5,438,051 out of a total of 9,422,999 families. Of the total number of Japanese families, more than 5,500,000 subsist by purchasing rice, and, again, of this latter number nearly 2,339,550 families belong to the small farming class owning less than 5 tan of land per family. In other words, more than half the number of families in need of purchased rice belong to the small peasantry. They suffer doubly from war levies, being subject to direct as well as indirect taxes. By way of illustration, a household account of a Japanese farming family is here given:

HOUSEHOLD ACCOUNT OF A FARMING FAMILY^a

2	18	390	18	399	19	008
Items	Land owners	Tenants	Land owners	Tenants	Land owners	Tenants
	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen
Earnings: From rice and upland fields From gardening, cattle- breeding, special crops,	195	143	298	211	409	281
etc	33	12	58	18	76	23
From manure	29	26	40	33	51	45
Sundries	16	22	26	37	31	45
Total	273	203	422	299	567	394
Food	100	73	153	104	204	142
Manure	39	33	63	47	86	62
Charges	19	I	31	2	56	4
Clothing	18	9	37	14	36	
Housing	7		10		13	
Education	5		8		13	
Fuel	5	3	8	4	11	5
rent)	4	63	7	95	10	142
Sundries	, 49	18	75	28	109	40
Total	246	200	382	294	538	395
Balance	27	3	40	5	29	I

Prepared by the Dai-nihon-nokai, 1912. Averages taken of land-owning farmers in 18 places and of tenant farmers in 16 places.

Thus, the net revenue of both landowning and tenant farmers has been decreasing steadily since the war with Russia, resulting even in a deficit of 1 yen with the latter in 1908. Of course, this can not be wholly attributed to taxes: but that their effects, whether direct or indirect, played a part by no means insignificant, can not be disputed. Nor can the fact be denied that many of the debts incurred by the Iapanese middle classes and small farmers, living in these restricted circumstances, are due mainly to taxation. The latest returns place the total amount of debts of the farming classes at the enormous sum of 750,000,000 yen. As the figures showing the fluctuations of this amount are not available, we must be satisfied with the following record of the amount of loans contracted on security of land, which, if the usual proportion holds, corresponds to about half the total debts of the farming classes. Even a cursory examination of the returns of loans on security or in pledge of land, or those of the amount of sale or purchase of land in the whole country, will not fail to suggest that the almost sudden increase of those figures since the Russo-Japanese War owes much to the extortionate imposition and increase of emergency taxation at the time and after the great war of 1904-5, not to speak of the general development of postbellum industry, which called forth a universal and instigating tendency to convert real estate into circulating money capital.

WAR TAXES AND MOVEMENTS OF POPULATION

In the preceding pages we have briefly stated how the war taxes imposed an unduly heavy burden upon the laboring classes, both in cities and in the country, as well as upon the rural small peasantry. Other things being equal, the movement of population evidently follows the line of least resistance, or, to put it in economic terms, the line of least pressure on its economic activity. One of the most important tendencies in the modern industrial world—the migration of rural populations toward cities, with all its complexity of causes

Conversion	OF REAL	ESTATE INT	o Money.	1800-1010

Year	Loans contracted on security or in pledge of land	Amount of sale of landed property
	Yen	Yen
1899	180,090,995	121,684,938
1900		149,575,480
1901		156,095,620
1902		174,655,486
1903		148,809,121
1904		169,195,021
1905		220,980,075
1906		258,140,947
1907		218,001,045
1908		232,846,571
1909		259,234,170
1916		297,693,029

and effects—is to be mainly accounted for by the relatively hard pressure upon the livelihood of the farming class. Although space forbids minute inquiry as to the distribution of the burden of taxation between urban and rural populations, suffice it to conclude that, in view of the composition of urban and rural populations and of the existing proportion of direct taxes borne by them, the rural populace has borne and still bears a heavier burden of taxation than the urban population. The leading features of the natural and social increment of our population will be studied first.

Census-taking in Japan has not been brought up to date, and scientifically the returns in the Statistical Annals published by the Cabinet are comparatively valueless. The figures, however, concerning the general aspects of the expansion of our population, as far as a record is available, are given below:

Year	Population
1726 (Eleventh year of Kyoho)	26,548,998
1750 (Third year of Kwanyen)	25,917,830
1804 (First year of Bunkwa)	25,621,957
1846 (Third year of Kokwa)	26,907,625
1872 (Fifth year of Meiji)	33,110,825
1883 (Fifteenth year of Meiji)	36,700,118
1892 (Twenty-fifth year of Meiji)	41,089,940
1902 (Thirty-fifth year of Meiji)	46,022,476
1912 (Forty-fifth year of Meiji)	51,748,600

The figures for the Tokugawa Era, placing the population of the entire land at about 25,000,000, must be left outside our review, as the returns are not sufficiently accurate. Restricting our consideration to the Meiji period, therefore, we obtain an increment of about 5,000,000 in ten years, or an annual average increase of 500,000. Regarding the returns for 1892 as 100, the figures for 1902 stand at 112, and those for 1912 at 125, or, roughly, an increase of 25 per cent in a period of twenty years.

Further, as to the composition and expansion of rural and urban populations, we see that, in a period of twenty years between 1888 and 1908, the rate of increase is no higher than 18 per cent with towns and villages of under 20,000 population, whereas with cities of above 20,000 and under 100,000 population it reaches 208 per cent, and with cities of above 100,000 population it is as high as about 230 per cent, as shown below:

INCREASES IN POPULATION, 1888-1908

Year	No. of towns and villages of under 20,000 population	Population	Ratio of increase (1888=100)
1888. 1893. 1898. 1903.	71,295 15,810 13,947 13,224 12,351	35,874,298 37,275,893 39,384,980 40,974,921 42,430,105	100 106 109 111 118
Year	No. of cities of 20,000–100,000 population	Population	Ratio of increase (1888–100)
1888. 1893. 1898. 1903.	50 61 72 80 95	1,808,726 2,270,110 2,520,151 3,098,251 3,775,540	100 120 139 171 208
Year	No. of cities of above 100,000 population	Population	Ratio of increase (1888=100)
1888	6 6 8 9 10	2,422,455 2,514,973 3,497,910 4,469,564 5,536,835	100 104 144 185 229

The foregoing tables indicate how far the concentration of the Japanese population into urban districts has been going on in recent years. In 1893 the total population in cities of above 25,000 inhabitants stood at 4,785,083 out of a total population of 41,089,940 (for 1892), or approximately one-eighth of the latter, whereas in 1908, after the two great wars, the urban population increased to 9,312,375 against the total of about 45,000,000, the rate being enhanced to roughly one in five. In other words, the urban population increased from 10 per cent to 20 per cent of the total population, that is, the ratio was doubled in an intervening period of fifteen years.

This expansion of the urban population is not due to the increase of births in cities but to the migration of the rural population toward cities, as is the case with countries in Europe and America. To cite an illustration, the inhabitants of Tokyo at the end of December, 1915, increased by about 102,700 over the previous year, resulting in an increase of 5.3 per cent. The corresponding rate for Osaka is 4.3 per cent and for Kyoto 2.5 per cent.

The births for the same year numbered 53,216, while the deaths were 37,600, the excess of the former over the latter being no more than 15,616. Thus, roughly, 80,000 inhabitants are all immigrants from rural districts. This is only one out of many similar instances, and we may fairly presume that the above fact holds true with many Japanese large cities with above 100,000 inhabitants.

Turning our attention to the rural population, the rate of increase in the population of Japanese towns and villages of under 20,000 inhabitants was about 18 per cent in a period of twenty years between 1888 and 1908. An investigation by the Department of Commerce and Agriculture, relating to twenty-five villages in Kwansai and eighteen villages in the Northeastern District, shows that, in a decade between 1890 and 1899, the number of farming households in Kwansai increased from 526 to 534, or an increase of about 1.5 per cent while those in the Northeast increased from 593 to 609.

or an increase of 2.1 per cent, averaging an increase of 1.8 per cent for both districts. In the following decade, that is, from 1899 to 1908, the number climbed from 534 to 538 in Kwansai, or an increase of only .7 per cent, and from 605 to 635 in the Northeast, or an increase of 4.9 per cent, averaging an increase of 2.8 per cent for both. On the other hand, the rate of increase in the rural population during the decade from 1890 to 1899 figured at 9.3 per cent in Kwansai and 7.5 per cent in the Northeast, averaging an increase of 8.5 per cent, whereas the rate shrank in the following decade (1899 to 1908) to 1.8 per cent for Kwansai and 1.4 per cent for the Northeast, averaging 1.6 per cent, or a decrease of about 7 per cent against the rate of the preceding decade. The investigation with reference to the migration of the Japanese farming population toward cities has not been completed, and the figures do not claim any near approach to accuracy. According to the returns, in part prepared by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce, the number of Japanese farmers who went abroad for work amounted to 29,000 during the five years from 1898 to 1903, and the removals into cities in the same period amounted to 175,000, resulting in a total of 204,000. These figures, however, seem to me to be a conservative estimate. As above stated, during a period of fifteen years, from 1893 to 1908, the population of the cities of above 25,000 inhabitants increased by about 3,700,000, averaging 240,000 per year. The natural increase of urban population being placed at approximately 40.000 per year, the remaining 200,000 must be the annual migrants into cities. Moreover, Tokyo alone boasts of an annual increment of about 80,000 inhabitants for the fiscal year 1012-13, doubtless through the migration of the inhabitants of rural districts. If the returns of the Department of Agriculture and Commerce were correct upon this point, our urban migrants from the country must have expanded with an appalling rapidity since 1903.

The leading features of both the natural and social increment of the Japanese population have been briefly stated.

Approaching the subject from the viewpoint of social increase, the ratio of the urban population (in cities of over 20,000 inhabitants) to the total is no more than 20 per cent, and, as a matter of fact, Japan has not as yet extricated herself from her time-honored position of being an agricultural country. It follows naturally, in such a state of affairs, that the peasantry shoulders, comparatively speaking, the largest burden of taxation. But the expanding tendency of the urban population has become more and more marked from year to year, especially since the Russo-Japanese War. This was by no means occasioned through economic causes alone; but, among others, one fact must be mentioned. which is that an increased burden of taxation can not but oppress, as it virtually does at present, the economic life of the small peasantry in the country. We must also admit, in conclusion, that war taxation, both at the time of and after the Russo-Japanese War, was instrumental, in a measure, in bringing on this important tendency.

CHAPTER IV

EFFECTS OF WAR TAXATION ON FINANCE

Effects of War Taxes on State Finance

In the preceding pages we have pointed out how war taxes affect the livelihood of the nation by their influence upon the quantity and quality of production. Taxes and their effects exert a mutual influence upon each other in the endless chain of causality. National income is reduced, in the long run. by taxation, and thus the resouces of state and local finance are impaired. On the other hand, advances in prices, due to taxes on foodstuffs, clothing, and other articles of daily necessity, give rise to higher cost of production, to higher wages, and, consequently, to higher prices of general commodities, which must, in their turn, affect public expenditure. Expansion in public expenditures, again, brings about a rise in general prices and in wages, and the higher cost of production thus occasioned influences unfavorably the development of national economy. Thus, cause and effect act and react endlessly one upon the other. However, an isolated observation on each indirect effect of an economic phenomenon is precluded; and here, as elsewhere, we have to restrict our attention to cases where the direct and plain effects upon public finance of war imposts are discernible.

To go back to taxes at the time of the Restoration, we find them generally very susceptible of evasion and lacking in elasticity, perhaps due to the inefficacy of state power as well as to the still undeveloped condition of our economic progress. Taxes, as a matter of fact, generally affected unfavorably the public finance; but then the amount levied for warlike purposes was only 3 per cent of the total revenue of taxation for the first period and no more than 16 per cent for the second. So evidently their effects were far from

being conspicuous. Since the third period—the Sino-Japanese War-war taxes have shown material increases, their total average between 1893 and 1903 (fiscal) constituting 46 per cent, or approximately half of the total revenue of taxation, until it attained, after 1903, that is, after the memorable war with Russia, 65 per cent of the entire tax revenue. Just as war and military expenses represent the greater share of public expenditures, so war taxes constitute the main part of the state revenue, and the question of the financial effect of taxes becomes a question of how far war taxes affect the public finance of the nation. Now, the problem before us is whether those enormous war taxes could raise sufficient proceeds to meet the large amount estimated necessary for war purposes, and whether the revenue accrued from war imposts is able to meet the elastic exigencies of national finance. For the present purpose we have to leave outside our comment those increased levies imposed to provide for the post-bellum measures after the war with China, for they were not introduced to defray direct war or military expenses; though they are, of course, war taxes in the wider sense. The taxes levied since the time of the Boxer Uprising had, in the main, no evil influence upon public finance. The excises and the customs duties, introduced or increased at the time of the Boxer Trouble, despite the fact that their actual proceeds of 16,000,000 ven for 1902 (fiscal) came rather short of the anticipated revenue of over 21,000,000 ven, could fulfil their main object of paying off the war expenses and replenishing the fund intended to defray them. The enormous increases of taxes at the time of the Russo-Japanese War accomplished more than was anticipated, having brought forth, as was mentioned in Part I, an excess of 26,000,000 yen over the estimated revenue.

For a clear comprehension of the financial aspects of the great emergency increases of war taxes, the reader is referred to the official returns, drawn up by the Finance Department, pertaining to the actual conditions of tax revenues for 1904–6 (fiscal), with special reference to the more important items

of war taxation. In spite of the immense increases of the assessment by the emergency special taxation measure, the fact can not be lost sight of that fairly favorable results are found in the official report. For instance, the ratio of the amount of the land and four other taxes, duly paid into the national or local treasury, within the prescribed term, was 93.8 per cent in 1903 (fiscal,) 94.5 per cent in 1904, 95.2 per cent in 1905, and 95.3 per cent in 1906. The details are shown below:

REVENUE FROM WAR TAXES, 1903-1907

Fiscal year	1903-4	1904-5	1905–6	1906–7
Land tax. Income tax. Business tax. Sake tax. Soy tax.	Per cent 93.6 87.2 82.0 96.0 97.1	Per cent 95.0 90.8 94.4 97.1 97.9	Per cent 96.1 88.9 86.7 98.3 97.5	Per cent 96.1 87.7 84.7 99.1 98.5
Average	93.8	94.5	95.2	95.3

Further, the ratio that the actual payment bore to the total assessment of taxation was 99.67 per cent in 1904, 99.76 per cent in 1905, and 99.81 per cent in 1906, as indicated in the following table:

RATIO OF WAR TAX REVENUE TO TOTAL ASSESSMENT

Fiscal year	Assessment	Revenue	Ratio
904–5	Yen 206,213,007 282,194,951 318,260,229	Yen 205,549,575 281,542,924 317,671,048	Per cent 99.67 99.76 99.81

The amount of loss to the Treasury and the outstanding tax revenue for each fiscal year is contrasted as follows:

OUTSTANDING TAXES AND LOSS TO THE TREASURY

Fiscal year	Loss	Outstanding revenue	Total
903–4	Yen	Yen	Yen
	415,407	588,025	1,003,432
	386,463	276,458	662,921
	313,914	338,112	652,026
	269,575	319,605	589,180

Thus, the amount of loss to the Treasury through failure to pay the taxes decreased, as contrasted with the previous year, by 30,000 yen for the fiscal year 1904-5, 70,000 yen for 1905-6, and 50,000 yen for 1906-7. The outstanding receipt appear to tend slightly upward, though they are less proportionally when compared with the increase of taxation. This holds true especially as to the fiscal year 1906-7.

As to the recovery of taxes in arrears the amount shows a slight increase from year to year, leaving out of account, of course, arrears carried forward from the preceding fiscal year, that is, taking into consideration only the amount assessed in the same fiscal year. This increase is an inevitable consequence of increased taxation; but when scrutinized more closely, considering the weight of the additional burden, the arrears are rather small in number. The number of defaulters, to whom notices were issued, was put at 560,000 in 1904-5, an increase of about 10 percent over the previous year, and 600,000 in 1905-6, an increase of 7 per cent. The number of those whose property was attached stood at 50,000 in 1904-5, a 20 per cent increase over the preceding year, and 60,000 in 1905-6, about 13 per cent above the figure for the foregoing year. The number of defaulters subject to final judicial disposition was placed at 8,000 in 1904-5, an increase of only 2.6 per cent, and 11,000 in 1905-6. an increase of 28 per cent over the previous year. Again, the number of defaulters causing loss to the Treasury, was placed at 9,000 in 1904-5, just 8.5 per cent above the figure for the preceding year, and 11,000 in 1905-6, an increase of approximately 15.3 per cent. Thus, it is seen that notices

issued for payment and attachments on property increased in a smaller proportion in 1905-6 than in 1904-5, although the judicial dispositions carried out and the losses that ensued advanced in a reverse direction, the ratio of increase over the previous year being greater in 1905-6 than in 1904-5. This is due to the fact that in 1904-5, a year of actual warfare, the taxpayers made much of their duties and refrained from causing arrears, whereas in 1905-6 the second emergency increase imposed on them a rather extortionate burden. The amount of taxes in arrears, however, did not keep pace with the number of taxpayers, because the amount of the sake tax subjected to the dispositions showed a marked decrease.1 Therefore, if the sake tax is excepted, the amount of arrears is in equal proportion to the number of defaulting payers. Thus, it may be seen that, whereas the amount subjected to issue of notices and attachment of property multiplied itself from year to year, the amount subjected to final judicial disposition or causing an ultimate loss to the Treasury increased with less rapidity, as indicated in the following table:

Fiscal year	Subjected to final disposition	Causing loss to the Treasury
	Yen	Yen
903	12,700	71,900
904	16,800	42,900
1905	32,300	72,500

Thus, the loss to the Treasury, through non-payment of taxes, increased in 1905-6 by only a few hundred *yen*, as against the corresponding sum in 1903-4.

Such was the state of affairs at the time the Emergency Special Tax Law was enacted, and from the above statement on the revenue from general taxes we may safely conjecture that those emergency taxes did not exert an evil effect in any considerable degree upon the state finance of this country.

¹ Cf. table no. 1x, Appendix A, post, pp. 201-2.

Proceeding to a consideration of the methods and the incidental expenses attending the collection of these taxes, it is noted that at the time of introducing the increased tariffs the government did not establish a special office of tax collector, bringing to the work as a rule, the existing officials, and therefore did not have recourse to any reinforcement of the staff. Neither did the government increase the number of the personnel for putting the new taxes into operation, except where the business of collection, inspection, supervision, and other similar duties, requiring authority directly and externally, made an addition of the staff indispensable. On the contrary, internal affairs were entrusted, as far as possible, to the existing officials. In connection with the enactment of the emergency increase, the following personnel was added to the government staff: Three engineers of the Revenue Superintending Bureau, 51 clerks and 28 assistant engineers of the same bureau; 998 clerks of the Taxation Office, 104 assistant engineers of the same office, 24 assistant revenue secretaries, 11 customs inspectors, 16 assistant customs surveyors, and 55 land waiters, making an aggregate of 1200 persons. These were apportioned as follows: 136 for the woolen fabric excise, 6 for the export duty of Okinawa Prefecture, 21 for the sugar excise, 32 for import duties, 1,029 for the textile-fabric excise, and 66 for the succession tax.

So much for the agents created for the purpose of carrying out the increased tax program. The incidental expenses were all disbursed out of the emergency appropriations, which were grouped into three main items: Expenses of revenue superintendence, expenses relating to internal taxes, and expenses relating to customs duties. The expenditures classified and apportioned according to each kind of special tax, are as given below:

Increases in Expenditures for the Enactment of the Emergency Special Tax Law (A)

Items	1904-5	1905-6
	Yen	Yen
Expenses for revenue superintendence: Salaries and allowances	2 762 222	# 0 t 0 000
Office expenses	2,760,000	5,048,000
Office expenses	813,750	2,352,000
Miscellaneous allowances and expenses .	1,735,500	2,576,500
iniscentaneous anowances and expenses.	1,187,250	2,360,390
Total	6,496,500	12,309,890
Expenses for collection of internal taxes:		
Salaries and allowances	93,317,000	336,492,000
Office expenses	27,030,540	68,188,000
Traveling expenses	163,940,620	184,699,090
Miscellaneous allowances and expenses	97,528,000	100,910,000
Sundry expenses for stamps, licenses, etc.	35,914,000	7,619,820
Expenses for the register of names	33,914,000	104,830,000
Expenses of investigating the succession	• • • •	702,030
Total	417,730,160	803,440,940
Expenses for customs duties: Salaries and allowances	21,596,000	28,111,500
Office expenses.	4,182,000	3,701,000
Traveling evpenses	766,000	550,000
Traveling expenses		10,681,000
wriscenaneous anowances and expenses.	6,612,500	10,001,000
Total	33,156,500	43,043,500
Grand total	457,383,160	858,794,330

Increases in Expenditures for the Enactment of the Emergency Special Tax Law (B)

Fiscal year	1904-5	1905–6
	Yen	Yen
Land tax	74,084	104,830
Income tax	35,924	37,869
Business tax	36,224	38,244
Prefecture	2,633	2,632
Petroleum excise	4,342	
Sugar excise	14,811	15,251
Textiles excise	249,708	561,946
Succession tax	• • • •	61,843
Total	417,730	822,615

The foregoing table indicates that the total collection expenses amounted to 457,383 yen in 1904-5 and 858,794 yen in 1905-6. Contrasting this with the total revenue of the first and second emergency increases for 1906-7—145,000,000 yen—the collection expenses for which are assumed to be approximately the same as for the preceding fiscal year, we can put the ratio of expenses at about 57 sen per 100 yen of revenue. The ratio of collection expenses to the total revenue of taxation (except monopoly revenue) since 1891 has ranged from 1.60 yen to 3 yen per 100 yen, and in view of this record the expenses incurred in collecting the emergency imposts may fairly be said to have been rather small—smaller in proportion than the collection expenses of taxes in general.

Turning to the conditions of the tobacco and salt monopolies, equally favorable results are observed from year to year. In the first year of the introduction of the salt monopoly, the total revenue stood at 13,087,000 yen and the expenditure at 7,288,566 yen, giving a net profit of 6,723,091 yen, which was short of the estimate by 1,755,000 yen. In the second year (1906-7), the revenue amounted to 24,000,000 ven, the expenditure to 11,776,000 yen, and the profit to 12,340,-000 yen, resulting in the far smaller shortage of 340,000 yen. As for the tobacco monopoly, the first year of its enforcement realized a gross revenue of 45,430,000 yen, from which an expenditure of 17,975,680 yen being deducted, the net profit amounted to 27,462,000 yen. In the next fiscal year (1905-6), the revenue was 68,416,000 yen, the expenditure 34,814,000 yen, and the profit 33,602,000 yen, and in the third year (1906-7), the revenue was 78,000,000 ven, the expenditure 45,370,000 yen, and the profit 32,573,183 yen. The excess over the estimated surplus made a better showing in each successive year, as indicated below:

PROFIT OF TOBACCO MONOPOLY, 1904-1907

Profit	1904-5	1905–6	1906–7
EstimatedReal	20,194,811 27,462,007	32,011,072 33,602,057	30,289,089 32,573,183
Balance	7,267,196	1,590,985	2,284,094

The above two monopolies were united, together with that of camphor, which was later adopted, under the control of the Monopoly Bureau. The profit and loss account of the bureau since the amalgamation of control is given below:

PROFIT OF COMBINED SALT, TOBACCO AND CAMPHOR MONOPOLIES

Fiscal year	Revenue	Expenditure	Profit	Ratio to revenue	Ratio to expenditure
1908-9	Yen 124,377,081 123,089,983 124,658,888 123,819,847 129,216,214	Yen 62,957,932 64,640,600 62,569,315 60,483,748 63,200,695	Yen 61,419,149 58,449,382 62,089,573 63,336,099 66,015,518	Per cent 49 · 4 47 · 5 49 · 8 51 · 2 51 · 1	Per cent 97.6 90.4 99.2 104.7 104.5

The increase of the net profit for years in succession is due to material advances made in salt and tobacco profit, especially the latter. With such immense increases of the war imposts, the conditions of revenue were so favorable that we may fairly conclude that these levies did not exert any serious consequences upon Japan's state finance.

Effects of War Taxes on Local Finance

Thus far we have succinctly considered the effects of war taxes on state finance. Their effects on local finance remain to be studied, and, by way of introducing the problem, a few words about the history of Japanese local taxation will not be out of place. The distinction between national and local taxes was first introduced by a decree of September, 1876, followed by another in July, 1878, which drew up general regulations on local taxes, fixing their kinds and regulating the uses to which the money derived from them should be put.

Things remained substantially the same, notwithstanding several subsequent amendments of the decree, until the Act of 1888, concerning the organization of cities, towns, and villages, was issued, by which the system of local self-government was introduced, the right of taxation recognized, and the kinds of local imposts fixed. The items of local taxation in 1800 were as follows: land rate, business rate, and income rate, all of which were levied as prefectural surtaxes; miscellaneous business rates and household rate, or house duty, which may be classed as prefectural independent taxes. Added to these are municipal taxes, which include the land rate and the income rate and are supplementary to the national taxes. Then come the household rate, or house duty, the business rate, levied as surtaxes to prefectural taxes, agricultural land rate, and some others, including the surtaxes to indirect taxes imposed as independent taxes.

In 1896, to meet the financial exigencies after the war with China, the business tax, hitherto collected as a local impost, was transferred to the national Treasury, and some other moves in the direction of adjusting the tax system were effected. Thus, such national taxes as ship money, vehicle tax, confectionery tax, license taxes on horses and oxen, and business taxes on tobacco and barm, which could more advantageously be levied for local purposes, owing to the immense expense of collecting them, were transferred to the local treasury. Moreover, restrictions were made on different items of assessment of the business tax, dividing the sources of taxation between the national and local chests, thus preserving the financial resources of the locality. In 1800 an 8 per cent increase of the land tax was introduced for a period of five years, in order to meet the large outlays involved in the post-bellum undertakings; but the assessment of a supplementary rate was precluded by Law No. 43, of 1899, which stipulated that no prefectural or communal taxes should be added to the tax on dwelling, cultivated, and other lands specially increased for the five fiscal years from 1899 to 1904. As has repeatedly been mentioned, the Russo-Japanese War of 1904-5 occasioned heavy emergency increases of all national taxes, nearly doubling the rates of the

direct taxes on land, income, and business. The Emergency Special Tax Law, however, strictly prohibited any addition by the communal authorities to the increased direct taxes. while, on the other hand, the government began to adopt measures for economy of local expenditures and fixed a limit on the prefectural and communal surtaxes. The emergency increase taxation had to continue long after the cessation of hostilities, in order to meet the ordinary expenditures incidental to the immense increases in national loans and pensions caused by the great war, and consequently in 1008 a special law pertaining to the restriction of local taxation was Again, in 1910, the original and the emergency rates were put together and made the fixed rates of the national taxes, on which the limits to the communal taxes were based. Thus, the law of 1908, pertaining to the restriction of local taxation, was amended and has since remained unchanged. Following in further detail is a comparison of the war imposts since the Russo-Japanese War and the limits to the communal taxation as contrasted in chronological order, instances of wider application being chosen, i.e. the land tax on upland fields, the business tax on sale of goods as assessed on the rent of the building used, and the income tax of the third kind as assessed on incomes of over 1.000 ven:

COMPARISION OF WAR IMPOSTS AND LIMITS TO COMMUNAL TAXATION

Items	Land tax on upland fields	Business tax	Income tax
Original national rates ^a	2.05 of assessed value	.04 of rent of building	1.05 of income (1,000 yen)
Limits to the local tax- ation by the Emer- gency Law, 1904 ^b	.50 (prefectural) .30 (communal)	.30 (prefectural and communal)	.30 (prefectural and communal)
Limits to the local tax- ation by the Law of 1908	.60 (prefectural) .40 (communal)	.25 (prefectural) .35 (communal)	.10 (prefectural) .35 (communal)
rates	. 05	6.04	3.75
New national rates New limits to the local	4.07 of assessed value	. 09 of rent of building	1.05 of income
taxation by the Law of 1910	.32 (prefectural) .21 (communal) 8.91 of assessed value	.11 (prefectural) .15 (communal) 11.34 of rent of building	. 04 (prefectural) . 15 (communal) 8.85 of income

[■] In case of emergency or of extraordinary necessity, the local authorities are permitted to impose 12 per cent of the original national rates in excess of the above limits.

b The limits to local taxation in this table are all designated in rates proportional to the original national taxes. [The term "communal" when used in contrast with "prefectural" must be understood as referring to cities, towns, and villages.—TRANSLATOR.]

Such is the brief history of Japanese local taxation. A cursory comparison with the history of the Japanese war taxes mentioned in Part I of the present treatise will show that not until the Russo-Japanese War had the war imposts produced any noteworthy effect on local finance. The only war taxes for the first period—the assessment on each clan and the tax on hereditary stipends—were levied when as yet no line marked the distinction between central and local finances. Those for the second period were most of them indirect taxes and did not exert any considerable influence on local finance. For the third period, i.e. after the war with China, such direct taxes as those on land, business, and income were either introduced or increased; but in spite of evidence to the contrary, their effects were negligible, because the government restricted the rates of the supplementary local taxes and otherwise endeavored to minimize their local effect by adjusting the tax system. It is therefore only since the Russo-Japanese War that war taxation began to affect the local finance to any considerable extent, and the most conspicuous effect was no doubt due to the war imposts at the time of the great war. As had been mentioned above, the government, in introducing the emergency increase of national taxes, sought for economy in local expenditures, putting firm restrictions on prefectural and communal surtaxes. Thus, to reduce the land surtax by about 10,000,000 ven, the government fixed a maximum to the rates of the local surtax on land at 50 per cent of the land tax for prefectures and at 30 per cent for smaller communities except in cases of emergency and for purposes of redeeming loans. Further, no surtax on the business and income taxes should exceed 30 per cent of the original rate, and all additional rates on the taxes on land, business, and income subject to the emergency increase were absolutely prohibited to local communities. As a consequence, the entire amount of local taxes, which stood at approximately 110,000,000 yen in 1903, prior to the Russo-Japanese War, was reduced to 88,000,000 yen in 1904 and 93,000,000 yen in 1905, as follows:

LOCAL TAXES COLLECTED IN PERIOD 1901-1911

Grand total	Yen 104,609,339 111,161,758 88,113,192 93,604,260 107,678,555 132,853 159 142,194,670 151,061,474 158,796,464
Miscellaneous	Yen 20,649,938 21,783,091 23,158,018 19,439,577 20,717,022 22,197,876 27,067,657 28,798,126 29,299,247 30,671,133
Total	Yen 83,959,401 89,697,857 88,003,740 68,673,615 72,887,238 85,480,679 105,785,502 113,396,544 121,762,227 128,125,331
Business	Yen 7,016,163 7,455,380 7,681,606 6,593,532 6,743,892 7,899,785 8,574,548 9,790,277 10,806,798 11,766,712
Income	Ven 1,936,490 2,301,858 2,754,293 1,988,927 2,204,624 2,590,582 2,856,274 4,105,448 4,923,483 5,467,598
Household	Yen 36,718,647 38,635,659 37,464,671 31,435,044 34,924,989 43,588,463 55,992 060 61,764,325 66,717,485 70,904,494
Land	Yen 38,288,101 41,304,960 40,103,170 28,556,112 29,013,733 31,401,849 38,362,620 37,736,494 39,314,461 39,986,527
Fiscal year	1901–2 1902–3 1903–4 1904–5 1905–6 1906–7 1907–8 1908–9 1909–10

Thus, the fact can not be lost sight of that for all increases or introductions of war levies which led to material advances in the revenue of national taxation, and naturally added much to the increase of expenditures, the proceeds of local taxation showed a reverse tendency. A comparison of the revenues of national and local taxation, before and after the immense increases at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, will not fail to reveal the marked contrast, as follows:

Comparison of the Revenue from Taxation of Years 1903–1904 and 1904–1905

Fiscal year	National taxes	Prefectural taxes	Communal taxes	Total
1903-4	Yen 61,731,523 90,574,991	Yen 24,777,736 18,857,994	Yen 24,235,473 16,739,214	Yen 110,744,732 126,172,199
Increase or decreasea	+28,843,468	-5,919,742	— 7,496,259	+15,427,467

Amount of increase per household, 1.768; amount of increase per capita, .317.

Comparison of the Revenue from Taxation of Years 1903–1904 and 1905–1906

Fiscal year	National taxes	Prefectural taxes	Communal taxes	Total
Increase or decrease ^a	Yen 61,731,523 125,537,956 +63,806,433	Yen 24,777,736 16,902,187 -7,875,549	Yen 24,235,473 16,194,098 8,041,375	Yen 110,744,732 158,634,241 +47,889,509

Amount of increase per household, 5.480; mount of increase per capita, .983.

CHAPTER V

CONCLUSION

A few words of summary by way of concluding the present treatise may not be amiss. War imposts connected with both civil strifes and larger wars have shown heavy increases yearly, until at the present time they represent 65 per cent of the entire revenue from taxation. As regards the relationship between direct and indirect taxation, we have noted that during the first period the direct tax was dominant and during the second and third periods the indirect tax was dominant, whereas during the fourth period both direct and indirect taxes were levied, although the former constituted but 34 per cent of the whole, the latter comprising much the larger part and naturally exerting considerable influence on national economy and finance. How is this diversity in distribution of public burden to be explained?

Looking back to the time of the Restoration, we notice that unity of political power, though virtually restored by the Tokugawa shogunate, was then still little more than a nominal expression. Financial powers were practically wielded by the local clans, who maintained their economic and financial independence throughout all their straitened circumstances, which even surpassed the Meiji Government in point of indigence. The people of the Kwanto district in particular, who had been serving the shogunate for so long a period, knew nothing of the new government and refused to render any service save to the shogunate. Even the revenue accruing from the domain once under the direct control of the shogunate ("Tenryo") was denied to the new government, which was therefore compelled to have recourse to the loans of millionaires and to the issue of inconvertible paper money in order to raise war funds. What made the authorities refrain from increasing the land tax at the beginning of the new reign was the fear that such a measure might lead the peasantry to harbor antipathy for the Meiji Government, as the farming classes were far superior in strength to all others under the then existing social conditions. And herein lies the real reason that part of the war expenses for the Restoration period were raised by taxing the hereditary stipend of the nobles and *samurai* and their feudal dependents in the clans of the Kwansai district, which aided in establishing the Meiji Government. Thus, it comes about that the war imposts of the first period consisted chiefly of direct taxes.

Once through the Satsuma Rebellion, the state power gradually began to expand, while, on the other hand, the economic unit was just passing from the stage of municipal or local economy to that of national economy, and consequently the commercial and industrial classes began to hold up their heads beside the peasantry. Although order was restored after a long period of civil strife, there was yet fear of giving rise to discontent among the peasantry if the new government unwisely defrayed the cost of armaments by increasing direct taxes, especially on land. The line of least resistance was thereupon followed, and the plan of levying such indirect taxes as on sake and soy was decided upon. This is why the war imposts for the second period all consisted of indirect taxes, and up to the close of this period the underlying principle of the Japanese system of taxation was one of lightening the burden of the land tax, because the government had to reckon with the superior strength of the peasantry.

The state power increased in great measure through the Sino-Japanese War, and national economy began to develop by degrees, the commercial and industrial classes attaining an important position, socially as well as economically. By the side of the farming classes, superior in political powers, because the land tax constituted the main part of the public revenue, now rose the artisans and the trading classes, who demanded their due participation in the enjoyment of political rights. It was perhaps with the object of conferring

the right of election on merchants and artisans that the business tax was put on a national instead of a local basis immediately after the war with China. That the war taxes at the time of the Boxer Uprising were all collected in the shape of excises, independent of direct interests to either the peasantry or the traders and artisans, was of course partly due to the development of national economy capable of bearing the burden, but no less as a result of the contention between the opposing classes—the peasantry on the one hand, and the commercial and industrial classes on the other.

At about the time of the Russo-Japanese War, with the ever-increasing influence of capital in national affairs, the political and economic frictions between the two contending classes mentioned above were brought to light. turbance created in 1903 by the increased tax continuation problem was one out of many similar instances. cause of friction was the question of the import duty on rice directly after the war with Russia. Although at the present time the Japanese farming classes are intent upon maintaining their position of social and political supremacy, the natural course of affairs seems to have taken a different turn. and socially as well as economically the trading and industrial classes are gradually taking the place hitherto occupied by the peasantry. The Diet also has high hopes of becoming representative of capital in the near future. A cursory perusal of the list of members of the House of Representatives will indicate that if they are classified by occupations, between the first and eleventh general elections, the number of agriculturists returned shifted from 129 to 80, while the members engaged in mining and industry increased from 3 to 13 and those in banking and trading from 11 to 72. In other words, the number of farming representatives resulted in a reduction of 38 per cent, whereas the trading and industrial members advanced between 433 and 655 per cent. Does not this speak forcibly for the steadily increasing supremacy of artisans and traders in all spheres of political and economic activities? However, the declining influence

of the peasantry does not yet fall below that of the other classes, against which the former is yet in a position to defend or demand its own interests as long as the present social and political conditions last, the agricultural representatives still boasting a majority by a little more than 80 members.

Turning to the political status of the Japanese laboring classes, one shrinks from considering them; terming the organization of laborers a "social" class is a mere travesty, so much more is it a political force. One may fairly surmise that this is why the first and second emergency imposts, increased after the Russo-Japanese War, consisted in greater part of indirect taxes, which are not partial to the interests of the landowners or of the trading and industrial classes.

In view of the above facts, we note that the Japanese war taxes on the one hand accompanied the development of state power, while on the other they were subject to influences exerted by the progress of national economy, undergoing various vicissitudes of fortune as one social class after another became dominant. They furnished the vista of light and shade, for, as has been repeatedly stated, wars and the expansion of armaments invariably brought periods of fiscal enlargement in their train, although restrictions were duly made on local finance. Economically speaking, production was not affected by war taxes to any great extent, perhaps due to the efficiency of national productivity, though in point of distribution the livelihood of small-income earners—small peasantry and laborers in particular—was appreciably impaired. The fact can not be lost sight of that the Japanese landowning, trading, and industrial classes shoulder a rather light burden of war taxation, at the same time deriving benefits from interest on war loans in which they have invested. On the other hand, those small income earners are bearing a burden of war levies heavier than is suited to their backs, over and above the duty to serve with the colors. No accurate figures are as vet available; but there is ample proof that each civil strife or war in the history of modern Japan, while enabling a few millionaires to accumulate immense wealth, has brought about a far greater increase of the "proletariat." Who can assert that this lamentable tendency has not been furthered by war taxation?



APPENDIX A STATISTICAL TABLES



No. I (a).—REVENUE FROM WAR TAXES AS CONTRASTED WITH TOTAL REVENUE FROM TAXATION, 1867-1877

Total	Yen 26 326,080,220	789,531 179,234 841,361 1,251,860	57 4,354,101 212,372 293,247 87 7,155,144 03 8,438,384	29 2.167,385 25 1.938,880 74 143,637 20 7,657 20 4,657 41 8,515	99 292,790	142,188 369,790 22 448,095 24,740 28,455 450,897 12,205,066	2,794,357		Per cent
1876-7	Yen 43,023,426	121,224	31,457 36,945 76,881 2,130,187 8,903 1,911,639	384,584 689,284 434,155 80,174 2,459 4,420 5,741 46,631	60,899	128,515 234,902 2,720 28,455 244,149 1,988,668			Per cent
1875-6	Yen 50,345,328	2,774 28,021 110,245	27,191 48,190 92,621 2,075,118 7,431 2,555,595	342,526 583,257 583,228 498,228 63,463 5,198 250 2,774 46,921	90,833	12,336 213,193 2,020 2,020 206,748 1,718,733		2,075,118	Per cent 3.5
Eighth Period (Jan., 1875- June, 1875)	Yen Yen S9,412,429 67,717,947	45,535 74,925 312,477 236,227	17,129 1,450,288 61,745 64,164 2,949,839 44,492 1,310,381	\$99,971 395,316 	6,225	52,575 12,336 6,297 1,038,104		2,949,839	Per cent 3.9
Seventh Period (Jan., 1874- Dec., 1874)	Yen 59,412,429	76,570 67,344 145,613 230,700	1,204,175 21,908 59,581 	188,071 291,879	70,345	70,193 125,677 66,134 1,498,258		108,871	Per cent
Sixth Period (Jan., 1873- Dec., 1873)	Yen 60,604,242	136,968 8,944 134,304 325,440	35,176 422,726 43,584 	88,887 319,302	64,488	19,420 83,123 73,242 1,685,975	108,871	108,871	Per cent
Fifth Period (Oct., 1871- Dec., 1873)	Yen 20,051,917	33,644 92,194 103,279	188,544	17,960	:	7,803 1,994 r,331,560		693,815	Per cent
Fourth Period (Oct., 1870- Sept., 1871)	Ven 11,340,984	142,655 53,866 29,522	213,376		:	1,071,631	1,461,064	1,461,064	Per cent 10.3
Third Period (Sept., 1869- Oct., 1870)	Yen 8,218,969	155,647	103,684	:::::::::	:			378,093	Per cent 3.9
Second Period (Jan., 1869- Sept., 1869)	Yen 3,355,964	94,004	446,531		:	502,817		79,137	Per cent I.8
First Period (Dec., 1867- Dec., 1868)	Yen 2,009,014	919	324.777	:::::::::	:	720,867	73,377	3,230,088	Per cent 2.3
Items	1	Sundry taxes on open towns and ports. Anchorages. Toll on servitude of rivers. Tax on silkworms and raw silk.	Stamp duties on raw silk and co- coons. Royalties and miscellaneous taxes Tributes from Ryukyu Kanrokazei. Tax on hereditary stipends. Mining tax.	lax on marine products of Hok- kaido Postage Stamp duty on instruments. Proceeds from litigation papers. Copyright license fees. Attorneys' license fees. Passport fees. Shooting tax.	License tax on ox and horse trad- ing	Tax on servants, carriage horses, palanquins and pleasure boats Shipmoney. Tax on vehicles. Tax on weights and measures. Tax on patent medicines. Tax on tobacco. Tax on pressed oil. Customs duties.	clans	Military taxes (armaments)	Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, excluding seventh period

The average annual revenue from war taxes is obtained by averaging eight consecutive years.

No. I (b).—REVENUE FROM WAR TAXES AS CONTRASTED WITH TOTAL REVENUE FROM TAXATION, 1877-1894

Ship in addition									
Items	1877-8	1878-9	1879–80	1-0881	1881-2	1882-3	1883-4	1884-5	1885–6
Land tax. Income tax. Stamp dilk worm	Yen 39,450,551	Yen 40,454,714	Yen 42,112,648	Yen 42,346,181	Yen 43,274,032	Yen 43,342,188	Yen 43,537,649	Yen 43,425,996	Yen 43,033,679
eggs. Tributes from Ryukyu.	179,618	51,394	40.054	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *			* * *		• • •
Mining tax. Tax on national banks. Sake tax.	9,339	10,610 186,308 5,100,063	237,331 6,463,894	12,990 240,720 5,520,335	26,631 240,778 10,646,163	18,806 239,868 16,329,624	14,816 229,083 13,490,730	13,501 23,850 14,068,132	18,346 110,925 10,530,465
Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture. Business tax on barm. Soy tax.		: : :	: : :	66,550	56,796	47,200	32,100	28,510	27,410
Hokkaido Stamp duty on instruments. Proceeds from litigation papers.	361,121 505,625 76,482	509,595 588,094 78,855	813,416 695,588 89,330	899,087 869,260 93,44I	817,837 885,826 116,507	864,712 872,794 166,916	559,195 671,180 304,457	501,443 678,991 399,977	554,778 435,805 273,896
Copyright treatise ress. Trade-mark registration fees. Ree on monopolies. Attorneys license fees. Passport fees.	3,3,7 7,400 4,818 42,405	5,630 5,440 3,345 48,538	10,460 10,460 63,980	8,510 7,795 79,259	10,120 7,937 90,314	10,270 10,270 4,045 85,892	10,300	4,170 10,600 28,797 56,215	6,975 2,820 7,630 . 19,315 50,018
License tax on ox and horse trading. Shipmoney Tax on vehicles. Tax on weights and measures. Postage. Tax on Rice Exchange. Tax on brokers in Rice Exchange	62,339 133,119 261,859 1,977 809,856	68,209 194,738 289,134 2,801 948,900 201,831	75.821 133.589 335.946 3.295 1,167.953 241,762	84,202 134,658 379,486 3,718 1,423,728 58,170	88,437 135,289 428,211 3,299 1,659,680 210,262	87,720 133,418 453,869 11,612,085 95,966	80,285 218,040 462,088 1,665 2,273,467 13,270 259,741	72,490 230,435 478,512 1,663 2,418,701 15,472 327,811	66,130 238,334 484,029 1,599,255 69,786 156,797
Tax on brokers in Stock Ex- change. Tax on German Silver Exchange Business tax on patent medicines		4.373	14,378	2000	† : : :	16,943	68,778	66,462	7,028
Stamp duty on patent medicines Cines Fee on patent-medicine licenses Business tax on tobacco Stamp duty on tobacco	181,978	200,392	214,124	225,816	225,687	275,637	405,813 364,957 1,789,254	280,345 321,126 973,189	207,266 268,098 636,988
Tax on licenses. Old taxes collected Confectionery tax. Customs duties.	I,397	11,304	2,763	900	548	4,428	1,295 2,681,321	7,629	637 437,893 2,085,250
Total revenue from taxation	47,660,748	51,472,834	55,499,846	55,184,748	61,593,552	67,745,731	67,659,762	67,275,809	62,058,542
Military taxes Tax on sake manufacture Soy tax Confectionery tax		• • •					3,000,000	3,000,000	3,000,000 670,149 437,893
Total	•				•	:	3,000,000	3,000,000	4,078,072
Ratio of military taxes to total. revenue from taxation, 1883—1894.	•	•	:		:		Per cent 4.4	Per cent 4.5	Per cent 7.8

No. I (b).—Revenue from War Taxes as Contrasted with Total Revenue from Taxation, 1877-1894—Continued

Items	1886-7	1887-8	1888-9	1889-90	I-0681	1891-2	1892-3	1893-4	Total
Land tax. Income tax.	Ven 43,282,477	Yen 42,152,172 527,724	Yen 34,650,528 1,066,895	Yen 42,161,328 1,052,982	Yen 40,084,468 1,092,378	Yen 37,457,500 1,110,554	Yen 37,925,243 1,132,259	Yen 38,808,680 1,238,763	Yen 697,500,034 7,221,555
Stamp duty oncards of silkworm eggs. Fributes from Ryukyu. Kanrokuzet. Mining tax. Tax on national banks.	221,85	221,88	221,850	95.879 95.879	221,850	221,850	134,033	178,869	179,618 94,209 187,935 545,593 3,282,543
Sake tax Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture Business tax on barm. Sov tax.	11,743,770 25,360 1,188,413	26,122	2,110 27,234 1,359,986	11,093 28,322 1,288,078	13,912,120 14,900 30,210 1,210,575	14,814 31,698 1,254,106	12,380 32,648 1,278,011	17,834 31,860 1,332,793	73,131 492,020 10,804,862
Tax on marine products of Hokkaido Stamp duty on instruments. Proceeds from litigation papers. Copyright license fees. Trade-mark registration fees Fee on monopolies	611,400 587,625 334,969	220,273 564,306 313,929	218,775 13,411 320,251	215,101	223,406 23,321	219,086	303,656	303,769 38,951	8,196,650 7,500,259 2,569,010 34,372 11,145
Attorneys license lees. Passport fees	48,459	57,551	72,480	91,942	96,573	101,990	19,172		1,073,639
License tax on ox and horse- trading. Shipmoney Tax on vehicles.	6	69,370 259,945 577,390 2,476	72,184 270,468 611,366 2,583	74,035 280,330 648,096 2,483	73,738 282,530 664,229 2,564	73,078 278,373 682,812 2,165	73,408 276,915 729,634 3,041	75,432 275,701 773,948	1,261,847 3,826,351 8,791,706 48,031
Fostage: Tax on Rice Exchange Tax on brokers in Rice Exchange Tax on Stock Exchange. Tax on brokers in Shock Ex-	180,765	92,005	85,971	140,317	221,850	188,751	166,197	92,986	2,076,467 780,846 760,787
change. Tax on German Silver Exchange Business tax on patent medicines	73,428	71,952	73,256	77,319	81,056	83,999	88,801	94,074	159,211 18,751 980,925
cines. Fee on patent-medicine licenses Business tax on tobacco.	36	350,635 1,447 270,838 1,313,766	377,151 1,306 280,538 1,619,288	406,411 1,425 308,036 1,666,782	416,430 1,491 314,420 1,493,332	432,400 1,541 317,225 1,474,989	500,415 327,665 1,833,984	542,359 356,225 2,284,125	4,557,923 9,378 4,658,834 16,407,758
Tax on licenses Old taxes collected Confectionery tax Customs duties	5,297 394 544,901 2,989,686	6,148 4,771 595,738 4,135,652	7,516 5,821 628,323 4,615,494	6,722 54 647,033 4,728,023	6,281 662,647 4,392,566	5,923 614,192 4,539,687	587,001 4,991,524	5,125,372	37,887 41,941 5,311,537 58,243,368
Total revenue from taxation	64,471,436	66,256,346	63,755,663	70,690,296	65,569,408	63,860,909	66,534,009	69,123,827	1,066,413,466
Military taxes Tax on sake manufacture Soy tax	3,000,000 I,188,413 544,901	3,000,000 1,252,721 595,738	3,000,000 1,359,986 628,323	3,000,000 1,288,078 647,033	3,000,000 1,210,575 662,647	3,000,000 1,254,106 614,192	3,000,000 I,278,0II 587,00I	3,000,000 1,332,793 593,809	33,000,000 10,804,862 5,311,537
Total	4,733,314	4,848,459	4,988,309	4,935,111	4.873,222	4,868,298	4,865,012	4,926,602	49,116,399
Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, 1883-1804	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent	Per cent

No. I (c).—REVENUE FROM WAR TAXES AS CONTRASTED WITH TOTAL REVENUE

904	1899-1900	44,861,082 4,837,320 5,507,448 92 846,582 176,431 176,431 48,918,423 81,572 9,243 2,453,392 60,745 113,525 15,936,890 113,525	
ion, 1894–1	1898-9	7 en 38,440,976 5,478,0976 5,478,092 5,67,992 5,67,992 1,255,610 1,255,610 32,959,857 72,616 19,720 1,535,543 35,143,368 1,543,368 1,543,438 2,120,555 2,120	
OM LAXAT	1897–8	Yen 37,964,727 2,095,092 4416,249 421,381 109,531 560,975 560,975 560,975 31,105,172 359,891 15,33,101 359,891 15,4540 17,106,208 982,381 4,935,1188 19,788 19,788 19,788 19,788 19,788	
EVENUE FR	1896-7	7,640,283 37,964,727 1,448,1950 1,001,473 1,205,022 2,026,240 560,975 19,476,512 3,105,172 3,105,172 3,105,172 3,105,172 3,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,105,208 1,001,173 1,001	
1 OTAL K	1895-6	Ven 8,592,868 1,497,095 247,061 220,730 408,662 17,748,735 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 10,034 63,323 10,034	
TED WITH	1894-5	Yen 39,201,495 1,353,518 1,353,518 1,497,095 2241,418 220,730 6,966 16,130,471 17,748,735 17,886 1,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,382,879 11,325 281,525 281	
NO. 1 (c).—NEVENUE FROM WAR LAXES AS CONTRASTED WITH LOTAL KEVENUE FROM LAXATION, 1894-1904	Items	Land tax Income tax. Business tax Mining tax. Tax on national banks. Tax on national banks. Tax on excess note issue. Sake tax. Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture. Business tax on spirits Business tax on barm. Soy tax. Tax on marine products of Hokkaido. Stamp duty on instruments. License tax on ox and horse trading. Ship money. Tax on patent medicines. Tax on patent medicines. Tax on tobacco. Confectionery tax. Old taxes collected. Sugar excise. Total. Customs duties. Total. Stamp receipts. Leaf-tobacco monopoly profits.	

No. I (c).—REVENUE FROM WAR TAXES AS CONTRASTED WITH TOTAL REVENUE FROM TAXATION, 1894-1904—Continued

Items	1-0061	1901-2	1902-3	1903-4	Total
Land tax. Income tax. Business tax. Mining tax.	Ven 46,717,797 6,368,039 6,051,515 624,248	Ven 46,666,494 6,836,890 6,481,045 727,622	Yen 46,505,391 7,460,692 6,777,047 762,632	Yen 46,873,086 8,247,177 7,049,251 801,983	Yen 423,554,199 42,857,464 41,760,326 5,335,787
Tax on national banks. Tax on convertible bank notes. Tax on excess note issue.	1,404,794	1,693,599	1,120,895	823,603	789,327 9,047,926 4,240,751 301,200,047
Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture Business tax on spirits Business tax on barm	156,834	117,496	185,955	306,336	1,057,873
Soy tax. Tax on marine products of Hokkaido. Stamp duty on instruments.	3,153,093	3,404,025	3,555,229	3,344,320	544,744 1,974,879 544,744
Ship money. Tax on vehicles.					724.981
Bourse tax. Tax on patent medicines. Tax on tobacco.	27,799	836,397	814,083	811,580	9,198,980 4,892,160 15,454,600
Connectionery tax. Old taxes collected. Sugar excise. Tonnage dues. Customs duties.	336,352	612,344 361,098 13,645,125	4,145,773 387,488 15,501,469	6,942,969 430,530 17,378,303	11,701,086 1,755,791 115,859,451
Total. Stamp receipts. Leaf-tobacco monopoly profits.	133,637,901 12,289,237 7,244,159	140,121,239 12,274,704 10,866,700	151,186,920 13,847,953 12,367,569	146,161,258 14,169,480 15,171,804	1,109,945,053 82,034,948 58,647,907
nue from taxation	153,171,297	163,262,643	177,402,442	175,502,542	1,250,627,908
Mutary taxes Adve tax Beer tax Sugar tax Customs duties Increased receipts from leaf-tobacco monopoly		94,040 612,344 3,622,541	5,166,617 637,122 4,145,773 1,870,654 4,255,841	5,166,617 653,433 6,942,969 1,870,654 4,255,841	10,333,234 1,384,595 11,701,086 3,741,308 12,134,223
Total	::	4,328,925	16,076,007	18,889,514	39,294,446
Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation, 1901–1904	*	Per cent 2.7	Per cent 9.1	Per cent 10.8	Per cent 7.8

No. I (d).—REVENUE FROM WAR TAXES AS CONTRASTED WITH TOTAL REVENUE FROM TAXATION, 1903-1913 *

Items	1903-4	1904-5	1905-6	2-9061	1907–8 ^b	1908–9
Land tax Income tax Business tax Mining tax. Mining tax. Maning tax. Sake tax. Sove tax. Sove tax. Sove tax. Tax on patent medicines. Business tax on patent medicines. Sugar excise. Textile-fabric excise. Petroleum excise. Petroleum excise. Taveling tax. Succession tax. Succession tax. Customs dutics.	Yen 46,873,086 8,247,177 7,049,251 801,983 52,821,748 3,633 12,520,332 12,520,332 811,580 130,164 6,942,969	Yen 60,939,781 14,369,933 12,001,542 908,553 1,906,234 58,286,011 4,244,239 880,277 167,306 1,882,983 3,44,305 1,882,983 3,44,305 1,882,983 3,44,305 1,882,983 3,44,305 1,882,983 1,883,983 1,883,983 1,883,983 1,883,983 1,883,983 1,883,98	Yen 80,473,022 18,7248,377 18,784,056 1,692,108 2,472,089 59,099,293 4,408,468, 2,241,098 197,856 11,348,317 5,319,899 2,211,869 629,684 36,757,382 538,435	Yen 84,637,498 26,348,739 19,770,159 1,928,152 1,692,285 71,100,004 4,76,979 4,76,979 4,76,979 6,76,831 204,780 2,463,801 1,405,425 1,40	Yen 84,973,927 27,291,875 20,383,940 21,73.555 3,329,016 78,406,323 513,101 5,471,023 16,178,234 19,114,022 5,173,550 1,822,997 1,822,997 5,0027,305 610,458	Yen 85,418,391 32,144,098 13,574,427 1,775,465 8,590,684 185,522 4,859,425 2,410,794 224,691 19,884,318 19,316,736 1,689,101 2,851,071 2,440,948 40,067,807 583,008
Total. Stamp receipts. Monopoly profit.	155,137,052 14,169,480 15,171,804	193,497,652 17,226,439 27,462,007	250,411,081 30,267,758 33,602,058	283,106,279 34,260,448 32,574,484	316,496,516 25,155,994 35,607,902	322,821,572 22,853,222 52,571,213
Total revenue from taxation	184,478,336	238,186,098	314,280,897	349,941,211	377,260,412	398,246,007
Land taxes Land tax. Land tax. Income tax. Business tax Business tax Business tax on patent medicines. Mining tax. Business tax on patent medicines. Muning tax Business tax on patent medicines. Succepts Stamp receipts Sate tax Soy tax Sugar excise Textile-fabric excise Petroleum excise Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture Customs duty. Satt tax. Total. Total.		23.893.145 5,660.129 5,154,609 86,484 232,682 1,985,767 1,983,767 1,883,767 1,883,767 1,883,767 1,883,767 1,883,767 1,883,767 1,883,767 1,883,763 2,413,993 2,413,993 2,413,993	46,249,200 11,273,816 629,684 755,756 74,655 1,120,547 2,211,868 18,330,997 1,716,753 6,765,131 5,319,898 1,716,753 6,765,131 5,319,898 15,174,384 11,998,012 15,157,888	49,920,982 15,447,150 11,867,276 11,867,276 14,867,656 78,291 2,463,899 19,827,263 19,827,263 19,827,263 19,827,350 5,036,715 17,781,713 23,227,961 14,679,794	49,920,982 15,447,150 11,867,276 1,822,297 712,991 80,867 2,773,90 8,276,263 1,717,958 9,997,350 19,114,902 53,078 17,781,713 23,227,961 14,679,794	49,920,982 115,447,1150 111,867,276 -2,446,948 712,991 8,867 2,330,915 2,330,915 2,835,071 19,827,958 12,877,958 12,877,958 12,877,946 19,316,736 1,689,161 17,781,713 23,227,961
Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation	:	Per cent 25	Per cent 49	Per cent 53	Per cent 53	Per cent 52

fiscal years from 1904-5 to 1906-7, which are based upon the settled accounts, while those for the increased taxes are from the estimates, except those for the fiscal years from 1904-5 to 1906-7, which are based upon the settled accounts.

Description of the increased taxes subsequent to 1907-8, for which the budget estimates can not be ascertained, the figures given are calculated on the basis of the settled account for 1908-9.

Total	Yen 755,602,934 203,653,53 18,626,369 16,581,873 761,379,727 761,379,727 2,727,397 55,418,022 31,009,652 2,099,079 481,359	140,622,315 129,317,708 11,456,103 25,379,952 19,012,421 10,008 402,632,780 5,706,424	2,852,509,817 259,906,396 446,881,870 3,559,298,083	389,589,219 127,199,995 92,899,357 19,967,652 5,833,177 5,833,177 5,833,177 17,732,634 17,732,634 160,124,258 68,946,688 15,126,270 139,000,374 11,459,316 126,846,239 126,842,080	Per cent 45
			6 6		
1912-13	28,5 26,0 26,0 93,1 4,4	13, 20, 1, 4, 68,	361,005,863 28,934,262 66,015,518 455,955,643	399, 399, 397, 112, 12, 12, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13, 13	Per cent
1911-12	Yen 74,936,085 34,755,740 22,538,012 1,388,160 86,032,832 74,843 4,828,316 4,799,625	17,255,548 18,916,151 1,925,503 3,918,334 4,061,596 48,518,614 6,53,817	329,146,163 29,073,320 63,337,928 421,557,411	39,920,982 15,477,150 9,667,276 4,061,596 712,991 8,339,915 3,918,334 19,827,936 9,076,936 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958 1,717,958	Per cent 46
11-0161	Yen 76,291,796 31,722,257 25,756,844 25,756,844 815,958 86,701,144 70,288 4,680,993 3,617,584 233,314	17,906,368 18,233,622 2,087,755 3,408,486 3,132,344 39,949,339 580,246	317,355,893 27,219,425 62,089,574 406,664,892	39,920,982 15,447,150 9,667,274 712,991 8,867 2,339,915 3,468,486 9,057,936 9,057,936 10,857,046 11,777,958 11,777,958 11,7781,713 17,781,713 2,087,755 17,781,713 17,781,713 2,087,755	Per cent 47
01-6061	Yen 85,603,955 32,800,432 25,112,330 2,007,973 7,00,140 91,480,101 124,772 4,731,800 3,657,500 2,32,166	13,270,188 18,778,324 2,112,208 3,032,800 2,784,436 36,423,860 568,761	323,531,746 30,746,048 58,449,382 412,727,176	49,920,982 11,867,276 2,784,436 712,991 80,867 2,339,915 3,032,800 19,827,936 9,277,958 12,857,046 18,778,324 12,857,046 18,778,324 17,781,713 17,781,713 17,781,713 17,781,713 23,227,961 14,679,794	Per cent 50
Items	Land tax. Income tax. Business tax. Business tax. Tax on convertible bank notes. Sake tax. Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture. Soy tax. Tax on patent medicines. Tax on patent medicines. Business tax on patent medicines.	Sult tax Sugar excise Textile-fabric excise. Petroleum excise. Traveling tax Succession tax. Old taxes collected Customs duties. Tonnage dues.	Total Stamp receipts. Monopoly profit. Total revenue from taxation.	Military taxes' Land tax Income tax Business tax Succession tax Mining tax Mining tax Mining tax Business tax Traveling tax Traveling tax Stamp receipts Sake tax Sugar excise Textile-fabric excise Petroleum excise. Export duty on sake for Okinawa Prefecture Customs duty. Salt-monopoly receipts Salt tax. Total.	Ratio of military taxes to total revenue from taxation

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law

Description	Rate of assessment	First increase	Second increase	Total rate
Land taxb Provincial dwelling land Urban dwelling land Other land Business tax	2.5 per cent of registered value of land 2.5 "	3.5 per cent of registered value of land 5.5 " 1.8 " 70 "	3.5 per cent of registered value of land 12 " 80 "	8 per cent of registered value of and 20 %
First kind A. First kind B. Income under 5,000 yen 10,000 11,000 12,000 12,000 130,000 14,000 15,000 16,000 17,000 18,000 18,000 19,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000 10,000	; ;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;;	70	80 " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " " "	2 11.4 4 5.6 4.7 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0 5.0
Intro Kind 1,000 1,000 1,000 1,000	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	200000000000000000000000000000000000000	30 " 40 " 60 " 70 " 100 " 120 " 140 "	0 H & 4 \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
I. Sake manufacture tax Class 1	15 yen per koku 18 20 23 75 yen for each degree of alcohol per koku	.50 yen per koku .50 " .50 " .50 " .025 yen for each degree of alcohol per koku	1.50 yen per koku 1.50 " 1.50 " 1.50 " 1.50 " 1.50 degree of alcohol per koku	17 yen per koku 20 22 25 85 yen for each degree of alcohol per koku

* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

b The rate of the land tax for Hokkaido is one per cent, the rate of increase being the same as with the ordinary land tax.

O. II.—TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW 8—Continued

	8 yen per koku 18 " 85 yen for each	degree of alcohol per koku	2.00 yen per 100 kin	4.40 "	6.50 "	7.50 "	yen	2.50	1.25 "
LAW 8—Continued	2.00 ".	degree of alcohol per koku	1.00 yen per 100 kin	.50	1.00	1.00	•	:	• • •
No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a—Continued	.50 yen per koku	degree of alcohol per koku	(1.00 yen per 100 kin (up to Sept., 1904) No tax from Oct.,	3.00 yen per 100 kin (up to Sept., 1904) 2.30 yen per 100 kin	3.30 yen per 100 kin	3.70 "	.50 yen per koku	.50	ن د د د د
I.—Tariffs Enacted i	7 yen per koku 16 yen per koku	degree of alcohol per koku	1.00 yen per 100 kin	", og.I	2.20 "	2.80 "	2.00 yen	2.00	1.00
No.		Suma source	Class I	Class 2	Class 3	Soy tax Soy of Morami process (Art.		Soy of Moromi process (Pro-	Soy of Tamari process (Proviso, Art. II)

NO. II.—TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW 8-Continued

Description	Kate of assessment	First increase	Second increase	Total rate
Registration taxes 1. Registration respecting immovables				
No.	oer lue o	3 per y	First increase was abolished	5 per mille of the value of immovables
	5	10 %	to per mille of the	60 "
***	:		value of immov-	
No. 4	25		22	35 "
Preservation of owner- ship enjoyed to the time of registration.	2		:	رر ج
reditary estate	,, 50	ĭ,		2.
2. Registration respecting ships				
Registration of No. 1	3 per mille of the	2 per mille of the	First increase was	3 per mille of the
" No. 2	value of simp	value of snip	abolished	value of ship
" No. 3	20 "	20 "	to per mille of the	50 "
" No. 4	,, 12	5	value of ship 5	25
Preservation of owner- ship enjoyed to the time of registration	2 I	2 per mille	•	÷
3. Registration tax according to Art. VI and Art. VI, 2, of the law of registration	,			
(a) Art. IV. Registration of commercial com-	-			
panies or other judicial persons for purposes of profit				
			٠	

4 per mille of the standard taxable amount	ro z	2 15 yen per place	7 yen per case 5	1.50 "	r.v.w	I.5o "	" o <i>t</i> ·	. 2 2 3	I.50 "
•	•	• • •		:		•	•	: :	•
I per mille of the standard taxable amount	,, I	1 " 5 yen per place	2 yen per case	.50 "	3 3 3 0 0 H	.50	.20 "		., 20
3 per mille of the standard taxable amount	,	I ". Io yen per place	5 yen per case	3	w 10 0	»	.50 "		,,
	Registration of Nos. 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8		Registration of No. 17 Registration of No. 17	ceding number at the place of their the place of their branch office	Registration of No. 1	Registration of Nos. 4 and 5Registration of Nos. 6- 8, inclusive, and of the preceding num- bers at a place other	than the principal office (c) Registration of Art.	Registration of Nos. 1-3, inclusive Registration of Nos. 4 and 5 Registration of Nos. 6	and 7

* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a—Continued

Total rate		.70 yen per case	,, 001	45 "	45 "	500	100 " 100 " 200 "	40 "	,, 001
Second increase	,	:	25 yen per case	10 "	, , ,	25 "	25	:	:
First increase		.20 yen per case	:	:	:		25 50	,, 01	25 "
Rate of assessment		.50 yen per case		35 "	35 "		75 75 150	30 "	75 "
Description	Registration taxes 3. Registration tax according to Art. VI and Art. VI, 2, of the law of registration (c) Registration of Art. VI, Registration of the preceding numbers at	the place of the branch office4. Registration respecting	Creation of prospecting right.	right due to increase or decrease or decrease of lots Transfer of prospecting right due to causes	other than succession Sion Newregistration of min-	Change of mining right due to increase or decrease of lots	due to causes other than succession Prospecting Mining Revision relative to in-	crease or decrease of prospecting lots Revision relative to in-	crease or decrease mining lots of

NO. II.—TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW "-Continued

100 yen per case	nt 1.2 per mille of amount transacted	40 yen 30	~ ~ ~	;; og:	.30 yen per cho of placer area per	.30 yen per 1,000	area per annum	3 yen per dose	2 2		7 L	32 "	42 "	57 .:		102 "
	3 per mille of amou transacted	10 yen 15 '''		,, OI,	.30 yen per cho of placer area per	.30 yen per 1,000	area per annum	1 yen per dose	: : ouc	., 01	15 6	30 "	40 %	55		,, 001
25 yen per case	.3 per mille of amount transacted .2	10 yen 5	:	.10 yen for each 1,000 tsubo per annum	•	•		•	•	• •		• • •	•		• • •	:
75 yen per case	.6 per mille of amount .3 per mille of amount transacted transacted transacted transacted .3	20 yen 10 ''	.10 yen for each 1,000 tsubo per annum	04.	•	•		2 yen per dose	2. "	; ;	2 3		2 2	5 70		2 "
Purchase or transfer by cession	Wares and negotiable instru- ments	First class. Second class. Third class.	Prospecting	Mining	Alluvial	Non-alluvial	Business tax on patent medicine Total value of each medicine manufactured in one year	Under 300 yen	3 3	2,000	,,,	20,000 ",	30,000 6	20,000 ,,	100,000	Above Ioo,000 "

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law "-Continued

Passebook 1 25th 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	Description	Rate of assessment	First increase	Second increase	Total rate
1,000 yer 2 " " 1 " 6 5,000 or " 1 " 1 " 6 5,000 or " 1 1 " 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1					
5,000 " 2 " 13 " 15 "	_		•	", I	
28 ". 28 ". 30	3,000		• •		
99,000 "	, ,,		• • •	28 4	30 %
1.18 2en	3,			3 (,, 09
r documents and provided for in mp dutylaw, Art. and ammunition, chascannon, mustranders, etc	50,000				1.20 yen
r documents and provided for in mp dutylaw, Art. and ammunition, chascannon, muschascannon, mus	. "		• •		5 "
and ammunition, chascannon, mus- ad valorem es, me as ur in g ters and tapes 10 " 20 20 21 25 per cent ad valorem es, me as ur in g ters and valorem 20 " 20	All other documents and books provided for in the stamp duty law. Art.				>
and ammunition, chascannon, mustrated and tapes ad valorem ses, me as uring les and tapes 10 " ad valorem so full kinds 10 " 15 "	IV Stamp duty on checks		:	I sen	
and ammunition, chascannon,mus- chascannon,mus- try, pistols, side "ms, projectiles, rtridges, etc	Import duties	•	• • •	4	•
and ammunition, ich ascannon, mus- ets, pistols, side runs, projectiles, and valorem ales and tapes es, measuring ales and tapes y, not otherwise covided for y, not otherwise dilkinds y, not otherwise covided for ic light apparatus ich side arts thereof 10 " 20 " 20 " 20 " 20 " 20 " 21 15 " 22 20 23 20 24 20 " 25 " 26 " 27 20 " 28 20 " 29 20 " 20 " 20 " 20 " 21 15 " 22 20 " 23 20 " 24 20 " 25 " 26 " 27 20 " 28 20 " 29 20 " 20 " 20 " 21 25 " 22 20 " 23 20 " 24 20 " 25 " 26 " 27 20 " 28 25 " 29 20 " 20 " 20 " 20 " 21 25 " 22 20 " 23 20 " 24 20 " 25 " 26 " 27 20 " 28 20 " 29 20 " 20 "	Class I				
25 per cent 30 s ad valorem 30 s 10 s 20 s 20 s 20 s 20 s 20 s 20 s 2	Arms and ammunition,				
25 per cent 30 per cent 10 " 20 10 " 5 " 10 " 15 " 20 " 20 10 " 5 " 10 " 5 " 10 " 15 10 " 15	suchascannon,mus- kets, pistols, side				
10 " 20 10 " 15 10 " 15 20 " 15 20 " 25 10 " 5 10 " 15 10 " 15	arms, projectiles, cartridges, etc	25 per cent	•	5 per cent	30 per cent
10 " 20 10 " 15 20 " 20 20 " 25 10 " 25 10 " 15 10 " 15	Balances measuring	ad valorem		ad valorem	ad valorem
10 "	scales and tapes		•		
20 " 5 " 25 " 25 " 15 " 15 " 15 " 15 " 15	Barometers		•	2 2 10 (
20 " 5 " 25 10 " 15 " 15	Cutlery not otherwise		:	101	20
15 " 15 " 15 " 15 " 15 " 15 " 15 " 15 "	provided for		•		
parts 10 " 5 " 15	Electric light apparatus				
parts 10 " 5 " 15	3 .		•		
			0 0 0 0		

•																	
Io per cent ad valorem	25 "	15 "	30 "	35 "	30 %	35 "	15 "			25	25 6	30 %	35	\$ \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50 \$50)	40 "	
5 per cent ad valorem	" OI	ž vo	15 "	,, OI	,, OI	,, OI	5 "		:	,, OI	;; OI		10 vi	ж,	•	,, 01	the Duese Ichanose Was
	:	0 0	•	•	:	0 0 0				5 per cent ad valorem	: :		ະະ	,, OI		3	T. T. T. S.
5 per cent ad valorem	15 ",	,, 01	15 "	255	,, OI	25 "	,, OI			,, OI	10 "		15 "	70 "		25 "	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Implements and tools, agricultural and mechanical, and parts thereof.	Instruments, musical and accessories Instruments, philosophical, chemical, surveying, surgical and	not otherwise pro- vided for proper-	tus, photographic, and parts thereof	Phonographs and parts thereof	.e 1	Sporting guns and acces-	Telephones and parts thereof	Group II Beverages non-alcohol-	ic, such as mineral water, le mona de	and soda water	Sea biscuits	Butter	Coffee	Confectionery and sweetmeats	Confectionery, preserved	or syrup	

a Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II. - Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law a-Continued

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law &—Continued

45 per cent ad valorem	35	35	50 "	3 3	35	45	35	45 "	40	35		35 "	245		Ş	40 "	3	50	45 "
•	15 per cent ad valorem	,, 21	20 "		13	1		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	S 3	15 "		15	SI)	3	15 "		50	•
20 per cent ad valorem		0 0 0 0	•	20 "	:	20 "	0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	20 "					20 "			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		•	20 "
25 per cent ad valorem	20	20 "	30 "		20		50	25 "	25 "	20 "		20 "	25 "		3	25		30	25 "
Comforters, neckerchiefs or mufflers of silk, wholly or in part	Ditto, all other	Gloves of all kinds Hats set with gold,	Silver or gems	in part	Scarfs and neckties of silk, wholly or in	part	Shawls of silk, wholly or	in part Ditto, of wool or em-	broidered	Shirts.	ings of cotton of wool	and cotton	in part	Studs and sleeve or cuff buttons or links of	set with gems or	Ditto, all other	Trimmings of gold or silver, wholly or in	Ditto, of silk, wholly or	in part

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law *-Continued

Total rate	35 per cent ad valorem	35 "	35	35	35.	,	.48 yen per liter	,, 84.	** 84.	20 per cent ad valorem	20 "	15 "
Second increase	15 per cent ad valorem	15 "	91	15 "		,	.03 yen per liter	.03 "	.03 "	Io per cent ad valorem	,, OI IO "	5
First increase	:	:	20 per cent ad valorem	20	20 "		.03 yen per liter	,, 60.	,, 80°	•		:
Rate of assessment	20 per cent	,, 50	25 "	25 "	25 "		.42 yen per liter	., 24.	.42	10 per cent ad valorem	10 "	,, 01
Description	Import duties Class I Group III—Cont. Ditto, all other	Undershirts and drawers of cotton, or of wool and cotton	Ditto, all other	wholly or in part Ditto, all otherAll other clothing and	accessories of silk, wholly or in part	Group IV (Rosin, soda ash and caustic soda are ex-	Alcohol	all kinds	parations, except tincture of opium.	blumea or ngai	Collodium, photograph- ic, with iodizer Musk and artificial musk	All other drugs, chemicals and medicines.

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law "-Continued

15 per cent ad valorem	30	30 " 10 " 15 "	30 6 6 6 6 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	10 64	", OI	100 "" 15 "" 15 ""
5 per cent ad valorem	,, 10 10 ,,	10 " 5 " 10 "	www.	ις,	ະະ	ແພດເພເພເພ
				:		
10 per cent ad valorem	20 55	20 v.v.	2025	'n	ະະ	52 10 10 10 10
Group V (Oxide of cobalt, liquid of gold, silver and platinum, dry indigo and logwood are excluded.) Dyes, Colors and Paints Group VI (Except ordinary window glass, plate glass, silvered or unsilvered, and glass, broken or pow-	Glass beads, known as Venetian beads	Group VII Cotton seeds	Feathers and downs of birds of all kinds Furs, dressed or undressed Hair, human		dried, salted or pick-led, and undressed. Hoofs, animal.	Horns, bull, ox, cow and buffalo Horns, deer Ditto, rhinoceros Tusks, narwhal or unicorn Teeth, walrus or seahorse

No. II.—TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW *-Continued

Total rate		20 per cent ad valorem 20 "	,, OI	15		15 "	,, 12	,, oI	15 "	15	15 "	15 "	15 "	,, ,,	
Second increase	, in the state of	s per cent ad valorem 5	رن ب	2		; '	, ,	, n	2	2	; ;	: :	5	ro 2	
First increase		: : : :	:	•		:	•	0 0 0 0	•	• • • •	:	:	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	:	
Rate of assessment	I C ner cent	ad valorem	ž,	, oi		, OI	,, OI	3	" OI	,, 01	ı, oı	,, OI	,, oI	" 01	
Description	Import duties Class I Group VIII—Cont. Leather.sole	Leather, all other kinds All other bones, horns,	raw hides or skins, and shells	of animals	Group IX Brass, bar and rod, plate and sheet, rails, pipes	and tubes, and screws Copper, barandrod, plate and sheet, pipes, and	Copper coins and nickel	Coins	sheet, rod and wire.	rope, galvanized or otherwise	and tubes	steel) wire paragon, (for umbrella ribs). Ditto wire-rope galvan-	Yellow metal, sheet and	plate, bar and rod, nails, and pipes and tubes	

	15 per cent ad valorem	25 "	25	25	45 "	35 "	30 "	25		30 "	20 "' 50 "'	15 "
	per cent ad valorem		" oI	,, 01	,,, 01	,, 01	77	99		**	5 " 01	, ,
	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				01		01	20 per cent ad valorem	:
	10 per cent ad valorem	15 "	15 ti	,, 21	35 "	25 "	20 ";	" 21		20 "	15 "	,, 01
Nails, screws, bolts and nuts of metal, not otherwise provided	for	Bag frames	Door locks, knobs, bolts, hinges, etc Foils and powder of gold,	silver or other metal, except bronze powder Goldand silver ware, not	otherwise provided for Gold and silver plated		and fittings thereof Safes and cash-boxes	Umbrella ribs and fur- nishings thereof Allother manufactures of	metal or metals, not otherwise provided for (except building or bridge materials and posts for electric	wires and other similar materials)	(Cocoanut oil, linseed oil, oil or spirits of turpentine and stearine candles are excluded.) Oil, kerosene, petroleum	All other kinds of candles and oils.

a Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law *—Continued

	Total rate	35 per cent ad valorem		25	3	50	40 "		20 **		20 "	C7		30 "	3	30 "		25		00	30 "	30 ::		30 "
AX LAW ^a —Continued	Second increase	10 per cent ad valorem)))))))))))))))))))	2	:	" OI				ະະ			•		•	•	IO ff	10		,, OI	:	:	
BY THE EMERGENCY 1	First increase	•			•	•	*	•		•		•	i	25 per cent	ad valorem	20 "		•	•	•	*****	. 0I	,, 01	10
NO. 11.—IARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW B—Continued	Rate of assessment	25 per cent ad valorem		151		15	30 66				151 151	£4		2	10 01	, O. T.) 4	15			20 "	20		20 "
	Description	and postage stamps	Books, blank and print- ed blank, and print-	ed blank forms	Paper, Chinese, of all	Pencils in gold or plat-	inum case	Ditto, all other	Ditto, all other	Sealing wax	Strawboard	Group XII	Sugar (up to No. 14, in-	ard in color)	Second Company	Syrin	•	Threads, cotton	Felt woolen	Silk threads, not other-	wise provided for	Silk nongee Chinese	(Kenchu)	Silk satins, Chinese

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law &—Continued

30 per cent ad valorem 30	35 **	30 "	35 6	355	2522	30 " 45 " 35 "	30 "	30	30 %	,, 12	25 "
:	•	To per cent ad valorem	21	15 "	15 " 10 "	15 "	ı Şı	3 3 3	., 12 15 15	, w	,, OI
10 per cent ad valorem 10	99	,, oi			: : : : : :		:	*			•
20 per cent ad valorem 20	25	20 "	20 "	25 66	20 "" 15 ""	15 " 25 "	,, 21	33 ST	25 20 15 (4	,, 01	15 "
H ' '55	cotton tissues, embroidered Allother silk tissues, pure or mixed with other	materials, embroid- eredThreads, flax or linen	Carpetsandcarpeting, felt Curtains, silk, wholly or	in partDitto, all other	Elastic boot webbing of silk, in part. Ditto, all other. Elastic braids and cords	linen and cotton, single. Ditto, of silk, or of linen Mosquito nets of all kinds	Furniture cloth, leather, etc	Table-cloths or covers,	Ditto, all other Towels of all kinds, single	Twines, cotton, flax, hemp, jute, manila hemp or China grass.	Threads of all kinds, not otherwise provided for

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by The Emergency Tax Law &—Continued

Description	Rate of assessment	First increase	Second increase	Total rate
Import duties Class I Group XIII—Cont. All other products from	¥			
tissues of silk, whol- ly or in part	25 per cent	:	20 per cent	45 per cent
Ditto, all other	20 "	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	15 ", "IS	ad valorem
Group XIV All prepared tobacco	,, ,,	100 per cent ad valorem	:	250 "
Group XV (Ale, porter, and stout, beer, champagne and similar sparkling wines, port, sherry, vermouth and white or red wines are ex-				
Alcoholic liquors, Chinese fermented	,, 00I	20 "	. " 01 IO "	130 " ,
liquors and spirits	.275 yen per liter	:	.15 yen per liter	.325 yen per liter
Group XVI Aloeswood	IO per cent	:	10 per cent	20 per cent
Amber, unworked	10 (IIO ", OI	ad Valorem
All animals excepting cattle, asses, mules, sheep, goats and domestic fowl. Asbestosin sheet or board Bamboo, unworked	10 " 10 " 5 "		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	15 ". 15 ".

NO. II.—TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW "-Continued

	15 per cent ad valorem	40 "	25.		30 "	35 "	3 3 S	30 %	;; 01 01	, 04	1155	" or "
	5 per cent ad valorem	,, 01	" OI		,, OI	,, OI	ະະ	3 3 0 10 1	ະະ	" OI	ນ ເດາ ດາ	; ; w w
	:	:		•		•	:	• • •	• • •	: :		
	oper cent ad valorem	30	15		20 "	25 "	" OI	; ;	ະ ະ	30 %	10 ;; 2 ;;	z z
Belting of leather, caout- chouc or canvas, hose of caoutchouc or can-	vas, for machinery	accessories and accessories and accessories tine, and other simi-	lar explosive compounds, including detonators and fuses. Bricksand tiles for build-	ing purposesBrushesand brooms of all	Canes, sticks, and whips.	Carriages, Dicycles, the cycles and parts thereof	ance of commodities	Chalk and whiting	Clays of all kinds	Corals, worked or otherwise. Cordage and ropes of flax, hemp, jute, manila	hemp or China grass, for rigging or otherwise. Diamond glaziers. Emery sands.	

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law 8—Continued

Total rate	40 per cent ad valorem		30 "	35 "	10 "	35	30 "	30 " 10 " 30 "
Second increase	10 per cent ad valorem		, oi	10		15 "	,, oi	10 " 5 " 10 "
First increase		: :		: :				
Rate of assessment	30 per cent ad valorem	20 %	20 "	25 " 5 " I	55	20 " 35 "	20 "	20 ""
Description	Import duties Class I Group XVI—Cont. Fireworks of all kinds Flowers and foliage, arti-	Frames for pictures and moulding	Furniture, new and old, not otherwise provided for cames, and all accessories, used in playing tents cricket ches	etc., not otherwise provided for. Glue, common. Guncotton		not otherwise provided for Jewelry Labels, for bottles, tins,	Lamps, lanterns, and parts thereof Leather, manufactures of. not otherwise	Matches of all kinds

II. TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW .- Continued

25 per cent ad valorem	25	2	Ç				3 Y	CC	", OI		45	2		"	300			,, OI	,, OI		35 "		25		45	,, OI
5 per cent ad valorem	i.	;)	o				01	2	20	. 3		n		77	2 01			ro	¥.		0I		ن د د		,, OI	ž.
:	•		•					•	•	•	•	* * * * * * *				•	•	•	•		•	* * *	•		•	
20 per cent ad valorem	20 "	300	2				25 **		2 3	ະະ		0		20 (1	35		30 "	ر د	3	:	25 "		50		30 "	° 3
Matting, Chinese, in rolls of 40 yards	Matting, cocoa, I square yard	Mats and matting, all	Paintings in oil or water	chromolithographs,	and all other paint-	ings, pictures, and calligraphy, not	otherwise provided for	Pitch, wood-tar and coal-	tar	Plaster of Paris	Plumbago or blacklead	Pottery, including porce-	lain and earthen-	ware, not otherwise	Precious stones and pearls	Precious stones and	pearls, imitations of	Putty	Wise	Saddles, bridles, and har-	ness	Sandalwood	Smokers' articles (articles	for use in opium are	excluded)	powderedpor

* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law &--Continued

Total rate	15 per cent ad valorem	,, OI	" 01	30 "	35 "	20 "	10 " 35	35 "	30 ",	40 "30	25 "
Second increase	5 per cent ad valorem	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	ıo.	,, OI	,, OI	,, OI	10 66	,, 01	,, OI	15 " 10 "	:
First increase	:	:	:	:	:	•	: :		:	• • • • • • • • • • • •	
Rate of assessment	10 per cent ad valorem	ī,	, s	20 "	25	,, OI	25.5	2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	20 "	25 "	20 "'
Description	Import duties Class I Group XVI—Cont. Sparteric, for making hats	Stones and slates, (not otherwise provided	for), rough or worked, for building purposes, etc.	mental works or fur- nitures, etc	sculptured or engraved	cables and under- ground telegraphic lines or cables	Timber, santalum (shitan) Toilet or dressing cases	Toys of all kindsTrunks, portmanteaux	and traveling or courier bags	sunshades of silk, wholly or in part Ditto, all other Umbrella sticks and han-	dles (except those madeofgoldorsilver)

NO. II.—TARIFFS ENACTED BY THE EMERGENCY TAX LAW 8—Continued

5 per cent 10 per cent ad valorem	,, 35 "	5	,, oI 30 ,,	", oi " " oi " 15	yen		,, 07.	.20	.20 ,20	.10 "10 "20 "20 ".	. YO	03	ıt 15 per cent
per cent ad valorem	-	*	3										15 per cent
		All articles, raw or un- manufactured, not herein enumerated 10 All articles, manufac-	tured, wholly or in part, not herein enumerated	Cocoons of all kinds	Traveling tax Over 200 miles or knots: First class	Second class Third class	Under 200 miles or knots: First class		Under 100 miles or knots: First class	Second classThird class	Under 50 miles or knots: First class		Textile fabric excise Woolen

a Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

Description	Rate of assessment	First increase	Second increase	Total rate
Petroleum excise Petroleum		.032 yen per gal- lon (up to Sept. 1904) (No tax from Oct. 1904)		.032 yen per gallon
Stamps for use in civil suits 1. The first instance A demand referring to property rights Object in dispute val-				
5 yen or less	.20 yen	.05 yen	•	.25 yen
10	. 30 	.20	• • •	., 08.
50	", OI'I	.30 66	•	1.80 %
75	3.00 "	.30	• • •	3.50 "
	6.10 "	.50	:	7.00 "
500	10.00 "	2.00		
,, 0001	15.00 "	3:00 "		18.00 "
	20.00	5.00	•	25.00 "
5000 "	25.00 "	5.00	•	30.00
Above 5000 yen	2.00 yen for every additional 1,000	1.00 yen for every additional 1,000	:	3.00 yen for every additional 1,000
A demand referring to other than property rights	3.00 yen	.50 yen	:	3.50 yen
2. Appeal (to the Court of Appeal) A demand referring to property rights:				
Object in dispute valued at—	,30 "	,, 025	0 0 0 0	.325 "
I OI	., 45	.05	•	.50 "

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law "-Continued

1.00 yen 2.40 " 3.45 " 4.75 " 10.00 " 16.00 " 20.50 " 24.00 " 32.50 " 40.00 " 1.50 yen for every additional 1,000	yen 4.75 yen	.45 " .70 " 1.40 " 3.30 " 4.70 " 6.50 " 22.00 " 28.00 " 33.00 " 45.00 " 55.00 " 30.00 yen for every additional 1,000	6.50 yen
			•
.10 yen .15 " .25 " .25 " 1.00 " 1.50 " 2.50 " 2.50 " 2.50 wen for every additional 1,000	yen	.05 " .10 " .20 " .30 " .30 " .50 " .50 " .50 " .50 " .100 yen for every additional 1,000	.50 yen
2.25 3.30 4.50 15.00 19.50 30.00 37.50 37.50 additional 1,000	yen 4.5 yen	.40 "50 ". 3.00 ". 4.40 ". 6.00 ". 13.00 ". 26.00 ". 30.00 ". 30.00 ". 40.00 ". 50.00 ". 30.00 ". 30.00 ". 30.00 ".	6.00 yen
20 yen or less 50 75 100 250 500 750 1000 2500 2500 Above 5000 yen	A demand referring to other than property rights	5 yen or less 5 yen or less 50 50 75 75 750 750 750 750 750 Above 5000 yen A demand referring to other than property	rights

a Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. II.—Tariffs Enacted by the Emergency Tax Law *-Continued

	Rate of assessment	First increase	Second increase	Total rate
Stamps for use in civil suits-Cont. 4. Motion for a judicial summons to pay Object in dispute val-				
yen yen	0	6	:	.40 yen
	,20 ,,	,70	•	3, 06.
	.20	1.05	:	1.25
	., 02.	3.30 "	• • •	3.50 "
500	,20	5.80	•	6.00
	.20		•	7.50 .:
2500 "	,20	12.30 "	• • •	12.50 "
"		14.80 "		15.00 "
yen	,, 02.	1.30 yen for every additional 1,000 yen		.20 yen and I.30 yen for every
5. Other propositions or motions, the value of the object in dispute or of the demand being				additional I,000 yen
above 20 yen Proposition to change a				
hxed date, to post- pone pleading or to				
pleading	.20 "	.20 yen	•	.40 yen
Proposition to continue legal proceeding in-				
tinued or discon-	,20 "(.20 "		3 01
Motion for subordinate	3, 00	20 "		3 9
Motion for recusation (or refusal of a indoe)	" 02	300		3 3
Proposition for com-			• • •	
promise	,, 02.	.20	4 4 4	,, OV-

.40 yen	., 04.	,, 04.	,, 04.	., 40	., 04.	., 04.	.40 "	1.00 "	I.00 "	1.00
	:		•	:	:	:		* * * *	:	į
the ses 20 yen .20 yen	.20 "	3002	.20 "	.20	,, 02.	.20 "	.20 **	.50	.50	,, os.
.20 yen	.20 "	,20	.20 "	,20	.20 "	.20 "	.20 😘	., 05.	 ov.	.50
Motion for fixing the amount of expenses	laration that a sentence is admitting of provisional execution Proposition for the sus-	pension or continuance of execution or for the rescission of executionary disposition.	Demand for distribution Proposition for insolvency of family property or		auction or for compulsory management Motion for the levy of an	execution upon our- gation or other prop- erty rights	dure	tion of evidence Motion for the delivery of the indicial deci-	sion. Proposition for an exemplified copy admitting	case there are many copies, the fee per copy.

* Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

Total rate	200 na	1.00	.25	,, 00.1	" oo.1	1.30 "	1,00 "	,, 00.1	. 25
Second increase				:	:	:	:		
First increase	uso Os	.50	., vo.	,, 08.	, 08.	,, 08.	.50	.50	,, 50.
Rate of assessment	nen OS	3 3 3	.; oz.	,20 "	.20 "	.5°	.50 °.	.50	.20
Description	Stamps for use in civil suits 5. Other propositions or motions, etc.—Cont. Provisional order of disposition.	Reclamation	Written reply or a proposition or motion not otherwise specified	Motion for a judicial subrogation	by auction in accordance with the law of auction referring to	tion, sale by auction in accordance with the law of auction, or to the registration of an immovable	6. Proposition or motion referring to commercial or non-judicial affairs Reclamation.	cation of bankruptcy on the application of the creditor	

.55 yen	3, 05. 80. 1, 00. 1	3.60	30.00	50.00 " 60.00 " 6.00 yen for every additional 1,000 yen	7.00 yen I.00 ii	", 00·I		* 45 * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	.25 "
:	• • •			: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	7.00 yen 1.00 i	,, 00°I	45	, 2 4.	25 "
.05 yen	,10 " ,20 "	3 3 3	00.1 00.4 00.4 00.4 00.4 00.4	10.00 ". 2.00 yen for every additional 1,000 yen		:			
.50 yen		3.00	13.00 " 20.00 " 26.00 "	30.00 ". 40.00 ". 50.00 ". 4.00 yen for every additional 1,000 yen	:::::		: :	: :	:
Proposition for the levy of an attachment 7. Opening or reopening of bankruptcy proceedings	yen or less		250 "	Above 5000 yen	Written complaint Protest Proposition for collection of evidence	J		Motion for recusation (or refusal of a judge) Motion for fixing amount of expenses	tion or motion not specified in the preceding items

= Extracted from the official Report on the Financial Condition of the Russo-Japanese War.

No. III.—Table Showing Excessive Increases of Indirect Taxes, 1891–1913 (in thousands of yen)

	Ratio of	taxes to total of tax rev. and monopoly profits	Per cent	29.7	31.8	31.8	31.4	33.8	34.7	41.5	48.5	55.9	55.3	58.0	7.09 -	59.6	50.4	54.4	. 10 . 00 . 10	61.8	6I.I	50.7	62.1	63.4	63. I
axes		Total of tax rev. and monopoly profits		63,660	66,415	69,169	70,418	73,568	75,042	93,993	101,333	133,595	141,170	150,442	163,453	161,335	228,952	207,618	340,440	377,142	387,768	381,856	380.207	385,474	385,177
Ratio of indirect taxes		indirect taxes and monop- oly profits		19,227	20,804	21,763	21,886	24,534	26,205	39,421	48,734	74,869	101,77	86,592	99,308	95,860	136,157	166,353	199,184		_			243,867	243,233
Ratio of		Monop- oly profits				:	:	:	:	262	5,146	7,560	7,244	10,867	12,368	15,172	34,589	46,343	56,971	61,159	65,132	58,449	62,027	61,346	62,213
		Ratio of indirect Monoptaxes to oly total profits revenue	Per cent	29.7	31.8	31.8	31.4	33.8	34.7	41.5	46.7	53.22		54.3	57.6	55.5	52.6	47.8	50.2	54.4	53.2	52.6	54.6	56.5	26.0
		Total revenue from taxation ^b		63,661	66,416	691,69	70,417	73,614	75,042	93,70I	. 96,997	126,033	133,927	139,573	151,084	146,164	194,363	251,275	283,470	315,983	322,083	323,407	317,280	324,089	332,121
		Other		44,434	45,611	47,407	48,532	49,034	48,837	54,575	52,599	58,726	63,469	63,850	64,144	65,476	92,795	131,266	141,256	143,955	150,044	153,578	144,315	141,577	141,944
		Total		19,227	20,802	21,762	21,885	24,580	26,205	39,120	44,398	07,307	70,458	75,723	86,940	80,08	101,568	120,009	142,214	172,028	172,039	169,829	172,965	182,512	190,177
		Petro- leum		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	- (I,883	:	:	53	I,689	2,112	2,008	2,111	1,999
xation		Travel-		:		:	:	:	:	:	:	:		:	:	:	344	2,212	2,464	2,774	2,831	3,033	3,408	3,184	3,580
Revenue from taxation	Indirect taxes	Cus- toms		4,540	4,992	5,125	5,755	0,780	0,728	8,021	206'6	15,937	17,010	13,030	15,501	17,378	23,160	36,757	41,854	50,027	40,068	36,424	39,949	50,514	49,892
Revenue	Indire	Textile fabric		:		:	:	: : :	:	:	:	:	:::	:	:		4,424	5,320	5,038	19,115	19,317	18,778	18,234	18,618	18,644
		Sugar			:	:	:					:		012	4,140	0,942	8,362	11,348	16,157	16,178	19,684	13,270	17,904	14,727	13,790
		Soy		:	:		:	:	:		1,530	2,453	3,154	3,404	3,555	3,545	5,109	5,273	2,601	5,475	4,859	4,723	4,68I	4,631	13,790
		Sake		14,687	15,813	10,037	10,130	17,794	19,477	31,105	32,900	48,918	50,294	58,017	03,738	52,822	58,286	59,099	71,100	78,406	83,591	91,480	86,701	88,727	88,482
		Year	(1891~2	1092-3	1093-4	1094-5	1095-0	1000-7	100/-01	1000-y	1000-1000	1900-1	1901-2	1902-3	1903-4	1904-5	1905-6	1900-7	1907-8	1908-9	0I-6061	1910-II	1911-12	1912–13

^a Prepared by the Toyo-keizai-shimpo-sha. ^b Does not include the stamp receipts.

No. IV.—IMPORTATION AND PRICE OF RICE®

Year		Imported	•	Market price of	Differ- ence between prices of	Import	Domestic production
Year	Quantity	Value	Average price per koku	domestic, per koku	imported and domestic, per koku	duty	in preceding year
	Koku	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Koku
1868-9	84,000	436,000	5.18	6.37	1.19	Free	1
1869-70	648,000	4,432,000	6.85	8.80	1.95	11100	****
1870-1	2,151,000	14,508,000	6.79	8.90	2.11	4.6	
1871-2	168,000	1,260,000	7.50	5.51	1.99	4.6	
1872-3	100,000	1,200,000	1.30	3.40	1.99	6.6	
1873-4	8,000	30,000	3.75	4.54	0.79	4.6	1
1874-5	4,000	24,000	6.00	6.96	0.06	4.6	
1875-6	4,000	22,000	5.50	6.87	1.37	4.6	
1876-7				4.88		6.6	
1877-8				5.17		4.6	27,744,000
1878-9				6.04		4.6	26,596,000
1879-80	50,000	248,000	4.96	7.88	2.92	46	25,283,000
1880-1	79,000	434,000	5.50	9.53	4.03	* **	32,419,000
1881-2	22,000	135,000	6.17	10.50	4.33	44	31,359,000
1882-3	3,000	20,000	6.67	8.86	2.19	4.6	29,971,000
1883-4			2.11	6.45	1 : : : :	4.6	30,692,000
1884-5	2,000	12,000	6.00	5.29	0.71	6.6	30,671,000
1885-6	118,000	674,000	6.47	6.75	0.28	4.6	26,350,000
1886-7	4,000	19,000	4.75	5.72	0.97	4.6	34,158,000
1887-8 1888-9	28,000	192,000	4.61	5.06	0.45	6.6	39,999,000
1889~90	5,000	137,000	6.85	6.08	0.30	44	38,646,000
1890-1	1.838,000	12,303,000	5.06	8.96	3.90	6.6	33,008,000
1891-2	671,000	3,908,000	5.82	7.07	1.25	44	34,038,000
1892-3	330,000	2,503,000	7.58	7.34	0.24	4.6	38,124,000
1893-4	560,000	3,255,000	5.81	7.41	1.60	4.6	41,379,000
1894-5	1,322,000	8,413,000	6.36	8.81	2.45	44	37,200,000
1895-6	671,000	4,357,000	6.47	8.88	2.41	4.4	41,866,000
1896-7	745,000	5,662,000	7.61	9.53	1.92	4.6	39,921,000
1897-8	2,520,000	21,528,000	8.25	11.87	3.62	4.4	36,200,000
1898-9	4,678,000	48,220,000	10.31	14.72	4.41	4.6	33,039,000
1899-1900.	660,000	5,960,000	9.03	10.03	1.00	4 6	47,388,000
1900-1	1,372,000	9,022,000	6.58	11.97	5.39	4.6	39,698,000
1901-2	1,245,000	11,879,000	9.54	12.29	2.75	6.6	41,466,000
1902-3	1,804,000	17,751,000	9.86	12.65	2.79	4.6	46,914,000
1903-4	4,865,000	51,960,000	10.68	14.44	3.76	44	36,932,000
1904-5	5,892,000	59,792,000	10.15	13.20	3.05	1.6 per	46,473,000
1905-6	4,638,000	47,981,000	10.26	12.84	2.58	100 kin	51,430,000
1906-7	2,440,000	26,172,000	10.73	14.69	3.96	r.6 ''	38,173,000
1907-8	2,708,000	30,931,000	11.45	16.43	4.98	1.6 "	46,303,000
1907-0	1,941,000	22,689,000	II.70	15.74	4.04	1.6 "	49,052,000
1000-10	1,325,000	13,586,000	10.25	13.18	2.93	1.6 "	51,394,000
1010-11	918,000	8,644,000	9.42	13.27	3.85	1.6 "	52,438,000
1911-12	1,719,000	17,721,000	10.31	17.28	6.97	2.50"	46,633.000
1912-13 ^b	645,000	7,965,000	12.36	19.62	7.26	2.50"	51,638,000

^a Prepared by the Toyo-keizai-shimpo-sha. ^b From January to May.

APPENDIX A

No. V.—Statistics Concerning the Bourses A.—Rates of dividend of the bourses, 1901–1905^b

Name of bourse or exchange 1901 1902 1903 1904 1905 Tokyo Rice 2.65 2.40 2.33 2.16 1.88 Tokyo Stock 1.35 1.83 1.29 1.35 2.15 Tokyo Merchandise 1.25 0.17 0.45 0.65 0.71 Ösaka Dojima Rice 1.55 1.75 1.55 1.25 1.45 Ösaka Stock 1.50 1.50 1.05 1.00 1.58 Ösaka Sugar 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Ösaka Sugar 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others 1.50 0.75 1.00 0.50 0.70 Yokohama Stock and Rice No dividend 0.00 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others No dividend 0.85 1.25 1.30 1.25 1.30 Kobe Rice, Stock and others 0.85 1.25 1.30 1.25 1.30 Nagoya Rice
Tokyo Rice 2.65 2.40 2.33 2.16 1.88 Tokyo Stock 1.35 1.83 1.29 1.35 2.15 Tokyo Merchandise 1.25 0.17 0.45 0.65 0.71 Ösaka Dojima Rice 1.55 1.75 1.55 1.25 1.45 Ösaka Stock 1.50 1.50 1.05 1.00 1.58 Ösaka Sampin 2.67 1.15 1.35 1.35 1.20 Ösaka Oil 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Ösaka Sugar 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others 1.50 No dividend 0.30 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others No dividend No
Tokyo Rice 2.65 2.40 2.33 2.16 1.88 Tokyo Stock 1.35 1.83 1.29 1.35 2.15 Tokyo Merchandise 1.25 0.17 0.45 0.65 0.71 Ösaka Dojima Rice 1.55 1.75 1.55 1.25 1.45 Ösaka Stock 1.50 1.50 1.05 1.00 1.58 Ösaka Sampin 2.67 1.15 1.35 1.35 1.20 Ösaka Oil 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Ösaka Sugar 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others 1.50 No dividend 0.30 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others No dividend No
Tokyo Stock I.35 I.83 I.29 I.35 2.15 Tokyo Merchandise I.25 0.17 0.45 0.65 0.71 Ösaka Dojima Rice I.55 I.75 I.55 I.25 I.45 Ösaka Stock I.50 I.50 I.05 I.00 I.58 Ösaka Sampin 2.67 I.15 I.35 I.35 I.20 Ösaka Oil 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Ösaka Sugar 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others I.50 No dividend 0.50 0.30 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others No dividend Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Kobe Merchandise No dividend
Tokyo Merchandise I.25 0.17 0.45 0.65 0.71 Ösaka Dojima Rice I.55 I.75 I.55 I.25 I.45 Ösaka Stock I.50 I.50 I.05 I.00 I.58 Ösaka Sampin 2.67 I.15 I.35 I.35 I.20 Ösaka Oil 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Ösaka Sugar 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others I.50 No dividend 0.30 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others No dividend Closed Closed Closed Closed Kobe Merchandise No dividend No dividend No dividend No dividend No dividend Kobe Rice, Stock and others 0.85 I.25 I.30 I.25 I.30
Ösaka Dojima Rice. I .55 I .75 I .55 I .25 I .45 Ösaka Stock. I .50 I .50 I .05 I .00 I .58 Ösaka Sampin. 2 .67 I .15 I .35 I .35 I .20 Ösaka Oil. 0 .85 0 .43 0 .75 0 .70 0 .70 Ösaka Sugar. 0 .50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others. No dividend No dividend 0 .30 0 .27 0 .80 Kobe Silk and others. No dividend No divide
Osaka Stock. I.50 I.50 I.05 I.00 I.58 Osaka Sampin. 2.67 I.15 I.35 I.35 I.20 Osaka Oil. 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Osaka Sugar. 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others. No dividend No dividend 0.30 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others. No dividend Closed Closed Closed Closed Kobe Merchandise. No dividend No dividend No dividend No dividend No dividend Kobe Rice, Stock and others. 0.85 1.25 1.30 1.25 1.30
Ösaka Sampin 2.67 I.15 I.35 I.35 I.20 Ösaka Oil 0.85 0.43 0.75 0.70 0.70 Ösaka Sugar 0.50 Closed Closed Closed Closed Yokohama Silk and others 1.50 No dividend No dividend 0.30 0.27 0.80 Kobe Silk and others No dividend Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed Kobe Merchandise No dividend No dividend
Osaka Oil
Osaka Sugar
Yokohama Silk and others1.500.751.000.500.70Yokohama Stock and RiceNo dividendNo dividend0.300.270.80Kobe Silk and othersNo dividendClosedClosedClosedClosedKobe MerchandiseNo dividendNo dividendNo dividendNo dividendNo dividendKobe Rice, Stock and others0.851.251.301.251.30
Yokohama Stock and RiceNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend Closed0.30 Closed0.27 Closed0.80Kobe Silk and othersNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend dendNo dividend dend
Kobe Silk and others
Kobe Silk and others
Kobe Merchandise
Kobe Merchandise
Kobe Rice, Stock and others dend dend dend dend dend l.25 l.30 l.25 l.30
Kobe Rice, Stock and others 0.85 1.25 1.30 1.25 1.30
NY TO
1145074 1100.,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,
Nagoya Stock 0.80 0.76 0.54 0.60 0.90
Nagoya Silk and Cotton No divi- Closed Closed Closed Closed
dend
Otaru Rice, Stock and others I . 75 3.50 No divi- No divi- No divi-
dend dend dend
Sendai Rice 0.70 0.32 0.50 No divi- 0.20
dend
Niigata Rice and Stock 3.50 0.98 1.70 1.10 1.30
Niigata Merchandise o.13 Closed Closed Closed Closed Closed
Tokushima Rice and Stock 0.80 0.38 Closed Closed Closed
Okayama Rice and Stock 1.10 0.35 1.00 0.74 0.90
Ôita Rice 0.80 0.19 0.80 Closed Closed
Shimonoseki Rice
Wakayama Rice 1.20 0.27 0.25 No divi- 0.25
dend
Matsuyama Rice and Stock 0.72 0.55 0.55
Kumamoto Rice 0.30 0.55

a Prepared by the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeiho-Shinsakai). b Average (1900-4): 1900, 1.81; 1901, 1.14; 1902, 0.89; 1903, 0.90; 1904, 0.78.

No. V.—Statistics Concerning the Bourses—Continued B.—Fees and other accounts of the bourses

Items	Merchandise	Negotiable instruments	Total
Amount of fees received 1903 1904 1905 Average	Yen 1,334,291 1,125,427 1,495,346 1,318,355	Yen 506,362 593,325 1,558,473 886,054	Yen 1,840,653 1,718,753 3,053,820 2,204,408
Amount of contracts transacted 1903	1,030,809,597 703,808,269 784,395,719 839,671,195	291,823,895 268,942,278 1,137,974,487 566,246,887	1,322,633,493 972,750,547 1,922,370,207 1,405,918,082
Distribution of fees a Taxes	941,275 74,148 257,776 222,147	1,365,569 61,890 73,946 57,068	2,306,844 136,038 331,722 279,215
Sundry receipts exclusive of feesa Interest on deposits Interest on instruments	188,746	29,078	217,824 107,298
Rent of lands and warehouses Interest on public loans	21,601		21,601
Fees on renewal of share certificates and others Interest on loans	129,677	13,628 116,865	143,305 116,865

^a According to the investigation of 1905.

C.—Amount of tax paid by bourses, 1899–1906

	Merchan	dise	Negotiable in	nstruments	National l	oans	Local loa	ans
Year	Value of contracts	Tax	Value of contracts	Tax	Value of contracts	Тах	Value of contracts	Tax
1899-1900 1900-1901 1901-1902 1902-1903 1903-1904 1904-1905 1905-1906	Yen 1,191,821,516 1,463,846,998 1,019,616,044 1,098,626,480 1,205,057,781 653,749,539 820,446,660	Yen 715,093 878,267 611,769 659,176 723,034 599,960 984,536	Yen 760,848,262 516,768,038 366,407,375 282,207,402 324,524,652 297,071,087 1,818,962,665	Yen 461,908 310,102 219,809 172,924 194,714 280,304 1,418,355	Yen 14,361 18,104 41,018 1,614 5,565 2,767 16,096	Yen 4 9 12 8	Yen 117,360 26,105 164 390 186	Yen 35 8

No. VI.—Comparison of Revenue of Individuals and Burden of Taxation^a

Prefectures		Yevenue	ne			National taxes	l taxes			Local taxes	co.	(
	Occupation	Source	Amount	Total	Land	Іпсоше	Business	Total	Prefec- tural	Municipal, town or village	Total	Grand total of taxes	Ratio of revenue to tax ^b
			Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Per cent
Hokkaido	Agriculture	Land: †27.7 (*643)	780.00	780	25,720	18,460		44,180	16,360	37,770	54,130	98,310	12.6
Aomori	I rade Agriculture		1,519.00	1,669	305,110	43,840	0.0.44	348,950	91,090	104,700	195,790	544,740	33.0
	Trade	- Im	150.00 I,163.00		6,400	36,110	55,000	94,510	12,820	76,990	89,810	187,320	16. I
Akita	Agriculture Trade		807.00	1,019	171,300	35,170	:	200,330	52,500	70,570	129,130	200,000	34.3
		ry ny	391.00	1,198	120,260	25,030	31,400	176,690	36,790	35,03I	71,820	248,510	20. I
Iwate	Agriculture		472.00 ISI.00	623	102,600	16,380	::	118,980	37,460	44,080	81,540	200,520	32.2
	Trade	Land: †0.7 (*269) Sales: 3,565 yen	62.00	629	15,550	17,220	27,200	59,970	12,400	22,280	34,680	94,650	13.9
Vamagata.	Agriculture		47.00 1,575.00	1,626	380,620	38,230	:	418,850	112,570	133,130	245,700	664,550	41.0
	Trade		\$0.00								1	1	,
		Sales: 6,400 yen Loan: 1.410 yen	163.00 224.00	438	14,290	8,760	22,150	45,200	13,350	14,200	27,550	72,750	10.0
Miyagi	Agriculture		210.00	300	36.070	14.360		50.430	20.320	83.680	113,000	163,430	41.0
		Sericulture: 143 pieces							200				•
	Irade	Loan: 12 yen	10.00	410	300	000'9	27,350	33,650	4,500	II,240	15,740	49.390	12.0
Fukushima	Agriculture	Land: †6 (*1,547)	451.00	. 0	0 = 660	0,600		000 40	0.0	200	00 + +0	108000	2 40
	Trade	Sales: 6,170 yen	525.00	525	000'/0	13,610	21,930	35,540	6,430	15,200	18,860	54,400	14.0 I4.0
Tochigi	Agriculture	Land: †10.3 (*3,765)	1,032.00	T 020	208 120	10 660		087 760	18 620	42 100	OT.720	310.500	30.4
	Trade	Land: †1.9 (*676)	152.00	1,032	2001	200164		2011	2000	4317	1111		1.00
		Loan: 3,295 yen	292.00	935	38,070	22,160	41,350	101,580	17,490	38,420	55,910	157,490	16.8
Ibaraki	Agriculture	-4-	650.00			,					,		
	E		45.00	695	122,170	13,360	::	135,530	28,230	28,730	26,960	192,490	27.0
	rade	Loan	29.00	463	7,930	8,020	42,130	58,080	6,950	14,740	21,690	79,770	17.2
Gunma	Agriculture	Sales: 7,500 yen	412.00										
			20,00	475	66,470	7,000	:	73,470	20,740	22,880	43,620	060'111	24.7
	Trade	Land: 74.3. (*108) Sales: 2,500 yen	354.00	448	10,520	8,100	24,030	42,650	8,100	18,170	26,270	68,920	15.4

Prepared by the Commission of inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeiho-Shinsakai). The figures marked with an asterisk (*) denote the assessed value of the land in yen: those marked with a dagger (†) the area of the land in tan.
 Total average ratio of revenue to tax: Peasantry, 28 per cent; traders, 14 per cent.

No. VI.—Comparison of Revenue of Individuals and Burden of Taxation a—Continued

18,940 30,520 49,460 12,720 12,590 25,310 40,280 17,670 25,310 40,280 17,670 24,200 48,970 10,410 21,520 31,930 10,410 21,520 31,930 10,410 21,520 31,930 10,500 9,130 10,500 10,140 10,500 10,140 10,
54,960 85,400 12,720 12,520 25,310 110,950 25,800 49,840 14,950 25,310 40,260 90,100 25,800 49,840 14,950 25,310 40,260 90,100 18,550 72,380 24,710 21,520 31,930 71,450 37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 30,700 40,780 9,130 16,460 196,930 30,700 40,780 9,130 16,460 196,930 30,700 40,780 9,130 16,460 196,930 30,700 40,780 9,130 16,460 196,930 47,500 40,360 19,560 28,690 69,470 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 47,500 40,780 16,500 19,560 28,690 69,470 135,680 155,840 16,500 16,500 16,400 196,930 20,580 16,500 16,500 16,400 16,400 160,400 20,5
25,800 40,840 14,950 28,610 100,280 268,510 40,840 40,840 14,950 25,310 40,260 90,100 18,550 72,380 24,710 24,250 48,970 127,270 18,550 72,380 24,290 41,310 65,600 137,980 37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 17,560 40,780 9,130 17,640 20,660 68,230 47,500 40,580 155,840 16,500 161,450 385,212 120,580 155,840 16,500 161,450 385,212 120,580 155,840 16,500 161,450 385,212 120,580 36,420 20,930 161,450 385,212 120,580 36,420 20,930 161,450 38,720 191,000 191,140 184,880 25,450 34,970 25,830 20,930 40,760 17,770 55,970 120,590 33,520 17,268 12,664 63,414 27,590 27,340 15,690 17,770 55,200 17,770 55,970 120,590 31,550 20,930 17,770 53,260 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 20,200 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 20,200 37,300 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 20,200 37,300 38,200 51,720 146,840 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 20,200 20,200 28,300 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300
25,800 49,840 14,950 25,310 40,260 90,100 18,550 72,380 24,710 24,200 48,970 127,270 18,550 72,380 24,290 41,310 65,600 137,980 37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 30,700 40,780 9,130 19,560 28,690 69,470 47,500 46,580 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 47,500 46,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 47,500 46,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 47,500 46,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 47,500 46,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 47,500 43,980 48,650 92,630 32,323 17,00 20,580 36,420 6,950 12,190 19,140 19,140 19,140 20,580 36,420 20,930 46,760 147,790 144,880 12,60 10,140 12,60
18,550 72,380 24,710 24,200 41,310 65,600 137,980 17.30 18,550 72,380 24,290 41,310 65,600 137,980 17.450 37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14.50 30,700 40,780 9,130 17,640 20,660 68,230 14.50 30,700 40,780 9,130 19,560 28,690 69,470 13.50 47,500 40,580 10,500 40,360 54,460 106,990 161,450 385,212 27.7 47,500 46,580 10,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23.5 47,500 46,580 10,590 48,650 92,690 101,400 13.5 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 10,140 83,720 12.5 47,500 24,570 12,190 10,140 83,720 12.5 20,580 36,080 70,530 101,060 27.5 20,580 50,770 3,200 10,400 10,400
18,550 72,380 24,290 41,310 65,600 137,980 17. 37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14. 37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14. 30,700 40,780 9,130 19,560 28,690 69,470 13. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 24,580 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 24,580 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 15,680 12,190 19,140 83,720 12. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,450 36,080 70,530 191,400 20,400 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,450 36,080 70,530 191,400 20,500 20 20,580 36,420 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 20 20,580 50,770 2,040 15,050
37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14- 30,770 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14- 30,700 40,780 51,370 53,230 104,600 196,930 29- 30,700 40,780 16,500 40,360 28,690 69,470 13- 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23- 47,500 64,580 6,050 12,190 19,140 83,720 12- 25,450 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,140 83,720 12- 120,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,140 83,720 12- 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12- 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12- 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12- 25,450 34,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10- 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10- 20,20,30 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11- 20,200 28,300 3,820 51,720 139,780 439,990 28- 20,200 28,300 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 25-
37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14. 30,770 40,780 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14. 30,770 40,780 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14. 30,770 40,780 1,130 19,560 28,690 69,470 13. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 64,580 6,050 12,190 19,140 83,720 12. 25,450 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 27,930 31,550 27,340 31,290 58,630 184,880 35. 27,930 31,550 27,340 12,800 17,770 55,970 10. 20,20,30 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 55,200 11. 20,20,30 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11. 20,20,20 28,300 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 25.
37,750 47,570 3,020 17,640 20,660 68,230 14. 30,700 40,780 9,130 19,560 28,690 69,470 13. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 64,580 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 64,580 16,500 48,650 92,630 323,270 23. 47,500 64,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 12. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 9. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 9. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,770 36,080 70,530 191,060 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 9. 20,580 36,420 5,330 12,050 36,414 13. 20,580 50,770 38,200 17,770 55,970 10. 20
30,700 40,780 51,370 53,230 104,600 196,930 29. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 64,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 12. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 27,930 34,670 35,160 15,050 50,210 184,880 35. 27,930 27,340 31,290 58,630 180,220 29. 27,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 55,970 110. 20,20,200 38,200 51,720 139,780 439,990 28. 20,200 28,300 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 25.
30,700 40,780 51,370 53,230 104,000 196,030 29. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 64,580 6,050 12,190 19,140 83,720 12. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,140 83,720 12. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 36,420 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 21,030 27,340 31,200 58,630 184,880 35. 27,930 31,550 27,340 31,290 58,630 180,220 29. 20,20,30 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11. 20,20,20 28,300 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 25.
25,450 155,840 166,990 161,450 385,212 27. 135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23. 47,500 64,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 12. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12. 27,930 31,550 27,340 31,290 58,630 180,220 29. 27,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11. 20,200 30 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11. 20,200 38,300 31,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 25.
20,580 155,840 16,500 161,450 385,212 27. 230,640 43,980 48,650 92,630 323,270 22. 47,500 64,580 34,450 36,080 70,530 191,060 27. 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 27. 20,580 34,970 2,040 31,150 33,200 68,170 12. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,150 33,200 68,170 12. 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,150 33,200 68,170 12. 27,930 35,200 27,340 31,280 17,770 55,970 10. 27,930 37,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11. 20,200 38,200 33,220 58,630 180,220 29. 20,200 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11. 20,200 38,300 31,820 51,720 139,780 439,990 28. 20,200 28,300 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300 25.
135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23 47,500 64,580 48,650 92,630 323,270 22 47,500 64,580 12,190 19,140 83,720 12 20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 9 20,580 36,420 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 27,450 35,160 15,050 50,210 184,880 35 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 13 27,930 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 38,300 3,820 5,380
135,680 155,840 16,500 40,360 56,860 212,700 23,3270 22,530 343,270 22,530 343,270 12,190 19,140 83,720 12,20 12,190 19,140 83,720 12,20 12,190 19,140 83,720 12,20 12,190 19,140 83,720 12,20 12,190 12,100 22,33,270 22,33 22,830 20,930 46,760 147,790 31 31 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 12 12,500 22,450 31,160 33,200 68,170 12
230,640 43,980 48,650 92,630 323,270 12 47,500 64,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 12 20,580 36,080 70,530 191,060 27 25,450 36,080 70,530 191,060 27 25,450 34,970 20,930 46,760 147,790 31 25,450 34,970 33,160 15,050 50,210 184,880 35 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 13 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 67,460 37,500 27,300 20,200 28,300 30,080 67,460 214,300 25
47,500 64,580 6,950 12,190 19,140 83,720 12 20,580 36,080 70,530 191,060 27 25,450 36,080 70,530 191,060 27 25,450 34,470 2,040 14,220 19,630 56,050 31 25,450 34,970 2,040 15,050 50,210 184,880 31 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 13 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 7 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 67,460 214,300 25
20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 9 25,450 34,970 2,040 11,150 33,200 68,170 31 25,450 34,970 2,040 15,050 50,210 184,880 35 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 13 7,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 31,550 2,850 17,70 53,260 11 20,200 28,300 130,780 130,780 18 20,200 28,300 130,780 37,500 21,700 20,200 28,300 130,780 37,500 21,700 20,200 28,300 130,780 37,500 21,700 20,200 28,300 37,500 37,500 37,500 20,200 28,300 37,500 37,500 37,500
20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 19,630 56,050 31,150 33,200 68,170 31,250 33,200 68,170 31,250 33,200 68,170 33,250 33,440 31,260 33,250 33,444 31,260 33,250 33,440 31,250 20,395 31,250 22,550 31,250 33,250 31,250
20,580 36,420 5,410 14,220 46,760 147,790 31 25,450 25,830 20,930 46,760 147,790 31 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 13 27,930 27,340 31,290 58,630 180,220 29 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 7 20,200 28,300 37,500 37,500 7 7
20,580 35,420 5,410 14,220 19,030 56,050 9 25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 12 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 13 27,930 27,340 31,290 58,630 180,220 29 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 10 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 11 20,200 38,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 7 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 7 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 7
25,450 34,970 2,040 31,160 33,200 68,170 32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 7,930 38,200 27,340 31,290 58,630 180,220 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 28,300 3,820 51,720 130,780 439,990 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 20,200 28,300 3,380 5,380 67,460 214,300
32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 28,300 3,820 51,720 139,780 439,990 20,200 28,300 3,7380 30,080 67,460 214,300
32,680 50,750 5,396 7,268 12,664 63,414 27,930 38,200 27,340 12,800 17,770 55,970 162,590 33,520 33,440 66,960 229,550 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 300,210 88,060 51,720 139,780 439,990 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 67,460 214,300
27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 28,300 51,720 139,780 439,990 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 37,500 20,200 28,300 37,300 37,380 67,460 214,300
27,930 38,200 4,970 12,800 17,770 55,970 20,930 33,520 33,440 66,960 229,550 20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 439,990 20,200 28,300 37,380 67,460 214,300
20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 20,200 20,200 20,200 20,200 2,820 31,720 130,780 439,990 20,200 2,830 3,820 5,380 9,200 3,7,500 3,7,380 30,080 67,460 214,300
20,930 31,550 2,850 18,860 21,710 53,260 20,200 28,300 3,820 51,720 139,780 439,990 20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 146,840 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300
20,200 28,300 3,820 51,720 139,780 439,990 37,500 136,840 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300
20,200 28,300 3,820 5,380 9,200 37,500 37,500 146,840 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300
146,840 37,380 30,080 67,460 214,300
2000
28,830 40,150 5,370 II,620 16,990 57,140

■ Prepared by the Commission of inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeiho-Shinsakai). The figures marked with an asterisk (*) denote the assessed value of the land in yen: those marked with a dagger (†) the area of the land in tan.

b Total average ratio of revenue to tax: Peasantry, 28 per cent; traders, 14 per cent.

No. VI.—Comparison of Revenue of Individuals and Burden of Taxation 8—Continued

,		Revenue	ne			Nation	National taxes			Local taxes	xes		J. 617 C
Prefectures	Occupation	Source	Amount	Total	Land	Income	Business	Total	Prefec- tural	Munic- ipal, town or village	Total	total of taxes	Revenue to taxb
		t	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Per cent
Shiga	Agriculture	Land: 75.5(*3,065)	802.00				:				0		• 1
		Reward: 72 ven	72.00		100,590	17,000	:	180,270	35,110	47,270	82,380	208,050	30. I
Kvoto	Trade	Sales: 4,056	730.00	730	29,970	8,700	42,200	80,872	8,110	13,170	21,280	102,152	14.0
	amainail Ru	Loan: 180 yen		006	167,610	8,860	:	176,470	56,010	42,610	98,620	275,090	30.6
	Trade	Sericulture: 197 pieces Sales: 7,660 yen	154.00	532		8.040	37.850	45.800	6.830	14.460	21.200	67.180	12.6
Osaka	Agriculture	Land: †1.8(*1,238)	275.00	717	1	× 000 ×		00,10					
	Trade		385.00	410	77,540	0,00,0	:	84,420	24,050	27,250	51,900	130,320	32.7
No.	,		48.00	433	:	7,640	40,860	48,500	061'6	17,270	26,460	74,960	17.3
Nara	Agriculture	Loan: 1,670 yen	188.00	972	166,420	16,420	:	182,840	61,150	36,170	97,320	280,160	28.8
	Trade	Land: 7.6(*395) Rent of houses: 3	141.00	813	25.210	17 680	20.883	004 04	21 420	24 440	2000	128 580	27
Wakayama	Agriculture	Sales: 4,100 yen	612.00	2					1	0++++	23,000	2000	C . C +
v anayama.	Sindingra		27.00	555	020,901	009'2		113,670	45,580	14,660	60.240	173,010	31.3
H yogo	Trade Agriculture	Sales: 20,000 yen Land: †4.5(*1,706)	828.00	827	010,010	20,160	35,800	75,870	15,360	18,150		109,380	13.2
	Trade	Sales: 6,000 yen								2000			
		Kent of houses: 302 yen	74 00	1,277	2,580	41,100	60,200	103,880	210	32,160	32,670	136,550	10.7
Hiroshima.	Agriculture		796.00	196	143,590	15,270	: ,	158,860	36,590	47,480	84,070	242,930	30.5
Okayama	1 rade Agriculture	Sales: 3,000 yen Land: †2.7(*1,507)	403.00	403	:	7,100	26,250	33,350	4,220	9,592	13,810	47,160	11.7
	Trade		125.00	460	82,250	6,920	:	89,170	23,080	23,870	46,950	136,120	29.6
	Tigae		354.00	366	4,490	7,320	22,450	34.270	3,110	8.200	11,300	45.570	12.4
Vamaguchi.	Agriculture	Land: †1.6(*805)	426.00	700	000	000		1		1 1		1 1 1	+ 1
	Trade	Land: 1.3(*35)	00.01	430	44,300	0,200	:	32,500	13,300	19,050	33,030	05,530	19.3
		Sales: 9,000 yen	279.00	853	2,790	20,520	40,130	63,440	9,240	26,640	35,880	99,320	9.11
Shimane	Agriculture	Land: †10.3(*5,086)	1,542.00	I,542	279,730	37,930	:	317,660	70,300	81,890	151,190	468,850	30.5
	Trade	Land: 7.6(*254)	79.00										
		9,300 yen 500 yen	791.00	1,097	21,520	22,950	57,130	101,600	23,200	82,090	105,290	206,890	18.8
		Kent of houses: 220	28.00						ì				
			20.00										

^a Prepared by the Commission of inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeiho-Shinsakai). The figures marked with an asterisk (*) denote the assessed value of the land in yen: those marked with a dagger (†) the area of the land in lan.

^b Total average ratio of revenue to tax: Peasantry, 28 per cent; traders, 14 per cent.

No. VI.—COMPARISON OF REVENUE OF INDIVIDUALS AND BURDEN OF TAXATION 8—Continued

			Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Yen	Per cent
Tottori	Agriculture	Forest: 12 yen	12.00										
		Land: †9.8(*4,178)	1,350.00	1,367	229,790	37,580		267,370	53,180	50,540	103,720	371,090	27.I
	Trade	Land: †.02(*152)	2.00										
		Rent of houses: 108	79.00	1,242	30,410	37,650	73,700	141,760	13,270	37,900	51,170	192,930	15.5
		Sales: 12,000 yen	7.00										
Fukuoka	Agriculture	Land: †5.7(*1,960)	668.00	899	109,890	99,540	:	119,430	16,920	33,920	50,840	170,270	25.5
	1 rade	Sales: 4,100 year Rent of houses: 2	503.00	624		7.5 220	000	60.270	8 620	72 480	27 100	OT ATO	
Nagasaki	Agriculture	Land: †14.2(*1,628)	802.00	400	:	13,430	43,000	016,00	0,040	004177	31,100	014176	74.4
	Trode	Loan: 100 yeu	15.00	0 0 I	89,540	17,470	. 1	010,701	38,970	66,170	105,140	212,150	26.0
Kumamoto.	Agriculture	Land: †2.9(*1,387)	519.00	519	76,320	8,320	49,500	84,640	12,510	25,310	36,030	121,570	23.4
3.6:1.:	Trade	Sales: 6,500 yen	800.00	800	:	19,680	47,050	66,730	5,180	25,450	30,630	97,360	12.1
Mlyazaki	Agriculture	Land: 71.0(*1,190)	309.00	228	26 770	7 7 80		010	201	041.00	27 6 20	000 101	0
		Sericulture: 6 pieces	0.00	330	40,570	00/,0	:	55,350	17,190	30,400	47,050	000,101	29.0
Oits	Trade	Sales: 3,600 yen	360.00	360	:	7,200	24,650	31,850	4,450	8,900	13,350	45,200	12.5
Olta	Ogi icuituic	Loan: 165 ven	327.00	340	42.820	0.040		18.860	12.700	12.400	27.100	76.050	21 7
	Trade	Sales: 3,638 yen	489.00	489	22124	8,520	33,550	42,070	5,960	15,430	21,390	63,460	
Kagoshima	Agriculture	Land: 16.4(*716)	273.00	273	39,460	7,020	:	46,480	12,140	18,910	31,050.	77,530	28.0
	Tade	Land: 7.4(*40)	18.00		C	0		,	,				
Saga	Agriculture	Sales: 4,500 yen Land: 74.4(*1.062)	400.00	418	2,880	8,380	24,700	35,960	21.860	22,600	30,490	66,450	15.9
	Trade	Sales: 1,850 yen	407.00			20101	•	20116	-	2	200140	241004	
		Land: 1.6(*15)	4.00	411	5,380	7,500	23,230	36,110	4,120	10,270	14,390	50,500	12.3
1 okusniam.	Agriculture	Land: 70.4(*1,788)	044.00	702	179,147	13,230	13,900	206,277	27,438	42,022	69,460	275,737	
	Trade	Land: 7.4(*72)	43.00										
		Loan: 721 yen	88.00										
		Sales: 6,700 yen Rent of houses: 320	403.00	579	13,370	096'6	23,700	47,030	2,060	7,510	14,570	009'19	10.9
Kochi	Agriculture	yen Land: †4.4 (*2,375)	45.00										
		Rent of houses: 210	. 1						1	1	- 7 - 0	1	
	Trade	Sales: 11.030 ven	704.00	704	131,840	22 850	44 080	140,810	19,710	29,250	48,900	195,770	27.8
Ehima	Agriculture	Land: †4.1(*1,155)	513.00	†	240,04	000,444	44,000	0/4//	0,550	2000	13,300	74,030	
		Loan: 50 yen	47.00	574	63,240	07,6	:	72,860	21,770	44,270	66,040	138,000	24.2
	Trade	Sericulture: 17 yer Land: 22 bu (*3)	14.00										
		Sales: 5,067 yen	557.00	558	150	0,020	30,880	40.050	0.830	18,304	28,233	60,183	12.4
Kagawa	Agriculture	Land: †3.7(*1,923)	562.00										
		tsubo	12.00	574	108,390	8,560	:	056,911	25,540	42,100	67,640	184,590	32.I
	Trade	Land: 7.05(*38)	26.00	610	0.40	0 10 0 1	66 230	00000	ory c	07 11 00	020	1	
		Loan: 150 yen	18.00	019	3,040	12,010	00,530	025,320	9,030	23,740	33,370	115,750	10.7
													ļ

^a Prepared by the Commission of inquiry into the Tax Laws (Zeiho-Shinsakai). The figures marked with an asterisk (*) denote the assessed value of the land in yen: those marked with a dagger (†) the area of the land in tan.

^b Total average ratio of revenue to tax: Peasantry, 28 per cent; traders, 14 per cent.

No. VII.—RETURNS ON ANTICIPATORY IMPORTATION OF PRINCIPAL COMMODITIES 1903-1906

Commodities	1905–6	1904-5	1903-4
Total and total of former	Yen	77	
Implements and tools of farmers,		Yen	Yen
mechanics, and cutlery makers	708,288	355,022	319,811
Musical and physical instruments Electric light apparatus and fire	384,717	247,185	272,322
engines	1,215,472	614,202	694,087
Photographic instruments and fittings	688,566	424,121	498,754
Wheat and wheat flour	13,963,459	11,162,170	15,492,258
Carbolic acid and antipyrin	705,331	344,913	303,178
Rice	47,981,265	59,791,910	51,960,272
Cotton seeds and sesame	1,841,859	1,254,660	1,202,130
Hides or skins of bulls, oxen, cows,		, 01,	, , ,
and buffaloes	2,077,996	2,319,226	825,814
Brass tubes and pipes	416,734	169,858	157,334
Copper tubes and pipes	571,818	284,774	245,957
Petroleum	12,061,261	18,201,489	11,455,696
Linseed oil and machine oil	970,703	572,755	683,542
Sugar	13,046,772	18,031,922	14,817,125
Cotton thread	628,703	476,674	325,356
Coke	728,283	192,539	79,136
Bicycles and parts thereof	1,364,383	953,199	972,972
Glue	340,179	217,080	154,083
Laundry soap	134,270	69,796	85,051

Prepared by the Toyo-keizai-shimpo-sha.

No. VIII.—Statistics Concerning Wages of Laborers.

A.—Increases of wages of general laborers, 1900–1910

0161	154.4 147.5 141.5 146.6 141.9 154.9	148.5
1909	153.5 144.5 132.6 139.3 136.8 152.2	144.1
1908	155.7 141.7 135.0 136.6 132.0 148.6	143.0
2061	142.9 134.1 126.0 131.5 125.8 125.8	134.1
906I	121.3 122.1 111.9 121.5 113.4 116.7	118.4
1905	111.8 114.9 109.7 112.5 111.2	112.1
1904	108.0 109.5 104.2 106.8 108.6	107.5
1903	111.5 111.3 105.0 108.6 111.2	109.5
1902	110.0 111.7 100.7 107.8 106.6 108.4	7.701
1061	108.1 107.5 102.4 103.4 100.9	104.7
0061	100 100 100 100 100	100
Kind of employment	House-building, etc Tool-making, etc Agriculture. Clothing, etc Food and drink manufacture Miscellaneous.	Total average

" "Quoted from the statistics prepared by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce. The figures for Table A represent the index number obtained by averaging the real figures of wages in respective years, while those for Table B represent the index number of wages in corresponding years. Hence, both numbers do not claim any approach to accuracy, although the general aspects of fluctuations in wages may be observed therein.

No. VIII.—Statistics Concerning Wages of Laborers *—Continued B.—Average daily wages of factory laborers and increases, 1900—1910

	Table	Index No.		100	103	103	107	113	601	114	129	ISI	136	135
Average	Table A	Index No.		100	IOI	901	901	112	109	110	127	142	132	131
	Tab	Wages	Yen	.365	.367	.388	.385	. 408	.398	.400	.465	. 522	.483	.480
Printing	0	Index No.		100	114	120	117	117	120	140	140	146	146	146
Prin		Wages	Yen	.350	.400	.420	.410	.410	.420	.490	.490	.510	.510	.510
Machine	ving	Index No.		100	89	89	93	100	96	72	112	105	112	109
Mac	dri	Wages	Yen	.570	.510	.510	.530	.570	.550	.410	. 640	009.	.640	.620
arriage man-	ure	Index No.		100	102	911	113	911	122	118	133	147	136	127
	utacture	Wages	Yen	.450	.460	. 520	.510	. 520	.550	.530	009:	. 660	019.	.570
Cotton-tis-	eaving	Index No.		100	108	120	108	108	100	124	136	160	156	144
Cotto	sue w	Wages.	Yen	.250	.270	.300	.270	.270	.250	.310	.340	.400	.360	.360
Cotton-	ning	Index No.		100	100	94	97	126	901	911	129	126	126	140
Cot	spin	Wages	Yen	.310	.310	.290	.300	.390	.330	.360	. 400	.390	.390	.430
ШТР	2111	Wages Index No.		100	104	112	112	112	112	115	123	220	142	142
Filature		Wages	Yen	.260	.270	.290	.290	.290	.290	.300	.320	.570	.370	.370
		Year		1900	100I	1902	1903	1904	1905	1906.	1907	1908	1909	1910

■ Quoted from the statistics prepared by the Department of Agriculture and Commerce. The figures for Table A represent the index numbers obtained by averaging the real figures of wages in respective years, while those for Table B represent the index number of wages in corresponding years. Hence, both numbers do not claim any approach to accuracy, although the general aspects of fluctuations in wages may be observed therein.

No. IX.—Judicial Disposition Passed for Recovery of Taxes in Arrears ^a
A.—Taxes in arrears pressed for payment

fems	1903-4	-4	1904-5	25-1	Increase or decreaseb over previous year	decreaseb ous year	1905-6	9-2	Increase or decreasebover previous year	decreaseb ous year
	Amount of taxes	No.of de- faulters	Amount of No.of de- Amount	No.of de- faulters	Amount of taxes	No.of de- faulters	Amount of taxes	No.of de- faulters	Amount of taxes	No. of de- faulters
	Yen		Yen		Ven		Yen		Yen	
Land tax	347,888	331,052	506,965	356,122	126,077	25,070	1,045,982	352,924	539,016	3,198
Business tax	523,045	97,043	955,421	101,469	432,366	4,426	1,366,230	93,894	410,809	17.575
sake tax	1,202,070	4,039	1,072,455	3,964	-129,614	-75	564.564	3,195	-507,890	694—
soy tax.	32,617	I,ISI	37,753	21,178	5,135	20,027	27,629	22,555	-10,123	1,374
n patent medicines	10,409	5,086	15,434	5,699	5,025	613	18,320	5,244	2,886	-455
	82,800	1,615	144,645	3,185	61,844	1,570	360,635	5,594	215,989	2,409
sourse tax	3,580	13	843	4	-2,736	6-			-843	4-
stamp receipts	109	469	649	349	539	—I20	20,880	3.450	20,231	3,101
Total	2,528,386	499,372	3,186,472	559,383	658,074	110,00	4,405,979	604,868	1,219,507	45,482

B.—Seizure of property carried out for the recovery of taxes

decreaseb ous year	No. of de- faulters	6,080 2,160 181 -338 211 375 62	8,763
Increase or decreaseb over previous year	Amount of taxes	Yen 35,663 16,829 16,829 37,061 —432,889 —3,079 31,905	-313,935
9	No.of de- faulters	43,054 5,149 9,083 811 1,333 4995 533 84	61,442
9–5061	Amount of No.of de- faulters taxes faulters taxes faulters faulters	Ven 79,407 31,574 99,443 545,848 2,034 1,557 39,470	799,988
decrease ^b	No.of de- faulters	8,637 658 1,701 1,044 499 0	11,783
Increase or decrease bover previous year	Amount of taxes	Ven 16,907 5,200 32,859 -39,919 819 373 93.2	17,076
-5	No.of de- faulters	37,874 2,989 8,902 1,124 1,124 462 158 1	52,679
1904-5	Amount of taxes	Ven 43,744 14,744 162,381 078,737 5,113 1,538 7,534	1,113,91°
4-	No.of de- faulters	29,237 2,331 7,201 1,264 7,84 471 109 1	40,896
1903-4	Amount of taxes	Yen 26,836 9,544 29,522 1,018,653 4,294 1,165 6,632 148	1,097,244
Tems	211774	Land tax. Income tax Business tax Sake tax. Soy tax Business tax on patent medicines Mining tax Bourse tax Stamp receipts	Total

■ Tables A, B, C, D indicate the actual conditions for each fiscal year of the judicial dispositions for the recovery of taxes in arrears, leaving out of account the amount brought over from the preceding fiscal year. The amount increases slightly with the years; but if allowance is made for the amount brought over, mainly consisting of the sake tax in arrears, the total arrearages since 1902—3 result in gradual decrease.

■ Indicated by minus sign.

الم الم

No. IX.—[udicial Disposition Passed for Recovery of Taxes in Arrearsa—Continued C.—Arrears recovered by the dispositions

ncrease or decrease ^b over previous year	of No. of de- faulters	59 2,829 58 371 58 213 78 296 99 55 99 55 71 41 73 3	3,224
Increase over pr	Amount	Yen 4,659 4,400 7,458 -293,678 -2,599 1,381 -71	278,220
9	of No.of de-Amount faulters taxes	8,566 876 876 1,121 370 194 63 63	11,261
9-5061	Amount of taxes	Yen 9,200 6,499 13,531 272,063 2,188 2,188	304,337
ncrease or decreaseb over previous year	No.of de- faulters	16 108 274 7 125 9 9 9	214
Increase or decrease ^b over previous year	of No.of de- Amount of No.of de- Amount of No.of de- Amount faulters taxes faulters taxes	Ven 1,003 2,965 84,886 603 71	89,002
1 5	No.of de- faulters	5,737 507 508 666 139 139 11	8,037
1904-5	Amount of taxes	Ven 4.640 2,099 6,072 565,742 3,143 807 71	582,555
-4	No.of de- faulters	3,753 3,909 6,34 6,34 1,54 1,55 1,55 1,55 1,55 1,55 1,55 1,5	7,823
1903-4	Amount of taxes	Ven 3,536 2,210 3,106 480,855 2,539 1,172	493,552
-	TEIRS	Land tax. Income tax Business tax. Soke tax. Soy tax. Mininess tax on patent medicines. Mining tax. Bourse tax. Stamp receipts.	Total

D.—Arrears that resulted in loss to Treasury

Increase or decrease	No. of derfaulters	337 1,544	1,002	-41 95	6	1,683
Increase or decreas	Amount of No.of de-Amount of No.	Yen 691 1,917	-298,266 -304	7,053	47	268,699
9	No.of de- faulters	753	348	392 149	6	11,125
1905-6	Amount of taxes	Yen 3,037 14,927	82,740 583 583	843 10,434	47	155,208
decreaseb ous year	No.of de- faulters	-237 118	- 58 143	54		786
Increase or decreaseb over previous year	Amount of taxes	Yen 55 2,890	12,101	233		19,032
75	No.of de- faulters	1,090	4,370 393 165	433		9,442
1904-5	Amount of taxes	Yen 2,345 13,010	381,007 887	919		423,906
4-	No.of de- faulters	1,327	3,503 451 22	379 81		8.656
1903-4	Amount of taxes	Yen 2,290 10,119	368,906	7,315	: :	404,872
Thomas	Items	Land tax.	Sake tax Soy tax	Business tax on patent medicines Mining tax	Bourse tax	Total

Tables A, B, C, D indicate the actual conditions for each fiscal year of the judicial dispositions for the recovery of taxes in arrears, leaving out of account the amount brought over from the preceding fiscal year. The amount increases slightly with the years; but if allowance is made for the amount brought over, mainly consisting of the sake tax in arrears, the total arrearages since 1902-3 result in a gradual decrease.
b Indicated by minus sign.

APPENDIX B

RECENT PROBLEMS RELATING TO INDIRECT TAXES, ESPECIALLY EXCISES



RECENT PROBLEMS RELATING TO INDIRECT TAXES, ESPECIALLY EXCISES

GENERAL REMARKS

As the foregoing investigation shows, the war imposts in Japan consist chiefly of indirect taxes, especially excises. In the present condition, the excises form an integral part of the Japanese tax system. Closer examination of their genesis and development, however, brings to light the fact that most of them were established and have been retained down to the present time for the purpose of war and armament. Such being the case, it is not an exaggeration to assert that the problem whether or not to abolish excises, is not less important than the problem whether or not to abolish war taxes. In the investigation proper, my endeavor was mainly to elucidate the facts concerning the historical and statistical development of war imposts and their effects upon national economy and finance. In this appendix, we shall turn our attention to the contemporary currents of thought and examine the opinions of contemporary statesmen and theorists respecting the aforesaid facts: but because of the limited space allowed for this theme, all I can do is to outline general features, leaving the detailed points out of consideration. As I stated in the investigation proper, the total of the war taxes in this country at the present time amounts to 180,034,154 yen, of which 34 per cent is the proceeds of direct taxes and the remaining 66 per cent the proceeds of indirect taxes. As to the effect of war taxation upon the national economy and finance, it is the latter group of levies that played the more important rôle. I also noted the fact, in the preceding research, that while the financial effects of war taxation resulted in the expansion of the national and the restriction of the local finances, its economic effects were the oppression of smallincome-earning classes, such as small peasants and laborers, and the unfair distribution of the national income. It will not be a difficult thing to see that this is a description of actualities which lie in the objective region of facts, without any subjective coloring of prejudice or bias. Now, what I want to depict in the following pages are the attitudes and opinions of the statesmen and scholars in Japan toward these facts: namely, in spite of their recognition of this deplorable state of things, do they intend to leave it alone as a necessary evil, in consideration of the unfavorable economic, financial, and other situation of the country, or do they take the opposite view and assert the necessity of the reform and readjustment of the taxes in question, and, if so, what are their leading principles and what measures do they recommend? To describe these currents of thought more fully will be my task in the ensuing pages.

EXCISE PROBLEM IN THE IMPERIAL DIET

During the half century from the dawn of the Meiji Era down to the present day of Taisho, as I noted in the main part of the investigation, the introduction of new and the increase of old taxes have been repeated six times for the defraying of the expenditures of war and expenses of armament, and all such measures were always attended with the establishment and extension of excises. Of the six cases, however, those we experienced prior to promulgation of the constitutional law of the Empire, in the twenty-third year of Meiji, are too insignificant with respect to their amount to supply the reader with a topic of general interest. though those cases occurring after the Sino-Japanese War. especially the one at the time of the Boxer Uprising, provoked a hot discussion in the Parliament, still they failed to attract the attention of the public sufficiently to constitute a popular question. It is only in relation to the twice-

¹ In an article entitled "Japanese System of Finance, especially of Taxation," which appeared in the January, 1908, number of the Japanese Economic News, Professor Kambe published his opinion concerning the tax-system adjustment, in which he proposed the abolition of the textile excise, contrary to the views here stated. (Kambe, Financial Essay, pp. 389-410.)

repeated measure of emergency special taxation that they became the focus of controversies among the intellectuals and grew to be a general theme of public discussion. Numerous were the opinions published by official and unofficial persons at this juncture, containing various projects and criticisms (comparable to those that have been uttered on like occasions in occidental countries) concerning methods of raising means sufficient to defray the war expenditures: namely, which is the more reliable source to be depended upon—the proceeds from public loans or receipts from taxation; and if the latter be preferable, which of the two is to play the more important rôle—direct taxes or indirect ones? Yet, generally speaking, the original proposals of the authorities were enforced under the spellbinding words kyokoku icchi (national solidarity) and were accepted by the general public as an inevitable sacrifice in the unprecedented emergency.

In view of the general scheme of this treatise, it seems to me quite natural to begin with the examination of these opinions respecting the excise problem at that time. Unfortunately, however, we have scant sources of reliable information in this connection, partly because of the high-handed suppression of freedom of speech by the authorities in the strained circumstances of war time, partly because of the absence of saner reflection and cooler judgment on the part of the nation, fanatic under the chauvinistic slogan of "national solidarity." Under such conditions, therefore, the sources which I can use for this purpose are limited to the record of the Imperial Diet concerning the progress of the excise problem on the one hand and the opinions expressed in the most influential of non-official papers and journals on the other. From these documents, we know that most of the supporters of the increased tax bill approved it only as supplementary to the revenue from the public loans raised to defray the war cost, and that even some of them went so far as to profess absolute opposition to measures for the increase of taxes (insisting upon adhesion to the public loan policy). But as to the excises in particular, we have no knowledge of any opinions expressed worthy of our notice, except some articles in the Oriental Economic Journal, which declared against the levies in question.1 It was after the restoration of peace, when the continuation of the Emergency Special Tax Law and the subsequent attempt to increase excises became the subject of severe contention, that the excise problem awakened the interest of the nation at large and became the center of public controversy. So, in the present chapter the development of the excise problem after the Russo-Japanese War will be described in its general features, leaving out of account those prior to the emergency. The twenty-second session of the Imperial Diet, the first session since the restoration of peace, was convoked on December 2, 1905. The nation, anticipating an enormous expansion of the national finance, owing to the post-bellum arrangement, attentively watched the actions of both the government and the Diet, as seriously affecting the fortunes of the Empire. The cabinet at that time, with Marquis Kimimochi Saionji as its premier, was under the influence of the Seivūkai Party. The government, having decided to adhere to the schemes of the preceding cabinet en bloc with respect to the framing of the budget, submitted to the Legislature a project rendering war taxes permanent under the name of the Bill Relating to the Continuation of Emergency Special Taxes, together with proposals for establishing a sinking fund and issuing new loans. Despite the fact that the emergency taxes had been introduced in order to meet the war expenses at the time of the Russo-Iapanese hostilities, and should have been abolished on the last day of the year following the restoration of peace, the authorities, who were compelled to seek resources of a permanent nature for

¹ Dr. Horie, in the chapters on taxation in his work *Science of Finance* (4th ed.), and Dr. Utsunomiya, in the first volume of his work *Science of Finance*, have noted their views upon the problem. Dr. Tajiri proposed the abolition of the salt monopoly in his *Economic Essays* (pp. 54–5), while Dr. Kudo explained the levying procedure of the existing excises in his instructive report at the tenth convention of the Society for Social Policy (November, 1915), under the title "Method of Assessment of Various Excises," (Ninth volume of the publications of the Society).

defraying the increased "compulsory" expenses, such as the redemption and payment of interest on public loans, pensions, and annuities, endeavored to render the imposts in question permanent and to cover the expanded expenditures by the thereby increased revenue, amounting to 160,000,000 yen.

First, in order to get a glimpse of the general trend of public opinion, let us examine the attitude taken by the influential newspapers toward the question. The Tokyo Asahi advised postponing the presentation of the bill until the next session in order to permit an adequate investigation, for the reason that "such a hasty decision is unkind to the nation, even if the continuation of the war taxes be unavoidable," while the Nihon argued that "the thoughtless demand for the entire abolition of the war taxes is improvident at this juncture, when the exigency of the National Treasury calls for remedy from this direction." The Mainichi declared its attitude toward the proposal as follows: "It was in anticipation of being able to command some other resources, in consequence of the victorious conclusion of the hostilities, that the government fixed the term of validity to the Emergency Special Tax Law. However, when the authorities failed to attain the desired object, they threw the burden of the war expenses on the shoulders of the nation. The government should apologize to the nation for this course of things. So, we recommend to the authorities to negotiate with the people concerning the measure to be adopted in the spirit of sincerity and thus endeavor to realize their demand of increased taxation." Hence, we see that the opinions of the journalistic world were quite varied.

In the committee of the House of Representatives we find distinct groups of opinions in respect to the project in question; the first disapproved the bill as a whole, the second demanded the repeal of the law on March 31, 1907, viz., the extension of the term of validity of the Emergency Special Tax Law for one additional year, while the third supported the government's proposal without any alteration. In

connection with the presentation of the bill, the government announced at a meeting of the committee that it intended to appoint a commission on tax-law inquiry and to carry out in two years the adjustment extending the entire scope of the tax law; and when a division was taken, the original bill passed the commission by a majority. At the regular sitting of February 8, a vote on the Sinking Fund Bill was followed by debate on the project under notice. The opposition declared against the bill for the following three reasons: (1) to force the government to act upon its promise, (2) to induce the government to announce its sincerity to the nation, and (3) because of the absence of consistency in the Premier's administrative policy; whereas the government party supported the proposal, contending that, as the authorities proposed to reform the tax law in two years, appointing a commission on tax law inquiry, for this short period the public had strength enough to bear the burden resulting from the continuation of the war taxes. After the termination of heated controversies the original bill was passed.

On February 13 the same bill was sent to the House of Peers. In the committee an amendment was moved that the law should be repealed on March 31, 1908, but this was defeated and the original bill was passed by a majority. At the regular sitting of March 23, some members opposed the bill on the ground that the continuation of the emergency special taxation was a violation of the promise on the part of the government. Others moved an amendment to continue the law until March 31, 1908, and still another member supported the bill by alluding to the fact that "the continuation is inevitable under the present situation of the national finance." Upon division, the government's scheme was finally approved by a great majority.

In the manner above noted, emergency special taxes were made permanent; yet no small number of members of the House of Representatives, desiring the abolition of the salt monopoly, presented a bill at the twenty-third session of the Diet, summoned on December 25, 1906, abolishing the salt

monopoly. The bill, however, was rejected, and the only thing accomplished was the approval of a representation to the same end by a great majority.

Discontented with the continuation of the emergency special taxes, the government submitted to the Diet, at its twenty-fourth session, commencing on December 27, a bill for increased taxation, together with a project of tax-law adjustment. The same bill aimed at the extension of excises, with an expected increase of revenue, coming up to 15,000,000 yen after the fiscal year 1909—10, from the extension of the tax on sake and the sugar excise, the introduction of the petroleum excise, and the advancement of tobacco prices. The opinions of the members of the committee regarding the bill were at variance. Mr. Matsuda, the Minister of Finance, explained the bill as follows:

"Despite the fact that of the expenses necessary to carry out at this time what had been planned in the fiscal year 1907-8 the greater part could be covered only by raising public loans, the issue of loans was next to impossible under the present unfavorable economic conditions, foreign and domestic. Again, the government's endeavor to meet the exigency by postponing some part of its undertakings and by retrenching expenditures was in vain. Thus, there was no way open but to have recourse to the scheme of increased taxes. Further, granting the inevitableness of increasing taxes, what reason was there for raising that additional revenue exclusively from taxes on consumption? The government committee explained that the reason the government attempted to get the increased revenue exclusively by extending excises was that the share of the public burden apportioned to direct taxes was disproportionately heavy, owing to the increased assessment during war time, amounting to 160,000,000 yen. While in the fiscal year 1903-4 the percentages of direct and indirect taxes had been 35.8 and 64.2, respectively, after the levying of the war taxes they changed to 40.4 and 59.6, thus necessitating the extension of excises in order to restore the equilibrium. After the closure, all

the bills were passed with a slight amendment in regard to the tax on sake. At the regular sitting of the lower House, though not without a considerable number of opponents, the bill as amended by the committee was approved. the House of Peers also, both in the committee and in the regular sitting, the bill was passed by a great majority. the sitting, 148 voted for and 45 voted against the bill. Now, let us review the main points of the discussions at the session of the House of Peers. The principal reasons for the opposition there were the following: (1) The government had not taken into consideration the surplus of 1908-9, amounting to 33,000,000 yen, and, moreover, the consideration of the bill had better be postponed until the Peers were informed of the outcome of the deliberations still going on in the Lower House concerning the tax-law adjustment, which might bring about more or less surplus in the revenue; (2) if the government could manage to postpone or curtail some part of expenditures for armament, which had expanded stupendously since the Sino-Japanese and Russo-Japanese wars, it would be able to dispense with the extension of excises and relieve the lower classes from the oppression thereof; (3) at this moment the burden borne by the nation had reached its extreme point and there was no possibility of adding to it. On the other hand, the supporters justified the project for a twofold reason. (1) To make solid the foundation of our finance, it was necessary to frame a sound scheme extending over several years, and to frame a sound financial scheme we could not rely upon an accidental surplus but must look for some other permanent resources: (2) however desirable the recuperation of the economic powers of the nation, it was inadmissible, in view of the international relations of the Empire, to cut down or greatly postpone the expenses of armament."

In this way the bill for increased taxes was approved; but what was the fate of the Bill for Tax-Law Adjustment, which was submitted to the Diet with the above-mentioned bill? The bill was a project of law which provided for adjustment

of the land tax and of twenty-seven other taxes. But here I will introduce the bill so far only as it concerns indirect taxes: (a) As to the textile excise, hitherto woolen fabrics and other fabrics had been treated differently with regard to the method of assessment and the tax rate, but the bill was intended to abolish this discrimination and adopt the method of taxing transactions at the rate of 10 per cent ad valorem; (b) as to the travelers' tax, the bill maintained the existing law as it stood, with one exception, that is, exemption of fares less than I sen; (c) as to the salt monopoly. the bill aimed at gradual cheapening and equalization of retail prices throughout the whole country, and for this purpose the government was directed to resort to divers measures, such as appointment of wholesale and retail dealers. sending the commodity to the wholesale dealers at the government's expense, thus enabling them to supply salt at fixed prices, adjustment of the salt fields which required higher cost of production, and importation of salt from Formosa or other places where the article was produced at smaller cost; and, further, in order to realize an abundant and cheap supply of salt, the government was to establish its own shops, bear the cost of sale and transportation, reduce the minimum selling unit, facilitate joint purchases by purchasing societies, and endeavor to reduce the money to be paid as compensation to manufacturers. Thus, the authorities attempted, generally speaking, to maintain the existing system of indirect taxes as in case of direct taxes. Compared with the estimates of the fiscal year 1907-8, the shrinkage of revenue incidental to the reform was estimated at 2,137,045 yen. But in regard to indirect taxes, reduction of the textile excise by only 1,189,578 yen was to be effected, while increases of the traveling taxes and of the tax on sake by 30,530 and 227,786 yen, respectively, were expected. The bill under consideration was rejected en bloc at the final meeting of the committee after several deliberations. The reasons for the rejection were different as between the government and opposition parties; whereas the former contended that, as the bill required a closer investigation, a year's delay should be granted to the government for composing a more perfect and accurate project, the latter argued to the effect that the bill embodied materially the proposals of increased taxes and did not touch fundamental reforms of the tax law in the least. The same bill, which had been presented to the regular sitting on March 9, unfortunately fell through, owing partly to the withdrawal by the government and partly to the disapproval of the Assembly.

Although the emergency special taxes had been continued and the bill for increased taxes had been carried, not a few members of the opposition cherished animosity toward it, which feeling became embodied, during the twenty-fourth session of the Diet, in the bill repealing the salt monopoly, the textile excise, and the traveling tax, popularly known as the "Bill for the Repeal of the Three Bad Taxes," by Mr. Saburo Shimada, and seconded by other members. bill was justified on the grounds that the salt monopoly not only did not yield much profit but entailed a heavy burden on the nation and oppressed the livelihood of the lower classes in particular, that the textile excise hampered the development of industries and impeded the growth of foreign trade, to say nothing of its embarrassing mode of collection, and that the traveling tax, levving upon the use of civilized means of communication, hindered the development of traffic, and especially caused no small discomfort to the lower population.

The project of repealing the salt monopoly, among others, about which a representation had been passed by a great majority in the last session, was defeated, though not a few members of the government party voted for it, together with the proposals concerning the textile excise and the traveling tax, both in the committee and in the regular sitting. In the committee there were none who opposed the bill by denying the notorious nature of the three taxes. Diverse as were the opinions against the project, they agreed on the one point that it was imprudent to insist on the abolition at the

present, when the bill for increased taxes had been passed and the government had no resources at its disposal to replenish the deficiency; whereas the main purport of the supporters' opinions was that the fiscal deficit due to the repeal of the three taxes might be met by retrenchment in the budget. On a division the number of ayes and noes were even, and the project of abolishing the traveling tax suffered a similar fate, the vote on the bill being 11 for and 15 against. In the regular sitting, Mr. Saburo Shimada, presenter of the bill, and others energetically argued for it, referring to the fact that the three taxes were unfair in distributing public burdens and injured the growth of national production. After the conclusion of debates a vote was taken, and the bill was defeated by 200 noes out of 322 votes.

Not being disheartened in the least, Mr. Saburo Shimada again presented the same bill, at the commencement of the twenty-fifth session of the Diet, viz., a bill repealing three taxes, that is, the salt monopoly, the traveling tax, and the textile excise. The project proposed to repeal the three taxes at the end of 1910. Mr. Shimada stated the reasons for the presentation, and said:

The obnoxious nature of the three taxes was universally admitted, with the sole exception, perhaps, of the authorities; nay, even the authorities did not deny that they were bad imposts, yet they opposed the proposal on the ground of the shortage of resources; thus, the problem was essentially a problem of resources; but going a step further, the problem was a problem of confidence in the present government rather than the problem of resources, for if the government had listened to the unanimous cry of the nation against the notorious taxes, and endeavored to search for the proper resources, the problem of resources would never have taken place.

In response to this, Prime Minister Taro Katsura opposed the bill by stating that under the existing circumstances of national finance the government could not, with all its efforts, find any source of taxation large enough to offset the reduced revenue of 35,000,000 yen, which would result from the repeal of the three taxes. After a criticism which Mr. Shimada made, to the effect that according to the anticipation of the

Minister of Finance of the preceding cabinet the increased revenue from the tax on sake and the petroleum excise would amount to 11,400,000 yen in 1910 and 20,000,000 yen in 1913, and the profits of the tobacco monopoly to 7,760,000 yen in 1910 and 9,800,000 yen in 1913, which figures, added together, would be more than enough to supplement the deficiency due to the abolition of the three taxes, the discussion was continued between him and the Premier; but, upon a division, the bill was again rejected.

Together with the bill relating to the repeal of the three taxes, the question of customs duty on grains became a center of discussion during this session of the Diet. The Seiyūkai and Daidō Club presented a reform bill relating to the customs tariff law and import tariffs, proposing to double or more than double the existing rates of customs duty on grains, by means of which they intended to protect the interests of land owners through the artificial advance in prices of rice and other cereals. They also presented a separate bill imposing different tax rates on cleaned and uncleaned rice. Though accepted by the committee, of which the Seiyūkai and Daidō Club formed a majority, at the regular sitting the debates on the bills led to the utter confusion of the Assembly, and a division did not take place.

The Tax-Law Adjustment Bill, which had been submitted to and rejected by the twenty-third session of the Diet, was again presented, after reinvestigation to the Legislature during its twenty-sixth session. The outlines of the adjustment bill, as far as it is concerned with excise problems, are as follows: (1) as to the traveling tax, passengers who traveled within cities, or places deemed as such, were to be exempted; (2) as to the tax on refined sake, three months' delay was to be allowed for the payment if adequate securities were given, and the tax should be remitted if the sake was used for industrial purposes during the period; (3) as to the sugar excise, the existing graduation of four classes should be changed into one of six, and tax rates were to be reduced; (4) as to the textile excise, the rate of 15 per cent

imposed on woolen fabrics was to be reduced to 10 per cent. as in the case of other textile fabrics; (5) as to the salt monopoly, (a) the profit rate was to be lowered in regard to the salt with specially reduced prices, (b) the payment for the transport expenses of purchased salt to be reduced, and, finally, (c) adjustment of salt fields to be carried out, the deficiency occasioned thereby to be supplemented by importing the salt with lower cost of production from Formosa and Kwantung Province, and increased profits due to this process and reduced expenses due to the adjustment of salt fields to be disposed for the purpose of lowering the selling prices of salt. The reduction of revenue (putting both direct and indirect taxes together, but setting aside the salt monopoly) was estimated at 10,111,000 yen, of which the reductions of the indirect taxes were 1,007,000 yen in the case of the traveling tax, 2,034,000 yen in that of the sugar excise, and 1,041,000 ven in that of the textile excise. Furthermore, the profits of the salt monopoly were to be reduced (1) by 10,000 ven in consequence of the lowered prices of the salt with specially reduced prices (fiscal year 1910-11); (2) by 174,000 ven in consequence of the diminished revenue resulting from the reduced assessment for transport expenses of purchased salt (fiscal year 1910-11); (3) by 312,000 yen (fiscal year 1911–12) and by 634,000 yen (fiscal year 1912–13) in consequence of the reduced price due to the adjustment of salt fields. Summing up all the items above mentioned, the remissions of indirect taxes came to 6,668,000 yen. After the closure, the project of repealing the transit duty on city traffic, having the Seiyūkai as its opponents, was disapproved on the ground that, although the law provided for determining by ordinance what should be regarded as the vicinity of a city, it would be impossible to determine this precisely, and that the revision would exert no small influence upon the resources of the national coffers. The Revised Sugar Excise Bill was passed with no objection. to the rate of the textile excise, the revised bill was accepted, but the clause, stipulating that textile dealers who made false answer to the questions put by revenue officials should be fined was blotted out from the original bill as sounding too drastic. The Salt Monopoly Adjustment Bill was accepted with slight amendment by the House of Representatives and the amendment passed the House of Peers. This is the first session of the Diet in which the remission of excises obtained approval, the total sum of which amounted to 3,661,000 yen, the diminution of receipts from the sugar excise and the textile excise being 3,075,000 yen and that of profits from the salt monopoly 585,000 yen.

However, this adjustment can by no means be called fundamental, and the opposition party did not cease to claim radical remissions of taxes. In the debate on the budget in the twenty-seventh session of the Diet, Mr. Tokitoshi Taketomi brought forward a motion to send back the budget to the government, and explained the reasons for it, in the course of which he touched the tax-law problem, and said;

We can not help being surprised at the arrogant pretension of the government that the emergency taxes have been for the most part adjusted. When and how has the government adjusted the emergency special taxation? What has been done in regard to the income tax or the business tax, the unfair distribution of the burden of which taxes the government expressly recognized and declared that the remission of them was an urgent necessity? How about the textile excise and the salt monopoly? The adjustment, which the government is so proud of, is nothing but the reduction of the tax rates of the land tax by 8 per cent and the continuation of emergency special taxes as permanent ones. I can not see the reason why the same government which recognized the necessity of taxlaw reform last year should now remain indifferent toward it. The traveling tax is still obnoxious, and as to the textile excise and the salt monopoly, groanings are heard from all directions, of which the government must have full knowledge.

After the conclusion of the discussions, the motion was lost upon a vote, and the problem has made no further progress, except for the government's promise in the twenty-eighth session concerning the salt monopoly, among other indirect taxes, that it would endeavor to make adequate investigation with the object of mitigating it.

Being an extraordinary session, convened for the vote of expenses of the Imperial funeral incidental to the demise of Emperor Meiji, the twenty-ninth session of the Diet expired without touching the problem in the least. In the thirtieth session of the Diet, under the regime of Yamamoto's Cabinet, in spite of the promise that the government would endeavor to carry out a reduction of taxes upon the basis of the investigation prepared by the preceding Saionji Cabinet, what the government achieved in this connection was but an announcement that from and after the year 1913 the profits of the salt monopoly should be reduced by 2,150,000 yen.

In the thirty-first session, upon explaining the financial scheme for the fiscal year 1914-15, Yamamoto's Cabinet announced nothing positive in regard to the tax-system adjustment, but only that such measures as were deemed necessary in consequence of the results of the investigation should be submitted to the Diet. The realization of this announcement, however, seems to have been restricted to the reduction of direct taxes, such as the business tax; the succession duty, the tax on bourses, and others, and never extended to indirect taxes. Thereupon, the Kokuminto party brought forth a bill demanding the abolition of the business tax, the textile excise, the traveling tax, the petroleum excise, as well as the salt monopoly, but was unfortunately unsuccessful. The Seiyūkai party also presented a bill reducing the business tax and the land tax, together with bills reducing the traveling tax and textile excises. According to these proposals, the traveling tax was to be reduced in proportion to the reduction in fares for students, soldiers, and laborers, leaving taxes under 1 sen uncollected, and consequently students, soldiers, and laborers journeying less than fifty miles for the reduced fares would be exempted from the tax (410,000 yen); I per cent of the traveling tax collected was to be handed to the operators of railways, steamers, and tramways as commission fees for collecting the tax (40,000 yen); as to the textile excise, I per cent of the tax collected was to be given to the gilds of textile dealers

as commission fees (190,000 yen), and the standard of taxation was to be rearranged and taxable values reduced (2,300,000 yen). But in the House of Peers both bills were rejected.

Corresponding to the presentation, by the political parties, of bills reducing or abolishing taxes, a movement for the same end was started among the people to reinforce them. As the government, notwithstanding the unprecedented surplus of this year, amounting to 132,000,000 yen, laid undue store by the completion of naval armament, and dealt quite indifferently with the mitigation of the national burden, the whole country was filled with overwhelming complaint, which burst out in reduced-tax demonstrations of various descriptions. The movement culminated in a lecture meeting at the Theatre Meiji on January 25. This movement, being started by the Tokyo Chamber of Commerce with the support of a business men's union and a journalists' association, attracted public attention for a time by challenging the authorities with the resolution that they would boycott all the banks and companies connected with the members of the Seiyūkai party; nevertheless, the movement was substantially directed to the repeal of the business tax and had little connection with the excise problem.

The thirty-second, thirty-third, and thirty-fourth sessions of the Diet were all extraordinary ones. The thirty-second session, summoned for the vote of the funeral expenses incidental to the demise of the Imperial Dowager, did not touch the question under consideration. In the thirty-third session of the Diet, convoked for the deliberation of an urgent measure for constructing three battleships, which had been approved by the thirtieth session, but had not yet been put into practice owing to the failure of the budget in the thirty-first session, the only project laid before the Legislature was a supplementary budget for the purpose above noted. During the session, a certain member moved a question of general character concerning the tax system: (1) which taxes did the government intend to repeal if the circumstances allowed it? (2) did the government intend to reduce the land

tax, and if so to what extent? to which the government replied with a note that as the matter was under consideration it could not give a definite answer. So, this session accomplished nothing in connection with the excise problem. Lastly, the thirty-fourth session was extraordinarily summoned for the vote of war expenses incidental to the outbreak of Germano-Japanese hostilities, and the business performed was nothing but the approval of the war budget.

Although Okuma's Ministry paid so much attention to the solution of the question of national defense and to the realization of means of remitting taxes that it counted them among the important items of its political program, the urgent need of war expenses due to the outbreak of the European War, and subsequent participation of the Empire in it, compelled the government to abandon these measures for the moment. Upon the commencement of the thirty-fifth session as an ordinary session, the subjects of political controversies between the opposition and the Ministry were national defense, diplomatic problems in relation to the Germano-Japanese War, as well as the financial schemes connected with the above affairs; and no event worthy of our notice occurred with regard to the problem in hand, excepting a few questions moved by some members concerning the repeal of the business tax in the Committee on the Budget. As affecting the problem immediately, a bill revising part of the Sake Tax Law, a bill revising part of the Textile Excise Law, and a bill revising part of the Sugar Excise Law were presented but were rejected.

In the thirty-sixth session of the Imperial Legislature, an extraordinary session, the establishment of two military divisions in Chōsen, the reduction of the amount to be devoted to the redemption of national debt, and the transfer therefrom to the special account of Imperial Railways, which supplied the motives for the dissolution of the last session and were the principal objects for the summoning of this extraordinary session, absorbed all the attention of the government and the people, and naturally the problem

of the taxation system was almost set aside. Upon the debate on the budget, questions and answers were exchanged once or twice between the opposition and the government concerning the reduction of the land tax and the total abolition of the business tax: but with regard to indirect taxation the only event was the presentation of the revised salt monopoly bill, which, however, fell through prior to the vote. Besides this bill, a representation was brought in stating the need of establishing an institution for inquiry into taxsystem adjustment, and several questions were forwarded concerning the remissions of public burden and realization of the reduced tax policy. Further, Dr. Kobayashi moved a question concerning the prospect of the raising-no-loan measure of the government, during which he stated: "The reverse side of the financial scheme founded on the raisingno-loan principle is, generally speaking, recognition of an increased tax policy, for the resources of financial schemes of the state are substantially either public loan or taxation. However, in this country the tax system is so confused and unreasonable that we could hardly expect any benefit from a makeshift measure of partial reduction of tax rates, if a radical adjustment were not carried out"; and he emphasized the pressing necessity of tax-system adjustment and the impossibility of extension of taxes. But these opinions have no immediate relation with the problem under consideration.

In the latest session (thirty-seventh) of the Diet, a project of law revising part of the Sake Tax Law, which designed to enlarge the scope of some part of the category of alcoholic liquors and which had been laid before the thirty-fifth session, was again presented; but it is of small significance. The representation about the establishment of an institution for investigating the tax system was again brought in. Discussions about remissions of taxation took place between the Minister of Finance and Messrs. Kobayashi and Nagashima; but they never touched the excise problem directly.

¹ Cf. History of National Accounts; Extract from the Proceedings of the House of Representatives; History of Imperial Diet, supplement of "the New Law."

According to what I have described hitherto, the problem whether to retain or repeal, to reduce or extend, indirect taxes, especially excises, as war imposts, became a focus of parliamentary controversies during a decade, viz., from the twenty-second session (1905-6) to the thirtieth session (1912-13) of the Imperial Diet. In all controversies, however, the government party always defeated the opposition: namely, in the twenty-third session the special taxes were continued as the government proposed, and in the twentyfourth session the tax on sake and the sugar excise were extended, and the prices of monopoly tobacco raised. Though we met with the reduction of the sugar and textile excises, as well as the revision of the salt monopoly, not only were they all government projects, but the sum reduced was ridiculously small, amounting to only 15 per cent of the sum previously increased.

Turning to the direct taxes, we have experienced the reduction, if not enormous, of the land tax, the business tax, the succession duty, and others, but no cases of their increase. From the thirty-first session (1913) down to the present time, the problem of the taxation system has never attained to a significant place among parliamentary affairs, and even when the tax system was considered at all, the taxes dealt with have always been limited to direct taxes, indirect taxes having been left out of account.¹

EXCISE PROBLEM AS SEEN IN THE REPORT OF THE COMMISSION ON TAX-LAW INQUIRY

The impression we can get from the survey of the history of the excise problem in the House of Representatives during the twelve years since the Russo-Japanese War is that, whereas the government has always insisted on the inevitableness of the introduction and extension of excises on the ground of the shortage of revenue, the opposition parties have all the time demanded their repeal and reduction,

¹ See notes, ante, pp. 206, 208. Cf. National Finance and Economy of the Sino-Japanese War, and Kobayashi, The Past and Present of the National Finance, and the articles of Messrs. Amano and Uetake, "Comment on the Increased Tax Bill," published in the Tokyo Economic Journal in 1904–5.

chiefly on the ground of the unfair distribution of the burden; and that, in spite of tumultuous discussions, very little has been added either to the development of theories or to the investigation of facts. Be that as it may, we can apprehend the fundamental notions concerning this subject on the part of the government through two official documents, the one being the "Report of the Commission on Tax-Law Inquiry," which Commission, consisting of high officials of the Department of Finance, was appointed as part of the post-bellum measures of financial adjustment, and the other being "Extract from Proceedings of the Committee on the Inquiry into the Bill of Tax-Law Adjustment," which committee was appointed for the contemplation of projects of tax-law adjustment presented by the aforesaid commission, having as its members higher officials of several departments, members of the two houses of the Imperial Diet, scholars both in and out of office, and eminent business men. Apart from these, we have no knowledge of any investigation concerning the tax system subsequent to the memorable struggle, and notwithstanding the alteration of ministries from time to time the attitude of the successive Cabinets has been for the most part to adhere to the point of view founded on the results of the investigation in question, overlooking insignificant diversions.

In the instruction of the Minister of Finance, issued on the occasion, the following five items are pointed out as the objects of the investigation: (1) equalization of the burden of taxation in case of unfair apportionment; (2) abolition of taxes or reduction of their rates where their imposition or high rates stand in the way of industrial development; (3) reduction of the rates of such taxes as have suffered decrease of proceeds on account of enhanced tax rates; (4) simplification in the method of assessment and collection where it is complicated; (5) selection of new sources of taxation in order to fill the deficit of the revenue which might be caused if the foregoing reform were carried into effect. In obedience to the ministerial instruction with regard to the scope

and order of investigation and other matters, the committee started the investigation, which extended to both financial and economic fields concerning different imposts, taking foreign institutions and actual situations at home into consideration. As to the selection of the sources of taxation, and other adjustment and reform of the tax system in general, revenue officers were consulted, while with regard to the effects of the emergency special taxation, vicissitudes of industries in general before and after the war, changes in the livelihood of the nation, and strength of tax-paying ability, the returns from local governors, revenue superintendents, and chambers of commerce were chiefly depended upon, and, finally, in respect to the propriety and reasonableness of each item of the proposed adjustment, chambers of commerce, clearing houses, trade associations of the textile manufacturers, and other associations of business men were consulted, whose answers were taken into account.

The investigation resulted in three projects of adjustment. The first project proposed reform only in such taxes as require revision on account of the unfair distribution of their burden. their complexity, and the inconvenience of their collection, and other reasons, leaving the existing tax law, in the main, as it is; the second one proposed to repeal such taxes as were the subject of popular discussion, so far as the consequent deficit may be supplemented by the introduction of new taxes which are deemed comparatively good; the last one planned a fundamental reform of the existing system of taxation, with simultaneous abolition of all the unfavorable taxes. Of these three plans, the commission preferred the first, as most befitting the present situation, and decided to compose the original tax-law bill on the basis of it; in other words, the commission attempted to effect the improvement in only those levies the burden of which is not apportioned fairly or of which the collecting process is too complicated. This is the leading principle under which the Commission on the Inquiry into the Tax Law worked out its own plan of tax-law readjustment. Keeping these things in mind, therefore, it will not be so difficult to imagine the general features of the positive proposals of the commission toward war imposts, especially excises, the purport of which is to recognize the retention of excises of all the emergency special taxes that have been continued since the war time, as an unavoidable necessity, and to carry out the adjustment only in those with unduly heavy incidence and much complicated method of collection.

Let us, now, examine the detailed points of the report as far as they concern our present examination. According to the report as to the tax on sake, to begin with, there is no hope of raising its rate in the face of the fact that further advancement of the rate might cause shrinkage in the volume of consumption: secondly, as to the tax on soy and the sugar excise, it is advisable to maintain them in status quo; and. lastly, as to the textile excise and the salt monopoly, the most disputed of all the excises, their retention as they stand is maintained in consideration of the fiscal necessity, the only reform being the adoption of an ad valorem system in the textile excise. So, even though we find in the reform project a reduction of estimated revenue of nearly 2,000,000 ven compared with the estimated amount of the same for the fiscal year 1907-8, yet this sum of 2,000,000 yen is to be ascribed not so much to the revision of indirect taxes, excises among others, as to the adjustment of the direct ones. If we may assume that the point of view of this commission has been sustained by the successive Cabinets, it will not be amiss to conclude that the authorities have recognized the necessity of neither repeal nor revision nor readjustment in the existing excises, holding the present situation of economic and financial affairs in mind.1

As there were a few among the authorities who insisted upon the abolition or revision of excises, so also were heard incessantly arguments to the same end, though stronger, from the mouths of theorists, statesmen, and practitioners.

¹ Cf. Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Tax Laws, and Extract from Proceedings of the Committee on the Inquiry into the Bill of Tax-Law Adjustment.

But I hesitate to quote assertions of the business men concerned and political parties as representing unbiased opinion, for they are likely to be tinted by egoistic motives. In the following pages, therefore, attention will be directed to the survey of the excise problem in academic circles.

EXCISE PROBLEM IN ACADEMIC CIRCLES

In the present stage of the progress of social sciences in Japan, where neither consistent assertion of scientific conviction nor distinct systematization of economic truths is discernible, and where consequently no scientific school in this line has yet developed, it is very difficult, even next to impossible, to make precise discrimination and exact classification of the different opinions on the current questions.

In the following description, therefore, all that I can do is to arrange, for only the purpose in hand, the opinions of theorists, as far as they affect the excise problem, in accordance with my own private judgment.

I will begin with the argument of a general nature concerning the increase of excises. Mr. Noritake, editor-inchief of the *Tokyo Economic Journal*, published his opinion in that journal under the title of "Danger of Undue Increase of Excises," in which he argued, as follows:

A tax on commodities would be a good source of revenue if the taxable objects were carefully selected and assessment were moderate, and there is no civilized country in modern times which has not recourse to it. So, I am not an absolute opponent of the imposts under discussion, and yet I am convinced of the fact that there is a certain limit assignable to excises beyond which no more increase of revenue can be expected from the imposition. Nevertheless, the government, overlooking this obvious truth, has been ready all the time to extend excises whenever the situation called for the increase of revenue. And at this juncture, when the fiscal exigency has given rise to an attempt on the part of the authorities to increase taxes, the government has paid special attention to excises, among others, and intends, it seems, to increase the profit of the tobacco monopoly and extend the sugar excise and the tax on sake. Even in the present condition, it is a rare exception when the necessaries of life and luxuries are not subjected to heavy taxation. Nor is it deniable that the distribution of burden is not equitable, which

presses the poor unduly and charges the rich much too lightly. The incessant extension of the excises at this moment, therefore, will necessarily lead to the shifting of unbearable pain upon the middle and lower strata of society, upon laboring classes in particular. The vexatious pressure felt on the part of the contributors will not be the only result of such excises, but they are doomed to enervate the activity of the economic society. When the economic vitality of the country tends to decline, the proceeds from the excises will not only not increase but will necessarily shrink, even if the government should raise the price or lower the quality of the monopoly goods or make the rate of excises heavier. And the government, which is blind to this indisputable truth and resorts to the lopsided policy of increased excises, is open all the time to the charge of abusing the financial sources, and if the government is obstinate enough to cling to such a measure, surely some day will dawn when it will be surprised at the unexpected result of its former decision.

It may be supposed that the government endeavors to follow the line of least resistance by depending chiefly upon the increased receipts from the excises. Again it is true that the excises which producers or sellers pay as they dispose of their goods are much more convenient for the contributors to pay than the direct taxes, which are directly collected by tax officers. But very few of the bearers of this public charge will long remain ignorant of the obnoxious effect of such imposition, i.e. the rise in the prices of commodities. High prices of commodities can not help but accelerate the tendency of the rich to grow richer and of the poor to grow poorer, and consequently widen the gulf between the wealthy and the destitute. Thus, the quarter whence the government expected the least resistance may one day turn out to be the place of the most violent resistance, and if this course of things happened to bring about the prevalence of dangerous social theories none but the government itself would be responsible for supplying them with adequate impetus.

Likewise, the *Oriental Economic Journal*, in the article entitled "Pressure of Taxation and Abnormal Change in Prices," declared against the extension of the excise. The purport of the argument is as follows: "In the present situation of our taxation system, the total sum of the ordinary revenue from taxes amounts to 470,000,000 *yen*, of which only 140,000,000 *yen* is the yield of direct taxes, while the remaining 330,000,000 *yen* is from indirect ones, and the charges of the latter group of taxes are levies for the most part upon rice, meat, salt, *sake* fabrics, and sugar. The necessary result is, needless to say, the abrupt rise in the prices of taxed

¹ Shukudo's (Noritake's pen name) posthumous work on *Public Finance*, pp. 763-64.

commodities, and thus an abnormal elevation of price level in recent years has been brought about." Further, contrasting the index numbers of prices of fifty-three staple goods, before and after the war, prepared by the same journal, the writer points out the vexatious influence of the imposts, and reasons thus:

Recent high prices may be attributed partly to the lower value of gold throughout the world, partly to the inflation of currency after the war, and hence they are universal phenomena, regardless of the kinds of goods; yet the difference in the rising tendency among individual wares can not but be ascribed solely to the effect of taxation. If we watch the movement of prices more carefully, we will find that primarily the price of the articles levied upon has been raised directly on account of the shifting of the imposts and indirectly because of the increased cost of production, showing multifarious variations of individual prices, according to the greater or lesser competition from imported articles. Such an abnormal change in prices will exert upon the national industries nothing but an injurious effect.

In the case of industries the products of which have become extraordinarily dear, the exorbitant price, being the result of heavy taxation, by no means benefits the producers, but only impairs the interest of the consumers; and in the case of industries the products of which have not shown such greatly increased prices or rather which have shown a diminished price, the profits will be cut on account of the higher prices of other articles, sometimes the loss being so fatal as to threaten the enterprises with utter destruction. In this way, the development of domestic industry will be hampered, a grievous load of taxation will be piled upon the shoulders of inland consumers, especially of the poor, and the lucrative market will be prepared for the triumphant intrusion of foreign goods. These propositions are not the product of mere surmise but can be convincingly evidenced in the numerical study of the price movement in this country. Man can not help shuddering at the vexatious result of this abnormal progress in prices, which, appearing with regard to foodstuffs, oppresses the life of the poor, most pitiful of all social classes; and, further, occurring with regard to raw materials, impairs the interest of inland agriculture, and, lastly, taking place with regard to manufactured products, strikes at the root of our commerical and industrial enterprises, the backbone of the economic life of the nation.1

These are the attitudes taken by two of the most influential of the weekly economic journals toward the excises problem. Such arguments have been advanced, generally speak-

¹ Oriental Economic Journal, No. 73, p. 68.

ing, by the followers of economic liberalism, and the representatives of this tendency are especially numerous among the theorists of unofficial type.

Now, going a step further, of all indirect taxes which have been retained since the war and extended, of which of them, by what means, and in what degree should the adjustment be carried out? As to this problem, the theories and contentions are by no means unanimous. At the beginning, I will introduce the proposal of Dr. Kobayashi on this subject. He published a book in 1912 under the title of *Financial Adjustment*, in which he explained his views respecting the fiscal reform of the country.

The principle of taxation [he commences his argument concerning the problem under notice], is to be determined in relation to the economic ability of individuals to contribute, independent of the demand of public expenditure. According to this principle, that is a good tax which is in harmony with this tax-paying ability of individuals and a bad one which is out of harmony with it. This is the one infallible axiom of tax-system adjustment in its true sense.

After explaining his standpoint in this way, he emphasizes, in the chapter on "Extent of Readjustment in the System of Taxation," the imperious need of the mitigation of indirect taxes above all, by comparing the growth of the economic power of the nation and the increase of taxes since the year 1883, which, being one year prior to the outbreak of the Sino-Japanese War, he made the basic year for comparison, and by studying carefully the coordination of different kinds of taxes and the minute details of each tax. Later on, when he considers, in the chapter entitled "Indirect Taxes to be Reduced," which of the existent indirect taxes should be repealed and which of them reduced, he elucidates his standpoint once more, and says:

Extremely simple is the financial principle of indirect taxation, which means nothing but to adapt the taxation to the tax-paying ability by levying on the necessaries of life as lightly as possible. The unintentional coincidence of this assertion with the requirements of social politics has induced the followers of the latter doctrine to acknowledge the above financial principle. For my part, indeed, I never intend to support my theory on socio-political

grounds, yet, observing the indisputable fact that the enormous increase of indirect taxes, especially of excises upon necessaries such as rice, salt, petroleum, and sugar, exerts disastrous pressure upon the lower stratum of society with feeble tax-paying ability, I can not help crying, from the standpoint of purely fiscal principles of equitable burden, for the abolition and reduction of the imposts in question.

Though his project of taxation adjustment, as shown in the foregoing description, is founded on the basis of the so-called financial taxation policy, and not imbued with the so-called socio-political taxation policy, he seems to be convinced of the natural coincidence of the financial taxation policy with the requirements of the social policy. Standing on this basic principle, what sort of concrete plan does he propose? Let this be explained by his own words.

I.—Abolition of the import duty on grains. This duty was introduced by the Emergency Special Tax Law with the purpose that it might facilitate the process of shifting a part of the burden of the land tax, increased by the same law, to the consumer of grains. So, the duty was established in order to keep a necessary balance with internal taxation. The rate of the duty was 64 sen per 100 kin. Though the land tax has not only never since been increased, but has even recently been reduced, regardless of the reasons for its introduction, the rate of the import duty on grains was raised to I yen per 100 kin, and since that time the rate has remained at the same point, with the single exception of a slight mitigation in the autumn of 1911, when the price of rice attained to an unprecedented height. It is matter of course that the import duty in question is of no use in years of favorable crops nor in years of average harvest. Moreover, in years of poor crops, its operation is quite injurious, for in a country where the average yield of agricultural products does not keep pace with the growth of population, and hence with its consuming power, a corresponding advance in the price is unavoidable, and the artificial barrier of customs duties will infallibly facilitate the monopoly of a small number of speculators, drive up the market price, diminish the demand for labor, and consequently, in the present system of tenancy, with rent in kind, and fill the pockets of landowners at the expense of wage earners and small peasants. Further, the abolition of the import duty on Chosen rice in particular is an urgent necessity, in view of the fact that the development of Chosen is one of the most serious problems for the greater Empire, and a cardinal measure of Chosen's economic development is the enlargement of the market for rice from the territory. So, it is beyond doubt that the scheme of reduced taxes must begin with

the repeal of this duty, and public opinion had long recognized the necessity of the measure and was impatient with the hesitating attitude on the part the government. The decrease of revenue due

to the foregoing adjustment amounts to 1,500,000 yen.

II.—Reduction of the tax on salt and the tax on soy by one half. By the tax on salt I here mean the profits of the salt monopoly. I am by no means an opponent of the monopoly system. On the the contrary, I am ready to acknowledge the merits of the system in preventing the articles of daily necessity for which no substitute can be found from being an object of speculative transactions, and in providing that the salt intended for use in industry, fishery, and agriculture shall be sold at a specially reduced price. Nevertheless, I do not hesitate to protest against the extortionate rate of monoply profit of 2.50 yen per koku against the original cost of production of 1.40 yen per koku. The injurious effect is manifested in the successive advances of salt prices. The price stood at 1.13 yen per koku in 1886, and showing 2.26 yen and 5.01 yen in 1896 and 1909, respectively, it has tended recently to go upward even beyond 5.50 yen The reader is asked to note that these are all wholesale prices. As to the retail price, the rising trend has been much stronger, due to the expenses incurred for the payment of the interest of the capital employed and as the recompense for inevitable loss of quantity during the transactions. Besides, to the tax on salt the soy tax has been extended. The effect of the charge is discernible in the movement of the price, which shows a conspicuous upheaval; namely, the price which stood at 9.51 yen per koku in 1886, rising to 18.29 yen in 1896, advanced to 23.29 yen per koku in 1909, and since that date has ranged at about the same level. In addition to this, the high price of salt exerted no small influence upon the prices of pickles and salted fish. It is sometimes contended that such earnest discussions on this subject are out of place, as the per capita burden of these levies is negligible. But I can hardly consent to the statement in consideration of the fact that of the profits of the salt monopoly, amounting to 10,000,000 yen, and the receipts from the soy tax, amounting to 4,610,000 yen, the colossal burden falls with undue weight, compared with tax-paying ability, upon the slender shoulders of the lower classes, of which the majority of the nation consists, to say nothing of the enhanced prices of pickles, fresh meat, and vegetables as their mediate and immediate effects. For these reasons, I demand the mitigation of the price of monopoly salt and the rate of soy tax by one half, and propose the expansion and reform of the sale system of the former, in order that it may be extensively provided at a moderate price. By this revision the revenue would be curtailed by 7,300,000 yen.

III.—The abolition of the petroleum excise. The petroleum excise was prematurely established in this country. In 1904, when urgent need of emergency finance led to the 20 per cent increase of duties upon imported petroleum, the Exchequer introduced the

internal consumption tax on the same article, both foreign and domestic, with the rate of I yen per koku (10 per cent ad valorem), for the duration of six months, in order to prevent speculative importation. The tax was soon abolished on account of its meager productiveness, as the annual yield of home production of the article at that time was estimated at 350,000 koku, which constituted only one eighth of the whole quantity consumed. Later on, the enhancement of the customs tariff to 50 per cent ad valorem, incidental to the revision carried out to meet the increased requirements of the emergency finance in 1905, encouraged the domestic production of petroleum, the output of which increased successively from 500,000 koku in 1907 to 750,000 koku in 1909, representing one third of the inland consumption of the commodity. Under these circumstances the Treasury intended to establish the petroleum excise, after the system prevalent in France, Austria, and Italy, and in 1908 reintroduced the internal taxation on consumption of petroleum with the rate of 1 *yen* per *koku*. The influence of the imposition is easily seen in the continual rise of the price from year to year; and the market price, which stood at 2.91 yen per box in 1893 jumped to 3.91 yen per box in 1909. After that time, however, the demand for petroleum, and consequently the output thereof, began to diminish, owing to the development of the supply of gas and electricity. Such a tendency toward decline of the domestic petroleum production, which forms but one third of the total volume consumed even at the present moment, is disadvantageous not only to the manufacturers but also to the consumers, whose interest lies in abundant supply with cheap price. Moreover, the consumers of petroleum belong to lower classes than the users of gas and electricity and petroleum is indubitably one of the necessaries of life for the former. So it is a violation of the principle of equity that petroleum, which is consumed essentially by the majority of poorer people, should be taxed, while gas and electricity are exempted from any charge. If the petroleum excise be repealed, and the sacrifice of consumers mitigated, the interest of the commodity enlarged, and the manufacture of it encouraged, the reduction of the price to that extent would be realized. This is the reason why I am convinced of the urgent necessity of the abolition of the petroleum excise. The reduction of revenue due to this change is estimated at 2,000,000 yen.

IV.—Abolition of tax on cotton fabrics. This tax, which was introduced by the revised Emergency Special Tax Law in 1905, constitutes our textile excise, together with the tax on silk fabrics, established by the same law, and the tax on woolen fabrics, established by the first Emergency Special Tax Law of 1904. The excise is to be assessed on the textile wares, at the rate of 10 per cent ad valorem, as they are delivered from the factories of the manufacturers or the customs compounds. In consideration of the fact that to load the cotton and silk fabrics with a similar burden is unjust, and of the fact that the appraisement of the wares is always

accompanied by the danger of difference of opinion, the government consulted with the Commission on Tax-law Inquiry, intending to change the existing ad valorem duty into a specific duty. But the attempted revision was not carried into effect by reason of the annoyance which would have been caused by the multifarious varieties of textile fabrics and the inconvenience, which may be increased by specific treatment, in local transactions; and cotton fabrics have remained, together with the silk fabrics, under the same burden of 10 per cent ad valorem. The ad valorem system may be unavoidable in regard to commodities of such extensive use as textile fabrics, with great varieties in quality and tremendous dissimilarity in price, for which the specific duty is undoubtedly unsuitable; yet the tax on cotton fabrics is not only unfair, as it is charged upon a commodity of daily necessity, but also it necessitates no small outlay for supervising the manufacturers, scattered all over the country, and hampers the development of this branch of industry in a great measure. Thus, I can urge with reason the abolition of all the taxes on cotton fabrics, as well as of other fabrics intended for home use, and the restriction of the textile excise to taxes on silk, silk and cotton mixed fabrics, and woolen fabrics, which are consumed principally by the middle and upper classes of the nation. The abolition of the tax on cotton fabrics will be beneficent not only because it rectifies the unfairly distributed burden of the poor, but because it diminishes the cost of collection of the excise to no small These are the reasons why I demand the abolition of the tax on cotton fabrics as a constituent part of textile excise. The decrease in revenue incidental to this adjustment would be some

V.—Reduction of the sugar excise. This excise has achieved an unexpected development, for at the outset such a productiveness was not in the least apprehended. In its origin, the sugar excise was introduced in order to increase the charge upon foreign sugar as supplementary to the conventional tariff, which was very low, notwithstanding the circumstances that domestic sugar production was deplorably undeveloped and the main portion of the annual consumption was furnished by imported sugar. Thus, the excise was established rather with the economic object of stimulating inland production than with the fiscal aim of raising the revenue by means of taxation. At the present time, the revision of customs tariff laws and commercial treaties having been accomplished, we are placed in a position to control the import of foreign sugar, both refined and raw, with a statutory tariff. The tariffs vary according to the quality of the goods. The rate is roughly estimated at 60 per cent The internal tax on consumption of sugar is also ad valorem. assessed virtually at the rate 60 per cent ad valorem. Thus, we see that foreign sugar is loaded with a burden of 120 per cent, adding both customs duty and excise together, while domestic sugar is under a charge of 60 per cent. Though the protective tariff occasioned a shrinkage in the importation of foreign sugar and a corresponding prosperity of domestic production, it caused a rapid rise in the price of the commodity; namely, the price of white sugar, which stood at 10.35 yen per 100 kin in 1893, and at 10.76 yen in 1903, leaped to 17.49 yen in 1909, and ever since has maintained about the same position. The enhanced price has checked the enlargement of the demand for the commodity, and in the present situation the annual output of 500,000,000 kin is always accompanied by more or less overproduction. Although sugar can not always be counted among the necessaries of life, and the sugar excise may prospectively be one of the important revenue-yielding sources, the exorbitant advance in price exerts no small pressure on the livelihood of the nation—a fact which is made visible by the standing still of the quantity consumed. So, I propose the reduction of this excise by one third. ant decrease in the revenue need not be so embarrassing for the central Treasury as for the Special Account for Formosa, but even in the latter case the diminution would be transitory, and the yield of the excise would gradually recover with the extension of the market if the manufacturers and dealers reduced the selling price of the commodity to the extent of the curtailed excise. By this reform the revenue would be reduced by 5,000,000 yen.

VI.—Abolition of the traveling tax. This tax, which was introduced by the second Emergency Special Tax Law, is a kind of poll tax somewhat modified with a certain form of graduation, according, both to the difference of accommodation (I, II and III classes) and to the number of miles traveled. The total abolition of the tax has frequently been demanded, but I believe in the reasonableness of its retention as a tax on the benefit enjoyed by the use of the means of communication. As the benefit derived from the use of the means of communication is essentially represented by the amount of fares, the proper method of imposition seems to be to tax passengers in proportion to the price of tickets instead of by the crude criterion of the traveled mileage. Besides, it is advisable to secure for the poorer classes in cities and towns privileged treatment by exempting tickets costing less than 5 sen per fare. By this revision the revenue would be reduced by 1,000,000 yen.

Summing up all the items, Dr. Kobayashi proposes the following curtailment of state revenue by way of remissions of indirect taxes:¹

	Yen
Abolition of customs duty on grains	1,500,000
Reduction of tax on salt and on soy	7,300,000
Abolition of petroleum excise	2,000,000
Abolition of tax on cotton fabrics	8,300,000
Reduction of sugar excise by one third	5,000,000
Revision of traveling tax	1,000,000
Total	25,100,000

¹ Kobayashi, On Financial Readjustment. pp. 1-126.

Next to Dr. Kobavashi, Dr. Tanaka may be cited as representing a similar theoretical tendency. His proposals concerning this subject are summarized in his work entitled Tax System Adjustment, the first edition of which was published in 1000 as the third volume of the Economic Problem Series (Keizai Mondai Sōsho). Before introducing the outline of his own reform plan according to the book above mentioned, it may not be out of place to note his fundamental conceptions regarding the problem. He commenced the report, which he read at the convention of the Society for Social Policy (Shakai Seisaku Gakkai), with a criticism of Wagner's socio-political conception of taxation, and contended that a tax system adjusted in accordance with this conception is not merely incongruous with the financial principle of equity, but also the object desired is not attainable by its enforcement, and that the result of the application of the purely financial principle of equity will coincide with the requirements of social policy. So, in this respect he may well be treated as representing the same tendency of thought as Dr. Kobayashi. From this standpoint he commented upon the existing tax system in this country and explained his view on the question we are considering, saying:

As to the proportions of the taxes on acquisition and the taxes on consumption, a hasty review of the development of our tax system since the dawn of the present century will bring some definite facts to light. Already in the Settled Account of the fiscal year 1898, in which the proceeds from consumption taxes constituted 56 per cent of all tax revenue, in contradistinction to 44 per cent for taxes on acquisition, we find such an undue expansion of the tax on consumption that it is no more admissible to regard it as a supplementary tax. Then, after the Sino-Japanese War, the so-called second bill for increased taxes obtained the approval of the Imperial Diet in its thirteenth session. With this bill, the scheme measure of increased taxes amounting to 42,000,000 yen was carried into effect, of which sum the taxes on consumption amounted to 68 per cent as against 32 per cent for taxes on acquisition. After this, at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, taxes were twice increased, with the object of yielding a revenue of 144,000,000 yen, of which the receipts from taxes on acquisition formed 57 per cent, and those of taxes on

¹ Kobayashi, Taxation System as Seen from the Standpoint of Social Policy, pp. 13 ff.

consumption the remaining 43 per cent. So, we do not fail to appreciate the necessity of increased taxes incidental to the Russo-Japanese War; nevertheless we can not help protesting against the post-bellum policy of retaining all the special taxes of the war time as permanent taxes, which will necessarily result in the grievous oppression of the poorer classes through the impositions on grains, petroleum, salt and cotton fabrics, and in so doing will come into

conflict with the object set up by the social policy.

Much more unreasonable, it seems to me, is the recent movement for reduced taxes. Since the year 1909, when a little surplus began to appear in the State Treasury, we have experienced three cases of remissions of taxes, and the total sum reduced by the attempts was estimated at 38,000,000 yen, of which the yield from the tax on acquisition amounted to 32,000,000 yen, that from the tax on consumption to only 6,000,000 yen. Regardless of the actual fact that the lower classes are groaning under the crushing weight of extortionate taxes on consumption, which include all the necessaries of life as taxable objects, the first attempt of the government, when the circumstances allowed it, was directed to effect reduction chiefly on the side of taxes on acquisition, and thus to mitigate the burden of the rich, which is as vet unduly light. Such a preposterous policy deserves the name of a movement "which gropes in the darkness," as the Chinese phrase expresses it. For this course of things, we must not blame the authorities alone. It is, indeed, beyond doubt that there is some fault on the part of the government, yet the members of the Parliament, having little information concerning financial matters and a poor understanding of social policy, can not avoid the responsibility of exploiting the poorer population in conspiracy with the authorities. According to the aphorism which Colbert coined at the end of the seventeenth century, the key to efficient finance is to pull out as much feather as possible from the geese without letting them quack. The "choked geese" in Colbert's sense, are the lower classes of this country, who lie prostrate under the crushing weight of heavy taxation, and, knowing no way of giving vent to their complaint, resign themselves to their predestined doom. Such a state of things is more than the supporters of social policy can endure.1

Now, let us examine his concrete plan with regard to this problem according to his work above cited, *Tax System Adjustment*.

There are many points to be discussed concerning the tax on sake, the tax on soy, the sugar excise, the tax on bourses, the tax on the issue of bank notes, the tonnage dues, the customs duties, the succession duty, the petroleum excise, the stamp receipts, and others; and the defect common to them all is the improper choice of the standard of taxation. Such reforms, therefore, as the change of the

¹ Kobayashi, Tax System as Seen from the Standpoint of Social Policy, pp. 35-8.

standard from the color to the purity, in the case of the sugar excise, and from the quantity of issue to the profits derived therefrom, in the case of the tax on the issue of bank notes, must be carried out in connection with all the aforesaid taxes. However, as revision of the standard of taxation is a problem of smaller significance, I will not dwell upon it for the present. First, we must pay special attention to the import duty on rice, which was introduced at the time of the Russo-Japanese War, with the twofold aim of protecting the peasants against the increased land tax and of increasing the revenue of the state. But the duty is the most conspicuous of all the bad taxes, for it not only lacks the ability to secure the necessary protection to the peasants, but it also oppresses the lower people. The reason is this: As foreign rice, for the most part, is inferior to domestic rice in quality, the treatment of foreign rice as free goods will never result in driving the domestic rice out of the market, and consequently causing the decline of inland agriculture; but the quantity to be imported of the former will not surpass the deficit in the supply of the latter. Though it is not unlikely that the incessant growth of population may cause a rapid increase of the importation of foreign rice and hamper the development of inland agriculture, the universal elevation of the standard of life, with which our economic development is always attended, will undoubtedly induce people to prefer domestic rice, high-priced but of superior quality, to foreign rice, cheap but of inferior quality, and the former will by no means be replaced by the latter. Thus, obviously the import duty on foreign rice has no efficacy in protecting inland agriculture on the one hand, and on the other hand to lay an imposition on that portion of rice which is necessary to fill the deficit of domestic production is to burden the lower people still more heavily. these reasons, the tax under discussion should be abolished, and the consequent decrease in the revenue, amounting to 4,000,000-5,000,000 yen, must be faced.

Further, the textile excise, the traveling tax, and the salt monopoly must be abolished, for reasons which will be explained presently. As to the textile excise, the inclusion of such daily necessaries as cotton fabrics as taxable objects is closely connected with the unfair distribution of the burden. In textile fabrics, moreover, we find multifarious varieties of quality and extreme discrepancies in prices, owing to the difference in materials and method of weaving and dyeing; and not only is the movement of prices influenced by the higher or lower prices of materials, greater or lesser cost of labor, and brisk or dull markets, but sudden fluctuations in an unlimited degree may be caused sometimes by changes in tastes and fashion. Upon a commodity with such characteristics, fair and exact imposition is next to impossible, and when the appraisement of the commodities, so difficult for even the specialist dealer, in the case of the woven goods he is not accustomed to handle, is entrusted to the inexperienced revenue officials, it may lead to the recurrence of a thousand

evils, even to the open practice of bribery and the outburst of riots. If we grant the supposition that unfair taxation is bearable, yet to incur no small loss from the hindrance of the smooth progress of business transactions and the quick utilization of favorable conjunctures, and to suffer serious disadvantages from the divulging of business secrets, which frequently occur in consequence of the examination of business documents and inspection of the factories, is more than the business men concerned can endure. The tax of which distribution of the burden is so unfair and the damage done to the weaving trade is so conspicuous, while the revenue is so meager as 20,000,000 yen, must be the foremost of all the notorious taxes.

The traveling tax also is a bad tax. The intensive and extensive development of communication is the indispensable prerequisite of the industrial evolution and cultural progress of the country, and the reason the government resorted to so decisive a measure as to nationalize at a stroke all the trunk lines in the Empire at the very time of fiscal exigencies subsequent to the Russo-Japanese War, was evidently that the authorities recognized it as one of the most urgent post-bellum economic rearrangements. Under such circumstances, it is an obvious contradiction to impede the industrial development and cultural progress with the continuation of the trav-

eling tax, especially established for the emergency.

It will be superfluous to dwell upon the inferiority of the salt monopoly as a fiscal resource. As salt is a prime necessary of life, the tax on it is indubitably unfair in disregarding the tax-paying ability, and the unfairness is still more aggravated by the fact that physiological need forces the lower classes to consume more salt than the middle and upper classes. Moreover, in our monopoly system, which refuses to take the differences in quality into account and assesses a uniform rate of 2.50 yen per koku or 1.48 yen per 100 kin, the poor, who consume inferior salt, must bear an unjust burden as compared with the rich, who consume superior salt. The impost is attended not only with unfair distribution of the charge, but also it stands in the way of industrial development, because salt is an indispensable material in stock farming, agriculture, manufacturing, and fishing. To retain the salt monopoly on the presumption of protecting domestic production is a great mistake, and the evidence shows that the enforcement of the Salt Monopoly Law has hindered inland production instead of encouraging it, and only resulted in the oppression of the lower classes.

The taxes to be abolished and the loss to be incurred due to the aforesaid reform are as follows:

	y en
Abolition of textile excise	19,460,000
Abolition of traveling tax	2,480,000
Abolition of salt monopoly	10,650,000
Abolition of import duty on grains	4,000,000
m . 1	-6 600 000
Total	30,000,000

The plan therefore demands the reduction of revenue by 36,600,000 yen; but if we subtract from the sum the cost of collection, which the Treasury could save by the repeal, amounting to something like 5,000,000 yen—viz., 800,000 yen in the textile excise, 50,000 yen in the traveling tax, and 4,120,000 yen in the salt monopoly—the effective curtailment of the revenue may be estimated at 31,600,000 yen.

There is another group of theorists whose position is distinct from that of Dr. Kobayashi and Dr. Tanaka. We may well describe them by the name of the "historical" school, as they are accustomed to lay store by the historical facts. In the following pages Dr. Matsuzaki will be quoted as a representative of the school. He was a member of the Commission of Inquiry into the Bill of Tax-Law Adjustment, and we can get a glimpse of his reform plan in the articles which he published in the Japanese Economic News (Nihon Keizai Shinshi), in 1907 and 1908, and afterward in book form, entitled Tax-Law Adjustment. In this book, before noting his own opinions, he points out five characteristics of our tax system as follows:

I. Formerly in our tax system the constituents were essentially

direct taxes, especially real taxes (land tax).

2. Although subsequently the taxes on revenue other than from land and excises were newly introduced, yet at least to the time of the Russo-Japanese War direct taxes were the backbone of the system.

3. For this reason the burden of taxation fell most heavily upon the shoulders of the middle class as compared with the lower or higher

classes.

4. The introduction of excises led to the shifting of the burden to lower grades of society, and the imposts charged were principally upon necessaries of life and commodities which are objects of business transactions, closely connected with industrial progress, while the luxuries, which are objects of mere consumption, were overlooked.

5. Recently, under the pretext of equity, an absurd reform of tax rates was attempted, which resulted only in manifold contra-

dictions.

Considering the above characteristics of our tax system and the growth of the nation's power of consumption, the

¹ Tanaka, Tax System Adjustment, pp. 94-104.

author demands the extension of indirect taxes, and excises in particular, but he wishes to limit the taxable objects to such articles as tobacco, sake, and drinks of various kinds. which are not necessaries of life.1 According to his project of excise reform, he proposes to repeal the textile excise and the salt monopoly and to supplement the deficit by the extension of the tobacco monopoly and the tax on sake. He argues as follows:

The deficit of public revenue incidental to the abolition of the salt monopoly may be estimated at 42,981,820 yen, which amount is by no means contemptible. As the expenses of the monopoly amount to 13,927,481 yen, the real loss to the state Treasury is the balance of the two sums, viz. 29,045,339 yen. As we observe at the present moment that the output of sake and beer shows a tendency of continual growth, and the increase of tobacco consumption is still more startling, the gap due to the aforesaid reform may be filled without much difficulty by increasing the charges upon these commodities. Even though such extension of excises be not sufficient to meet the deficit, there is evident possibility of attaining the purpose by the increase of customs duties. Thus, if we take it for granted that our financial conditions will maintain the status quo, the right measure to be pursued is to increase the tobacco monopoly and the tax on sake and to repeal the textile excise and the salt monopoly,2

In his recent work, Social Morality and Economy, Dr. Matsuzaki inserts a chapter under the title of "Quintessence of Tax-Law Reform," in which he asserts:

The tax on sake, among other excises, seems to have attained its climax, and increase of revenue from it can hardly be expected. As to customs duties upon imported alcoholic liquors, in contradistinction to the case of the tax on sake, we find an obvious possibility of extension. Further, the profits of the tobacco monopoly, as far as I am informed, have the prospect of increasing. As to the textile excise, I propose at least the revision of the tax on cotton fabrics in The customs duty which was imposed upon imported cotton has been entirely abolished for the purpose of developing our spinning industry; however, while the abolition changed cotton goods into one of the most important exported commodities, the domestic production of cotton suffered no trifling loss, and in some places the production was totally abandoned. In view of these conditions, I propose the restoration of the customs duty on im-

¹ Tanaka, Tax System Adjustment, pp. 1-7, 61-3. ² *Ibid.*, pp. 67-8.

ported cotton, with the provision for refunding drawbacks on exported cotton varn, and the simultaneous abolition of the tax on cotton fabrics. Though the manufacturers may lose something in interest upon capital employed, the increase of which is necessitated by the imposition on imported cotton, the loss will be offset by granting them the privilege of delaying payment of tax. The pursuance of such measures will certainly stimulate domestic production of cotton on the one hand and reduce the cost of collection of the textile excise on the other. Of all the customs duties, the duty which is most common in European countries and still absent in this country is the tax on daily drinks, such as tea, coffee, and others. It is a strange fact that tea, which is the favorite daily drink of the Japanese, is exempted from any taxation. The authorities preferred daily necessaries like salt and soy to tea and coffee as taxable objects; but I am rather inclined theoretically to believe in the reasonableness of the reverse policy.

Further, the professor elucidates his fundamental views concerning the assessment of excises, and says:

About a half century ago, European writers rejected taxes on necessaries of life and taxes on materials of industry, as a whole, for the reason that the former by raising wages and the latter by increasing the cost of production would impede the commercial and industrial progress of the country. Most of the contemporary opponents of excises are earnest followers of this doctrine. Excises are, however, not to be rejected altogether. If combined with proper procedure of assessment, the tax under discussion constitutes an indispensable part of the tax system in order to effect a fair distribution of the national burden. There is another group of theorists who decidedly oppose the imposition on necessaries of life for the reason that it oppresses the livelihood of the lower population, especially of laborers. The evidence of the facts shows that the imposition on these articles will not immediately burden the livelihood of the wage earners, as some theorists imagine, for the taxed commodities are not the only articles that laborers consume, and even of the taxed goods the quantity consumed does not always remain under the limit of necessity. As to the betterment of the livelihood of the wage earners, we ought to expect much from moral elevation and remodeling of character, and compared to these the imposition of excises is a problem of much less significance.¹

Thus, we see the professor maintains his former opinions in demanding taxation upon consumption, especially upon luxuries, and in justifying the extension of excises in general, excepting the tax on *sake*, of which he finds no possibility of increase, contrary to his former assertion.

¹ Matsuzaki, Social Morality and Economy, pp. 269-70.

I propose now to cite Dr. Kambe as another representative of this tendency to emphasize the taxation of luxuries. He writes as follows:

Although the burden of excises is by no means light in this country, yet if taxable objects are properly chosen and the rates of taxes are moderate, they are certainly a good resource. In selecting the taxable objects, special care must be exercised not to impose upon materials of production nor necessaries of life, but luxuries of more or less extensive use, and not to hinder the national production but to protect and encourage it. It is true that there is scarcely any commodity which fulfils all these requirements; but we may find examples of the sort, though incomplete, in sake, tobacco and sugar, with respect to which there is a possibility of extending taxes when necessary. It is contended sometimes that sake and sugar must be put under a monopoly system, as in the case of salt. Though the contention is not without reason, in view of the present financial situation, it is not the proper time to carry it out, for at this time, when the argument for the repeal of the nationalization of railways is often heard, and especially since the issue of public loans to defray the necessary expenditures is not desirable, the execution of such a plan is almost impossible. Moreover, in regard to sugar, the number of manufacturing factories is so small that supervision is quite simple, thus making invalid one reason for monopolization. customs duties yield an enormous revenue, even at the present time, and the coming enforcement of the revised customs tariffs will provide still more receipts. According to the new customs duties, higher rates than the existing conventional tariffs are to be imposed on many articles, and the chief motive for the revision in this line lies not only in the financial reasons but also in considerations of commercial policy and protection of domestic production. But I am against the extension of the import duty on grains. Even if the object aimed at is the protection of agriculture, the result would be to stimulate the concentration of land ownership and lead to the tyrannical domination of larger landowners, benefiting larger peasants and landowners exclusively, or at least conferring upon them greater advantages than upon the smaller ones. So, even from the standpoint of agriculture, I hesitate to approve this proposed reform. From the standpoint of consumers in general and low-wage earners in particular, as well as of commerce and manufacture, I am decidedly against it. Lastly, if we regard the financial maxim that the tax must be levied in accordance with tax-paying ability, the import duty upon grains must be numbered among the most unjust taxes, for it pays little regard to the personal concerns of contributors in charging larger families more heavily than the smaller, and denies discriminative treatment between incomes from labor and those from capital in charging with the self-same rate both laborers and capitalists. Again, the salt monopoly, like the customs duty upon

grains, should be opposed as contradicting the cardinal maxim of taxation. The government seems busy in rearranging salt fields and in reforming the sales system, but I am rather sceptical regarding its efficacy and recommend abolishing the salt monopoly and leaving it to private enterprise. Neither can I approve the petroleum excise, because it charges the lower classes much too heavily, but rather I believe in the propriety of levying on electricity for lighting purposes. Though counted among the three bad taxes, together with the salt monopoly and the traveling tax, the textile excise should not be absolutely condemned. As the tax is imposed on such a necessary of life as clothing, it is undoubtedly a worse impost than taxes which charge luxurious articles, such as sake, tobacco and But compared with the imposts which levy upon daily necessaries, with little elasticity in their demand, such as salt and rice, the tax under discussion can be named a better tax, for this or that tax can never be called a bad or a good tax in an absolute sense but only in a relative sense. The textile excise, as far as it is concerned with the taxable objects only, is in no way a bad tax. Since the textile excise is called a notorious tax, because of the incompleteness in its collecting procedure, if reform in this line is practicable it should not be rejected as a bad tax. Especially, the sudden abolition of it will disturb the natural course of business life, causing unexpected profit on the one hand and unexpected loss on the other. Though the embarrassment, being a temporary phenomenon, may be endured, the state Treasury will not be able to cover the deficit of 10,000,000 yen, due to the repeal, without suffering a great inconvenience. So, the abolition of the tax is inadvisable; but the reform in the method of its collection is desirable.

As seen above, Dr. Kambe proposes the abolition of the customs duty on grains, the petroleum excise, and the salt monopoly, and the reform of the textile excise; but we can not ascertain the amount of revenue to be reduced.¹

Besides the four professors above cited, viz., Dr. Kobayashi, Dr. Tanaka, Dr. Matsuzaki, and Dr. Kambe, theorists such as Dr. Horié, Dr. Utsunomiya, Dr. Tajiri, and Dr. Kudo² have frequently published their views on the problem under consideration, but so far as I know there is not one who has published his concrete project covering the entire problem.

Lastly, there is still another group of theorists who criticize the existing tax system from standpoints other than those above mentioned, of whom Dr. Ogawa stands foremost.

¹ Kambe, Financial Essay. ² See note, ante, p. 208.

At the ninth convention of the Society for Social Policy, held in December, 1915, he read his report on the "Tax System in Japan," and commented as follows upon the existing system of excises:

When viewed from the standpoint of the tax system of the country, excises are also indispensable. Setting aside the impracticable assertion of socialists that excises should be entirely abolished, even from the standpoint of social policy, the total repeal of excises is by no means necessary. If we set our eyes upon excises only, certainly they may be unjust; but if we combine them with taxes on acquisition, that is, direct taxes, and if the combination is not improper, the tax system as a whole can be fair and just, differing not so much from the requirements of social policy. So, we see, one can not be free from the blame of a too-hasty conclusion in condemning a given tax system on account of the mere existence of excises among its components; the socio-political valuation of excises must differ according to the difference in the structure of the

tax system.

Excises are imposts resting on consumption, such as drinking of sake, smoking of tobacco, use of soy, and others, based on the presumption that consumption signifies the existence of tax-paying ability. In the present state of things excises are levied on commodities which are objects of general consumption; the poor and rich are charged with the same burden irrespective of their taxpaying ability. Such equal treatment of the poor and the rich is obviously unfair; and there lies the drawback of this impost. the tax on sake as an example. The uniform rate means a heavier burden for the poor, because the sacrifice should not be measured by the amount of money contributed but by the subjective feeling connected with the contribution, and thus we can condemn the tax, from the standpoint of social policy, as oppressing the poor unjustly. Generally speaking, excise is always attended with the danger of falling into this defect owing to its peculiar nature and collecting procedure. I do not deny, however, the existence of such an excise as charges the rich heavier than the poor. The tax system of this country is not wanting in instances of excises of this description. The sugar excise is one, different rates being assessed according to the differences in quality. Black sugar, which is principally consumed by the poor, is charged at the rate of 2 yen per kin, while, the rates being gradually enhanced, sugar candy and lump sugar are imposed at the rate of 10 yen per kin. According to the Sugar Excise Law, sugar is graded into six classes, upon the basis of Dutch standard color, and taxed at the graduated rates, the first class being sugar under No. 11 of standard color, this again being subdivided into black sugar in cask, shirojita (masse cuite) in cask, and others, the second class being under No. 15, the third class under

No. 18, the fourth class under No. 21, the fifth class above No. 21, and the sixth class being sugar candy, lump sugar, loaf sugar, and the like. These classes and subclasses are imposed with rates of 2 yen, 2.5 yen, 3 yen, 5 yen, 7 yen, 8 yen, 9 yen, and 10 yen per 100 kin, respectively. The operation of such a tax, graduated according to quality, will be to levy lightly on the poor and heavily on the rich, because the number of poor who eat lump sugar is insignificant. Therefore, if an excise be framed in this way, it can answer the requirements of social policy, viz. to burden lightly the weaker and heavily the stronger. We find another example in the tax on tobacco. In Japan, tobacco is subject to the monopoly system; nevertheless, the fact does not affect in the least its nature as an There are several kinds of tobacco and cigarettes, with different prices and different tax rates. Students with little means are accustomed to smoke tobacco and cigarettes of inferior quality, while the rich prefer those of superior quality, or sometimes, not satisfied with the domestic goods, smoke imported ones. Thus we see, with regard to tobacco also, the principle of taxing the rich more heavily is realized to a certain extent by means of a graduated

These two, however, are rare exceptions in our tax system; most of our excises are levied with a uniform rate, and consequently the incidence falls more heavily upon the poor. Let us begin with daily necessaries. First, imported rice is levied with a customs duty the rate of which is necessarily uniform, irrespective of consumers. With regard to salt, a government monopoly has been carried out, and the price being fixed at 2.50 yen per koku, the rich and the poor bear the same load of public charge. The tax on soy is another instance. The consumption of soy is taxed at the rate of 1.70 yen in the case of ordinary soy or 1.60 yen in the case of tamari (soy of inferior kind), and as the amount consumed may not vary, whether the consumer is rich or poor, the tax charges both equally. Further, as to the tax on sake, which forms an essential part of our excise system, though the tax rates are more or less graduated according to the degree of alcohol contained, the uniform rate of 20 yen per koku is levied on ordinary sake, viz. refined sake, unrefined sake, white sake, containing twenty degrees or less of alcohol, and, mirin and shōchū, containing thirty degrees or less of alcohol; and, remembering the fact that any kind of sake which contains more than twenty degrees of alcohol is a rare exception, it may be asserted without exaggeration that the rate of the sake tax is practically uniform. Thus, sake is taxed with the same burden of 20 year per koku whether it is consumed on the laborer's table or is consumed at the luxurious banquet of the wealthy. As the price of sake is not reduced because the consumer is poor, the tax, by levying similar charges, oppresses the poor more heavily than the rich.

There is a still more unjust kind of excise which taxes commodities at the same tax rate regardless of their quality, ignoring the obvi-

ous truth that the poor, who consume the inferior goods, should be taxed lightly. An example of this kind of impost is the textile excise, which charges silk, woolen, and cotton fabrics with equal burden, in spite of the fact that those who wear silk or woolen clothes are wealthier than those who wear cotton ones. Though it is possible to graduate tax rates according to differences in quality of the woven goods, the existing law provides the uniform rate of 10 per cent ad valorem. The result is that the poor, who put on cotton clothing only, bear the same burden as the rich, who wear silk or woolen garments, and consequently the former suffer unfairly.

The worst type of this kind is the tax which falls exclusively on the poor and exempts the rich. The petroleum excise is an example. Petroleum is levied at the rate of 1 yen per koku. Even though one koku of petroleum will serve for a long time when used with a petroleum lamp, yet the consumption of one koku is invariably attended with the tax of 1 yen. Poor pupils, who study hard under the flickering light of a tiny petroleum lamp, and peasants, who are busy at their night work under the dim light of a hanging lamp, pay the petroleum tax, while the electric light, which lights the faces of the economically stronger, is totally exempted from any taxation. It is exceedingly absurd to tax petroleum and exempt electricity and gas, grievously annoying the poor at their night work, while the wealthy are left unembarrassed in their extravagant evening parties.

Analyzed in this way, we can conclude that the Japanese excises fall lightly on the rich and heavily on the poor. So, Japanese excises may be called regressive. A regressive rate is the opposite of a progressive rate; and while in the latter case the heavier burden is laid on the rich, in the former a higher per cent is assessed on the poor. It is preposterous to tax sparingly the wealthy, with sufficient ability to contribute, as in the case of fares, where the charges for the longer journey are proportionately less. Thus, we perceive that the urgent need of adjustment lies not so much in the abolition and reduction of the excises as in the correction of their absurdities.

Though Dr. Ogawa criticizes the existing excise system from the socio-political viewpoint, in contradistinction to the purely financial standpoint of Dr. Kobayashi and Dr. Tanaka, the conclusion of the former, it seems to me, is not so different from that of the latter.

As seen from the preceding description, among the able scholars in this branch of science there are none who propose the total abolition of excises, but they are unanimous in demanding their partial abolition or reform, and the excises of which partial abolition or revision is required are the im-

¹ The Tax System as Seen from Standpoint of Social Policy, pp. 46-52.

posts upon daily necessaries, such as customs duty on grains, the petroleum excise, the salt monopoly, the textile excise, the traveling tax, etc. As to the extent of abolition and of revision, these scholars are at variance with one another, according to their views of the present situation of our national economy and finance, but, roughly speaking, they propose reductions amounting to from 25,000,000 yen to 30,000,000 yen.

The leading principles which underlie these reform plans are diverse; some have recourse to a purely financial taxation policy, others lay much importance on historical facts, and still others adhere to the socio-political principle. Though followers of the purely financial principle are most numerous, disciples of Wagner's socio-political taxation policy are not wanting. Mr. Ueda, professor of the Tokyo Higher Commerical School, may be cited as a representative of the latter. He delivered a lecture at the tenth convention of the Society for Social Policy, held in December, 1916, on the subject of "Taxation and Social Policy," the purport of which is as follows:

The opponents contend that socio-political taxation is inconsistent with the requirements of justice and sacrifices the end for the means; but I can not agree to the contention. The problem of justice and equality in relation to the assessment of taxes was first dealt with by the orthodox economists, and has an intimate connection with their fundamental conception of economic policy. As they were convinced of the truth that the best policy is the policy of laissezfaire, they opposed all the human interferences that disturb the natural course of things, and accordingly they disowned the social policy which attempted to interfere with the mode of distribution and to bridge the gap between the wealthy and the destitute. Touching the taxation problem, they recognized only the purely financial taxation policy, which levies upon every income proportionately and which does not result in any disturbance of the natural course of things, as fulfilling the requirement of justice, while they rejected the socio-political taxation policy as opposed to it, which attempts to reform the mode of distribution by exempting or imposing lightly on the poor and by charging the rich heavily. Of course, we can not but recognize the consistency of their theory of justice. In this country, however, not a few supporters of the social policy are wont to reject socio-political measures in regard to their taxation theory, and thus they fall into logical inconsistency. The social

policy demands the rationalization of the existing irrational mode of distribution, and every measure it recommends takes something from the rich and spends it for the benefit of the poor. So, for those who pronounce *laissez-faire* as the leading principle of economic policy, none but the purely financial taxation policy fulfils the demand of justice; but those who have abandoned the *laissez-faire* principle can not reasonably condemn socio-political taxation as unjust. If the existing unfair mode of distribution may be remedied, even a little, by means of any kind of taxation, such a tax-

ation policy must be called just.

Further, is socio-political taxation a proper means for realizing the aim of the social policy? The antagonists argue that if the socio-political taxation policy be carried out, the rich would be oppressed, the poor become lazy, the growth of national wealth retarded, and the social policy deprived of resources. But the distribution policy by no means sets at naught the production policy and to rectify the mode of distribution without trenching upon production is not an unattainable matter. We find a good example in factory legislation. All such measures as the adoption of a progressive rate, abolition of excises on daily necessaries, exemption of the minimum of existence, the tax on increased land values, succession duty, and heavy tax on other unearned incomes, not only contribute to the realization of the aims of the social policy, but also do not necessarily impair the accumulation of wealth in the hands of the rich, sometimes even stimulating and promoting it, so that national wealth may increase with greater rapidity. Thus, we see that the social policy is not opposed to the requirements of justice and that it never sacrifices the end for the means.1

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, I want to say that although the war-tax problem has never been discussed under that name, either in the Imperial Diet or in academic circles, yet practically it has existed as the excise problem, which forms the essential part of the war taxes, as I have explained in the foregoing research.

¹ For more minute details, the article entitled "Taxation and Social Policy" by the same writer, appearing in the *Journal of National Economy* (Kokumin Keizai Zasshi), December, 1916, no. 6, vol. 21, should be referred to.



INDEX

Alcohol, revised customs tariff, 26. Army: organization and expenditures, 9 et seq.; size and expenditures during Satsuma Rebellion, 14-5; expendi-tures following Sino-Japanese war, 23; expansion of armament following Russo-Japanese war, 34-5.

Bank of Japan: cited, 48; extension of limit on excess note issue, 103.

Banks: establishment of national, following Satsuma Rebellion, 13; stamp duty on checks, 80 et seq.; law of 1896 relating to national, 103; rate of business tax on, 107-8; advancement of monetary and credit facilities, 118

Barm, business tax, 24, 102, 142. Beans, rise in price, 49 et seq. Beer-brewery taxes, 25, 56.

Bills: for continuation of emergency special taxes, 208 et seq.; sinking fund, 210; increased taxation (1901-2), 25, 27-8; (1906), 211-2; tax-law adjustment (1906), 211 et seq., 216 et seq., 224 et seq.; repeal of the three bad taxes, 214 et seq.; salt monopoly, 214-5. 218; revised sugar excise, 217.

Bonds, act relating to hereditary

pension (1876), 11.

Boxer Uprising: 3, 149; expenditures, 6; increased taxation following, 25 et seq., 60-1, 134.

Bourses: 30; tax for redemption of paper money, 14; exchange tax, 82-3; statistics concerning, 192-3.

Business taxes: 16-7; new system introduced, 24; increase after Russo-Japanese war, 30 et seq.; history and development, 100, 102 et seq., 142 et seq.; burden on peasantry, 115-6; revenue from (1903-7), 135; demonstration against, 220.

Camphor monopoly, profit from, 141. Census returns (1726–1912), 128 et seg. Chamber of Commerce, Tokyo, 220. Charcoal, 98.

Chinese Indemnity: 102-3; fund used for expenses of Sino-Japanese war, 23; expenses of Boxer Uprising, 26-7.

Chosen, import duty on rice, 231. Chôshu clan, soldiers furnished for government army, 9.

Cities, increased population, 128 et seq. Clearing houses, returns (1903-5), 81. Commission of inquiry into tax-laws,

80, 98-9, 224 et seq. Commons, House of, law of elections

for members, 102.

Confectionery tax: 15, 102, 142; regulations for manufacture, 16-7; annual revenue 17 et seq.; abolished, 24, 42. Conscription system provided, 10.

Coolies in army service, 13.
Corporations, rate of business tax, 107-8, 111; income tax, 109 et seq. Cotton, 241-2. See also Textile fabrics. Currency: law (1897), 103; increased circulation (1876-93), 119 et seg.

Customs tariffs: revised under increased taxation bill (1901–2), 26; increase following Russo-Japanese war, 30 et seq.; effect on prices: foodstuffs, 50-1; rice, 65 et seq., 191; textiles, 71 et seq.; petroleum, 74 et seg.; increase in import and export trade (1876-93), 119 et seq.; importation of commodities (1903-6), 198; import duty on grains, 216; proposal to abolish, 231-2, 243; import duty on cotton, 241-2; new customs duties attacked, 243.

Dajōkan (Privy Council), 85. Duties, system of registration, 24, 30, 79-80; stamp, on patent medicines, 14 et seq.; on checks, 80 et seq. See also Customs Tariffs.

Emergency tax laws (Russo-Japanese war), 30, 32 et seq., 143; revenue from first and second increase program (1904–7), 37 et seq.; import duty on grains, 65–6; woolen fabrics, 71; petroleum excise passed, 74-5; special taxes created by, 79 et seq.; exchange and traveling tax, 82-3; land tax, 88 et seq.; expenditures for enactment, 137 et seq.; statistical tables of tariffs, 162 et seq.; bill for continuation of, 208 et seq.

Exchange. See Bourses. Excises, abolishment, 205 et seq. also Individual taxes.

Export Duties. See Customs Tariffs.

Farmers: effect of excises on, 97-8, 126-7; migration to cities, 131; political

INDEX 252

strength, 148 et seq.; comparison of revenue of individuals and burden of taxation, 194 et seq.

Feudal system, dissolution of, 19. Flour, wheat, price and import duty, 49 et seq.

Foodstuffs: 65; effect of taxes on prices, 51 et seq., 76 et seq. See also individual commodities.

Forests, land tax, 90, 98 et seq.

Formosa, as source of revenue for Sino-Japanese war expenses, 23.

Formosan expedition: 3; expenditures, 6, 9.

Gold standard, 103. Grains: 65; bill to increase import duty on, 216; proposal to abolish, 231-2, 243. See also rice.

Hagi Rebellion, 3, 6, 9. Hakodate, fall of, 8. Hokkaido, reduced tax on products, 15. Horses, license tax on dealings in, 24, 102, 142.

Import duties. See Customs Tariffs. Income Tax: levied on hereditary incomes (1868), 10 et seq.; system introduced (1889), 15–6; annual revenue, 17 et seq.; increased after Sino-Japanese war, 24; after Russo-Japanese war, 30 et seq.; history and development, 108 et seq.; revenue (1903-7), 135; restrictions on local taxation, 143 et seg.

Increased taxation bills, 25, 27-8, 211-2. Industrial class, rise in political power,

148 et seq.

Inheritance tax. See Succession tax.

Insurance, life, 120-1. Iwakura, Tomomi, national bank established by, 13.

Jimpūren trouble (Hagi and Kumanoto), 3, 6, 9.

Kambe, cited, 243. Katsura, Taro, Prime Minister, 215. Kobayashi, cited, 27, 35, 222, 230 Korea, expense of expedition, 9. Korean affairs, expenditures, 6. Kumanoto rebellion, 3, 9. Kwansai, increase in population, 130-1.

Labor: wages and living costs in various trades, 122 et seg.; political status, 150; statistics concerning wages, 199-200.

Land taxes: increase following Sino-Japanese war, 24, 27; Russo-Japanese war, 30 et seg.; history and economic effect, 84 et seg.; revisions (1899-1910), 90; effect upon rice market, 92 et seq.; burden on peasantry, 115-6, 147-8; revenue (1903-7), 135; increased (1899), 142 et seq.

Law of elections for members of the

House of Commons, 102. Liaotung peninsula, 102.

License tax on horses and oxen, 24, 102, 142.

Liquors: regulations for manufacture tax, 16; increased taxation bill, 25-6.

See also Sake.

Loans: public, for war costs during
Meiji Era, 6-7; government, for
expenses of Satsuma Rebellion, 13-4; navy loan of 1886, 15; public, following Sino-Japanese war, 23; Boxer Uprising, 27; domestic and foreign, following Russo-Japanese war, 29 30; interest on national, subject to income tax, 109-10; proposals to issue new (1905), 208.

Manufactures: tax on commodities, 16-7; effect of war taxes on prices 70-1, 76 et seq. See also individual commodities.

Matsuda, cited, 211.

Matsuzaki, cited, 240 et seq.

Medicines, patent: stamp duty for redemption of paper money, 14; tax

following Russo-Japanese war, 30-1. Meiji Government: wars and civil strife, 3 et seq.; war expenses of, 6-7; expansion of population during, 129 et seq.; difficulties of new government, 147 et seq.

Merchants, relative burden of taxation,

97-8.

Mining taxes, increase following Russo-Japanese war, 30.

Miso, rise in price, 50.

Monopolies: tobacco, 24, 26, 30-1, 102, 140 et seq., 241, 246; salt, 30-1, 49-50, 140-1, 210-1, 213 et seq., 217-8, 226, 232, 239, 241, 243-4, 246; camphor, 141.

Monopoly Bureau, 141. Mutsuhito, Emperor, 3.

National banks: establishment through government loans, 13; law of 1896 relating to, 103. See also Banks. Naval Act, for construction of warships,

Navy: expenditures during Boxer Uprising, 6; early organization and

INDEX

expenditures, 9-10; size and expenditures during Satsuma Rebellion, 13 et seq.; warship program (1882-91), 14-5; expenditures following Sino-Japanese war, 23; navy expansion bill, 27-8; expansion following Russo-Japanese war, 34-5; income tax as means of expansion, 108-9.

Northeastern district, increase in popu-

lation, 130-1.

Notes: government (Eajokwansatsu) issued to defray war costs, 9; revision of taxes on convertible issue, 24.

Ogawa, cited, 244 et seq. Okinawa Prefecture, tax on ship money abolished, 24.

Ou revolt, 6, 8.

Oxen, license tax on dealings in, 24, 102, 142.

Paper money, issued to meet cost of Satsuma Rebellion, 14.

Patent medicines: stamp duty, for redemption of paper money, 14; tax following Russo-Japanese war, 30-1.

Peasantry: 97-8; burden of income tax on, 115-6; declining political strength, 148 et seq.

Peers, House of, regulations on mutual election of members, 102.

Pensions, act relating to hereditary (1876), 11.

Perry, Commodore, 8.

Pescadores, source of revenue for Sino-

Japanese war expenses, 23.
Petroleum: revised customs tariff, 26;
price increase following Russo-Japanese war, 71; excise and its effect on prices, 74 et seq.; proposal to abolish

tax, 232-3, 244, 247.
Pine fagots, prices, 98.
Population: 59, 90 et seq.; increase (1726-1912), 127 et seq.; migration to cities, 130 et seq.

Portsmouth, treaty of, 29.

Postal service: advancement in revenues, 24; increase in lines and mail

matter (1876-93), 119 et seq. Prices: fluctuation in excised commodities (1900-11), 48 et seq.; increase in sake, 52 et seq.; soy, 57 et seq.; sugar, 60 et seq.; effect of import duty on rice, 65 et seq.; 191; increase in wages and rice, 124; effect of taxation on raw material, 69-70; on textile, 71 et seg.; on petroleum, 74 et seg.; rise in, on taxed products (1903-8), 77 et seg.; effect of land tax on, 91-2; forest products, 98-9; proposal to lower salt, 213.

Production, development (1876-1893),

118.

Provincial assessments for military taxes (1868), 10 et seq.; annual revenue, 17 et seg.

Railways: improvement in government, 102, 106; increase in mileage (1876-93), 119.

Raw materials, effect of taxation on prices, 69 et seg., 76 et seg.

Real estate, conversion into money (1899–1910), 128.

Redemption of paper money, 14.

Regulations on mutual elections of members of the House of Peers, 102. Rentals of urban dwelling land, 91 et seq. Restoration, war of: 3; expenditures for,

8 et seq.

Rice: tax on exchange brokers for redemption of paper money, 14; price affected by import duty, 65 et seq., 191; effect of taxation on price, 76; effect of land tax on fields, 86 et seq.; price fluctuations, 92 et seq.; burden of income tax on growers, 114-5; price and wages, 124; bill to increase import duty on grains, 216; proposal to abolish, 231-2, 238, 243. Rural districts: increased tax on dwell-

ing land, 89-90; system of local taxation introduced, 100, 102 et seq., 141; migration of inhabitants to cities, 130

et seq.

Russo-Japanese war: 3; expenditures, 6; resources for expenditures, 29 et seq.; war tax programs (1904-7), 35 et seq.; land tax as revenue, 95. See also Emergency tax laws.

Saga rebellion: 3; expenses of, 6, 9. Saionji, Marquis Kimimochi, 208. Sakai Prefecture, contribution to Satsuma Rebellion, 14.

Sake: tax for redemption of paper money, 14; manufacture tax, 15 et seq.; abolition of license tax and increased taxation bill, 24 et seq.; tax increase following Russo-Japanese war, 30; increase in price, 52 et seq.; revenue from tax (1903-7), 135; provisions for, in tax law adjustment bill, 216, 226; proposal for adjustment, 241-2, 245-6. Salaries, tax on. See Income tax.

Salt: monopoly law, 30-1; increase in price, 49-50; revenue, 140-1; at-

tempt to abolish, 210-1, 243-4; to lower price, 213; bill for repeal, 214-5; provisions of tax-law adjustment bill, 217-8, 226; proposals for reduction of tax, 232, 239, 241, 246. Satsuma clan, soldiers furnished for

government army, 9.

Satsuma Rebellion: 3, 120; expenditures, 6; national bank loan, 13; paper money issued to cover, 14. Seinan expedition. See Satsuma Re-

bellion.

Seiyūkai, 208, 219–20. Seiyūkai and Daidō club, customs tariff bill, 216.

Shimada, 214-5.

Shimonoseki, treaty of, 23.

Ship-money, tax on, 24, 102, 142.

Shipping: tonnage dues introduced, 24; increase on railways, 102-3; increase in tonnage (1876–93), 119.

Ships: naval act for construction, 10; number participating in Satsuma Rebellion, 13; construction (1882-91), 14-5, 220.

Sinking fund bill, 210.

Sino-Japanese war: 3; expenditures, 6; source of revenue for expenditures, 23 et seq.; economic development following, 120.

Soy: manufacture tax (1885), 15 et seq., 24; effect on output and price (1893-1905), 57 et seq.; revenue (1903-7), 135; report on tax-law adjustment, 226; proposal to reduce, 232, 246.

Spirits, business tax abolished, 24. Stamp duty, on patent medicines, 14; on bank checks, 80 et seq.

Stipend system, hereditary, 11–2, 148. Stock companies, established, 102–3.

Stock exchange, tax on brokers for redemption of paper money, 14. See also Bourses.

Succession tax law, 30 et seq. See also Income tax.

Sugar: excises levied following Boxer Uprising, 26; increase following Russo-Japanese war, 30; rise in price, 49; relation between taxation and price, 60 et seq.; bills for revision of, 216 et seq.; report on tax-law adjustment, 226; proposals for reduction, 234-5, 245-6.

Taketomi, cited, 218. Tanaka, cited, 236 et seq.
Tariffs, enacted by Emergency tax law (statistical tables), 162 et seq. See also Customs tariffs.

Tax-law adjustment bill (1906), 211 et seq., 216 et seq.; commission of in-

quiry, 80, 99, 224, et seq. Tax-laws. See Emergency tax-laws.

Taxes, local: business, assessed as, 100, 102 et seg.; history and development, 141 et seq.; collected (1901-11), 145; revenue (1904-6), comparison of revenue of individuals and burden of taxation, 194 et seq.
—war: provincial assessments, 10 et seq., 17 et seq.; for redemption of paper money, 14; stamp, 14, 80 et seg.; business, 16-7, 24, 30 et seq., 100, 102 et seq., 135, 142 et seq.; manufacture, 16-7, 70-1, 76 et seq.; revenue, 7, 17 et seq., 28, 37 et seq., 155 et seq.; rearrangement of system, 24; emergency laws for expenditures of Russo-Japanese war, 30 et seg., 38 et seg., 79 et seg., 137, 143, 162, 208; direct and indirect (1868–1912), 42 et seq.; registration duty, 24, 30, 79-80; exchange, 82-3, 192-3; effect on wages, 122 et seg.; on farmers, 126 et seq.; on national finance, 133 et seq.; on local finance, 141 et seq.; comparative revenue (1903-6), 146; increase in indirect (1891-1913), 190; parative revenue (1903-6), in arrears, 135 et seq., 201-2; proposals for reforms, 205 et seq. See also individual commodities.

Telegraph lines, increase in system

(1876-93), 119.

Textile fabric tax: 31, 102-3; effect on prices, 71 et seq.; attempt to revise, 213; bill for repeal, 214-5; provisions of tax-law adjustment bill, 216-7, 219-20, 226; proposals for adjustment, 233-4, 238-9, 241-2, 244, 246-7.

Timber, effect of land tax on prices, 98

et seq.

Tobacco: monopoly law, 24, 30-1; revised customs tariff, 26; tax transferred to local governments, 102, 142; revenue from, 140-1; proposal to adjust, 241, 246.

Tokugawa Shogunate, 8, 147.

Tokyo: increased population, 130-1; Chamber of Commerce, 220.

Tonnage: introduction of dues, 24; increases in (1876–93), 119.

Torpedo boats, included in construction program (1888-91), 15.

Tosa clan, soldiers furnished for government army, 9.

Towns and villages, system of local taxation introduced, 100, 102 et seq.,

141 et seq.; migration of rural population to cities, 130.

Trade: decrease in wholesale and retail, following war with China, 105-6; rise in political strength of trading class, 148 et seq.; comparison of revenue of individuals and burden of taxation, 194 et seq.

Traveling tax: 31, 83-4, 117; attempt to revise, 213; bill for repeal, 214 et seg.; provisions of tax-law adjustment bill, 216-7, 219; proposal for revision, 235, 239.

Treaties: Shimonoseki, 23; Portsmouth, 29.

Ueda, cited, 248. Urban districts. See Rural districts.

Vehicles, tax on, 24, 102, 142. Villages and towns: system of local taxation introduced, 100, 102 et seq., 141 et seq.; migration of rural population to cities, 130.

Wages: relative increase in taxes and workmen's, 122 et seq.; statistics concerning, 199–200.

Warships: naval act for construction, 10; number participating in Satsuma Rebellion, 13; construction (1882-191), 14-5, 220.

Wheat flour, price and import duty, 49 et seq.

Wholesale trade, decrease following war with China, 105–6. See also Trade. Woolen fabrics. See Textile fabrics. Workmen's wages, increase of taxes and, 122 et seq.; statistics concerning, 199–200. World War, budget, 221.

Yoritomo, 8.









